00070031

THE
ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BOMBAY
TOWN HALL, BOMBAY-1.

Digitized with financial assistance from the
Government of Maharashtra
on 18 July, 2018

THE

ANATOMY AND PHILOSOPHY

OF

EXPRESSION

AS CONNECTED WITH THE FINE ARTS.

BY

SIR CHARLES BELL, K.H.

70031

Third Edition, enlarged.

LONDON:
JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.

M.DCCC.XLIV.

00070031

LONDON:
PRINTED BY MOYES AND BARCLAY, CASTLE STREET,
LEICESTER SQUARE.

PREFACE.

THESE Essays formed the earliest and the latest occupation of the lamented author's leisure hours; — and they now appear under the disadvantages which must attend a posthumous publication.

It was the habit of the author, in his literary compositions, to sketch his first ideas as they arose; and parts of this work were found, evidently intended to be revised and corrected. They are faithfully added to the text of the last edition, where they bear upon the subject.

The following prefatory remarks are from the pen of the late Professor Bell,* to whom, in the warmth of brotherly affection, the second edition of the work had been inscribed.

The Essays which are now presented to the public in their enlarged form, were originally composed, as the

* George Joseph Bell, Professor of the Law of Scotland in the University of Edinburgh. He died September 23, 1843.

author fondly said in his dedication, "when we studied together before the serious pursuits of life began;" but were not published till the year 1806, after the author had left Edinburgh and fixed his residence in London. A second edition appeared in 1824; but he resisted every call for a new impression, until he should have had an opportunity of verifying in Italy the principles of criticism in art, by the study of the works of the great masters in painting and sculpture.

With this view he visited the Continent in 1840; and on his return he recomposed the whole for a new edition, introducing occasional extracts from his journal, sometimes to enforce the text and sometimes to shew from what authority he drew his conclusions.

In a declining state of health he had taken advantage of a recess in his professorial duties in the University of Edinburgh to revisit his friends in England. He hoped in the leisure of the country to give this work a final revisal for the press; but before he had fulfilled his wishes in this respect, his life was terminated by an access of his illness at Hallow Park, in Worcestershire, on the 29th of April, 1842.

In the speculations of which this work is the result, and in the interesting inquiries to which they led, Sir Charles Bell was accustomed to seek relief from the wearing anxiety which, from his exquisite sensibility to human suffering, had ever attended the practice of his

profession: but a still greater effect was to follow. It was from these investigations that he was first led to make those discoveries in the system of the nerves, which are now acknowledged to be the most important contributions of modern times to the science of Physiology.

Before Sir Charles Bell's time, the nerves, which pervade every the minutest portion of our frame, seemed, in the studies of anatomists, a mass of inextricable confusion and a subject of hopeless obscurity; but he believed that in the works of the Creator there is nothing imperfect or unnecessarily complex, and that the solution of this apparent confusion was not beyond the reach of human inquiry. In tracing the causes of movements in the countenance and in the frame of the body under the influence of passion or emotion, he engaged in a very careful inquiry into the origin, course, and destination of the nerves; and consequent investigations led him to those fundamental truths, hitherto unperceived, by which he, and those who have followed his course, have revealed to the medical world the beautiful simplicity of this part of the animal economy. To the physiologist it will be particularly interesting to trace in this work the steps by which the author was led to the comprehension of that most intricate portion of the nervous system, the class of nerves which he has named respiratory; a subject so difficult, that it was long before his views were acknowledged by the medical profession.

Meanwhile his labours and his anxiety were relieved by the variety of his pursuits. He was a true lover of nature, and to trace the proofs of perfection and design in all the works of the Creator was to him a source of ever new delight. Constantly he had some useful, some noble purpose in view, whether in following up scientific inquiry, or in enthusiastically pursuing nature or art. Those who knew him best, and had seen him in the most trying circumstances of life, were most sensible that there never was a man whose mind was more uniformly attuned to grateful happiness.

CONTENTS.

PAGE

INTRODUCTION.—Comparison of Ancient and Modern Art—Studies of the Italian Masters 1

ESSAY I. Theory of Beauty in the Countenance—Of the Form and Proportions of the Head and Face 19

ESSAY II. Changes from Infancy to Age 45

Of the Skull, as a Protection to the Brain 50

Characteristic Forms of the Lower Animals 53

Characteristic Organs of Man 57

Theories of Ideal Beauty 63

National Peculiarities in the Form of the Head 71

ESSAY III. Of those Sources of Expression in the Countenance which cannot be explained on the idea of a direct Influence of the Mind upon the Features 82

Blushing 95

ESSAY IV. Of the Muscles of the Face in Man 97

Muscles of the Forehead and Eyebrow..... 98

Expression of the Human Eye 101

Muscles of the Nostrils..... 107

Muscles of the Lips and Cheeks 108

Beard 113

Expression in the Lips and Moustaches 116

ESSAY V. Of the Expression of Passion, illustrated by a Comparison of the Muscles of the Face in Man and in Animals; and of the Muscles peculiar to Man, and their effects in bestowing Human Expression 120

Expression in Animals 121

The Muscles of Animals..... 130

PAGE

Essay VI. Of Expression in the Human Countenance 142
Laughter 146
Weeping 148
Grief 150

Essay VII. Of Pain 156
Demoniacs 159
Convulsions 161
Fear 163
Terror 168
Despair 169
Admiration 171
Joy 172
Jealousy 173
Rage 175
Madness 179
Death 183

Essay VIII. Of Expression in reference to the Body 189
The Emotions modified by controlling Expression 199

Essay IX. The Study of Anatomy, as necessary to Design... 201
Of the Genius and Studies of Michael Angelo Buonarotti..... 204
The Study of Anatomy 210

Essay X. Uses of Anatomy to the Painter 212
Faults into which the Artist may be betrayed in Studying the Antique 214
or in Drawing from the Academy Figure 219
Anatomy, as conducting to Truth of Expression and of Character 221

APPENDIX.

Of the Nerves, by Alexander Shaw 231
Explanation of the Plates 259

ON

EXPRESSION.

INTRODUCTION.

It is not an easy task to reconcile two subjects so far apart in the minds of most readers as anatomy and the fine arts; but if prejudices, early imbibed, be thrown off, it will be found that there is no science, taken in a comprehensive sense, more fruitful of instruction, or leading to more interesting subjects of inquiry, than the knowledge of the animal body.

The academies of Europe, instituted for the improvement of painting, stop short of the science of anatomy, which is so well suited to enlarge the mind, and to train the eye for observing the forms of nature; or if they enforce the study at all, it is only in its more obvious application, that of assisting the drawing of the human figure. But my design in this volume goes farther:— I purpose to direct attention to the characteristic forms of man and brutes by an inquiry into the natural functions, with a view to comprehend the *rationale* of those changes in the countenance and figure which are indicative of passion.

A just feeling in the fine arts is an elegant acquirement, and capable of cultivation. Drawing is necessary to many pursuits and useful arts: Locke has included it

amongst the accomplishments becoming a gentleman, and, we may add, it is much more useful to the artisan. Good taste and execution in design are necessary to manufactures; and consequently they contribute to the resources of a country.

I am not without hope that a new impulse may be given to the cultivation of the fine arts, by explaining their relation to the natural history of man and animals, and by shewing how a knowledge of outward form, and the accuracy of drawing which is a consequence of it, are related to the interior structure and functions.

Anatomy, in its relation to the arts of design, is, in truth, the grammar of that language in which they address us. The expressions, attitudes, and movements of the human figure are the characters of this language, adapted to convey the effect of historical narration, as well as to shew the working of human passion, and to give the most striking and lively indications of intellectual power and energy.

The art of the painter, considered with a view to these interesting representations, assumes a high character. Every lesser embellishment and minuteness of detail is regarded by an artist who has those more enlarged views of his profession as foreign to the main design, distracting and hurtful to the grand effect, admired only as accurate imitations, almost appearing to be what they are not. This distinction must be felt, or we shall never see the grand style in painting receive that encouragement which results from public feeling and good taste. The painter must not be satisfied to copy and represent what he sees; he must cultivate this talent of imitation merely as bestowing those facilities which are to give scope to the exertions of his genius; as the instruments and means only which he is to employ for com-

municating his thoughts, and presenting to others the creations of his fancy; it is by his creative powers alone that he can become truly a painter; and for these he is to trust to original genius, cultivated and enriched by a constant observation of nature. Till he has acquired a poet's eye for nature, and can seize with intuitive quickness the appearances of passion, and all the effects produced upon the body by the operations of the mind, he has not raised himself above the mechanism of his art, nor does he rank with the poet or historian.

It is a happy characteristic of the present times, that a love of the fine arts is becoming more and more prevalent among the affluent; but still, rich furniture, mere ornamental painting and gilding, usurp the place of art properly so called. The mansion of an English nobleman and that of a Roman of the same rank present a singular contrast. The former exhibits carpets, silk hangings, lamps, mirrors, china, and perhaps books. The palazzo, on the other hand, in its general aspect, may betray antiquity and decay; yet respect for ancestry retains on its walls the proofs of former grandeur and taste; *there* hang many pictures, each of which would purchase an English villa or furnish a London mansion in all the extravagance of fashion. Vulgar curiosity may seek admittance to the finery of the one, while princes are gratified by admission to the other.

Original genius seems peculiarly necessary to excellence in design. Good taste may be acquired by familiarity with statues and paintings, and by the conversation of the ingenious; but the power of execution depends on deeper sources. In reading Vasari, we are struck by the difficulties with which the famous painters had to struggle. There is hardly one of them who had not to combat

parental authority before obtaining leave to give up his days to painting; nor is it surprising that there should be an unwillingness to permit a youth to dedicate his life to an art so little gainful, where extraordinary excellence alone obtains notice, and hardly ever an adequate reward. I speak of the higher department of art.

Much has been done at home by the force of genius alone. Our native artists have vindicated us from the aspersion of Winckelman, that genius for the fine arts is stinted in these northern climes, — a notion which has so extensively prevailed, as even to have influenced our own Milton: —

> "Unless an age too late, or cold
> Climate, or years, damp my intended wing."

Winckelman, in his history of ancient art, seems to attribute all to climate; not only the perfection of form of the inhabitants of Greece, but their serenity of mind, sweetness, and love of beauty. Such a theory would imply that the people of Sparta and Athens must have had the same qualities. But when Sparta triumphed, it was in pride and rapacity: neither the general intercourse between nations, nor commerce, nor intellectual nor moral excellence, derived any benefit from her ascendancy.* Athens has been the mistress of the world, leaving the examples of the greatest virtues and excellence in philosophy, eloquence, poetry, and art; yet she has also left humiliating instances of tyranny, cruelty, and blood. The history of Greece is the record of incessant wars, where towns were sacked and citizens inhumanly massacred; and in Athens, war was always justified if it promised advantage. When tried by misfortune, she was found

* Arnold's "History of Rome."

wanting: during pestilence, every affection was blunted; and licentiousness abounded to such a degree, that history informs us the people became brutalised. It is strange that Winckelman should give so much to the influence of climate, seeing that where the olive still ripens, in the long summer of Greece, there exists not a vestige of those virtues which were the admiration of the world; and centuries have passed without a poet or philosopher appearing in the country of Homer and Plato.

In the soil and climate of Italy, there have existed together states of society the most dissimilar. The arts and civilisation of Egypt and Phœnicia had taken root among the Etruscans, and the cities of Central Italy had made a great advance in civilisation, and certainly in the arts, when Rome* arose to crush them. Her policy, and the leaning of her most virtuous citizens, were adverse to

* A more just estimate is now made than formerly of the early Romans, and of the virtues of the surrounding tribes. (Dr. Arnold's "History of Rome.") The remains discovered in the tombs of Tarquinii, Tuscania, Argyllæ, Veii, and Clusium, leave no doubt of the high advancement of art in these cities, centuries before the foundation of Rome — at least of its fabled rise under Romulus. These cities were the adversaries of the early Romans; and, though subdued, furnished to their masters the elements of government and of civil policy. Rome had conquered the surrounding states, and sought to blot out all memory of them; when new settlements of Greeks (giving name to the district of Magna Græcia) again offered to her a more extended field of enterprise, in which the arts of peace were once more subjugated under her iron sway.

If I did not believe that Providence rules in the march of nations, I should say, that the world would have more rapidly advanced in philosophy, literature, and art, but for that stern, remorseless people, obstinate against instruction. We are biassed in favour of Rome from her language containing the only record of much that, but for her conquests, would have earlier, and with happier influence, spread over the western world.

the arts. They feared that whilst they refined, they should soften away those rugged and sterner qualities of the Roman soldiers which were bestowing on them the empire of the world. But the old virtues at length declined, and the Romans came to covet the luxuries of conquered nations, whom they could not rival in refinement or the arts; so that Rome became the centre and the common receptacle of the spoils of Egypt, Greece, and Italy.

The inquiry into the effects of climate were an idle one, if it did not lead to the conviction, that institutions, much more than climate, influence the faculties of man. Indolence steals upon communities as well as individuals. In the same regions, and in the same climate, the inhabitants are at one time overwhelmed in ignorance and superstition, and at another, elevated to the most admired intellectual exertions. When the energies of a people are roused, there is an improvement in the arts of peace, however gloomy and foreboding the struggle may at first appear. The mind excited by public events does not subside into indolence. In Athens the struggle for power, and the desire of independence, forced the highest talents to the highest station.* It was during the contests of the free states of Italy that the arts revived.

Perhaps we should attribute the cultivation of literature and the arts in Italy more to the smallness of the states than to the forms of their governments, for these were of every kind. While in Rome the Pope was an absolute sovereign, in Venice the nobility had raised an oligarchic authority on the necks of the people; and

* See Roscoe's introductory chapter to the "Life of Lorenzo di Medici."

both were distinguished from the democratic turbulence of Florence.

In the great kingdoms of Modern Europe, princes are surrounded by a dense body of courtiers, political agents, and soldiers, numerous and clamorous in proportion to the offices of command and places to be bestowed. All who are distinguished by excellence in liberal studies are jostled aside, and the prince knows little of men of genius, far less does he think of making them friends. But in the smaller states of Italy, princes sought the acquaintance of men remarkable for their talents, for the cultivation of philosophy, of the language of Greece, or of Ancient Rome, for the improvement of their native Italian, and of poetry, or of the fine arts; and it is pleasant to notice how easily the presence or absence of such men affected the splendour of the court. Amidst the more than barbaric magnificence and riches of modern courts, certainly of our own, the exit or entrance of such men would be unmarked.

Perhaps the circumstance that all negotiations were formerly conducted in Latin, and the consequent necessity for courtiers being acquainted with the learned languages, gave a liberal tone to the men of influence in the several states, and a disposition to promote literature and science.

Some authors have attributed the genius of the Greeks, and their love of philosophy and art, to the conformation of the brain,—to the form of the skull! On this subject I may have occasion to touch hereafter. But does not history determine the question? The Greeks were not extirpated by the Roman conquests. The skulls of a people do not change. During all the period of the Byzantine Empire, between the reigns of Constantine and

Palæologus, luxury, sloth, and effeminacy prevailed, whilst the people of the West of Europe were rising in moral and intellectual energy, and in the cultivation of the mind.*

During the latter periods of Ancient Rome, a fashion arose which conduced much to the advancement of art, and filled the city with its thousand statues. The Romans, like the Greeks, sought a species of immortality by the erection of their busts and statues; they consecrated their friends by setting up their busts in their temples. These being given in honour of the divinity whom they worshipped, were preserved, even when the personages they represented had incurred the odium of the people, and when their statues placed in public were cast down. This desire of obtaining the busts of illustrious men† explains the reason of the multitude of those found collected in the Vatican: they are chiefly in marble; for the statues and busts in bronze and other metals, tempted the cupidity of men in the middle ages, and were melted down. We are struck, too, with the number of the busts of celebrated men in proportion to those of princes, which Visconti believes to have been owing to the desire which, in the better ages both of Greece and Rome, prevailed among private citizens to have them copied, as appropriate ornaments for their libraries, porticos, and gardens.

The remains of antiquity in Italy, the presence, though in ruins, of temples, statues, sarcophagi, altars, and relievos, account for the early revival of art in that country.

* See Prichard's "Physical History of Man." He justly controverts the idea of Blumenbach.

† On this subject, see the Preface to Visconti's "Iconographie."

These must have been the studies of Donatello* and Ghiberti, as afterwards of Buonarotti; for sculpture led the way to painting. Our countrymen, pursuing their studies there, are placed under similar influences, and give proof that it is neither genius nor devotion to the imitative arts which is wanting in the north. But the time is past when the people knelt down before the works of a sculptor's hands; when the Amphictyons, the council of all Greece, gave him solemn thanks, and assigned him a dwelling at the public expense in every city! †

It is in vain that we dream of equalling the great works of antiquity; they were raised under tyranny and false religions. We must hope for excellence, in a different condition, as the fruit of a religion of love, joy, and peace. If the arts of design bear no relation to that which has the greatest influence on mankind; if

* If all the great works of Grecian art had been at once disclosed, it might not have produced the happy effect of the successive exhumation of the splendid works of antiquity; the excitement or, as Cicognara has expressed it, "un certo fermento," kept up by the contest of princes for these works of art, gave importance to all who sought to imitate them, and raised them in the estimation of even the most vulgar minds. The progress in the history of art seems to have been — First, the establishment of new families; then, the erection of splendid palaces and the necessity or convenience of digging for materials in the foundation of ancient buildings; next, the exhumation of fine statues, and the emulation thence arising; lastly, the desire of having professors and universities arose, and this took place at a time when the pontiffs were banished from Rome.

† Tiraboschi refers to an ancient chronicle regarding the Dominican church of Reggio, erected in 1233, for an example of the enthusiasm under which great edifices were built, and where all grades of society wrought as common labourers, like emmets in an ant-hill. "Tam parvi, quam magni, tam nobiles, quam pedites, tam rustici, quam cives, ferebant lapides, sablonem, et calcinam, supra dorsum eorum . . . et beatus ille qui plus portare poterat," &c.

they stand related neither to religion, nor to the records of history, nor to the progress of empire,— they must be ever, as a dead language, associated with ancient times; and with us, nothing more than a handmaid to domestic ornament and individual refinement and enjoyment.

Our artists should be brought to consider the changed frame of society. No one in these modern times, however much he may deserve the gratitude of mankind, is exalted, as they would desire to see the proficient in art. The young artists madden themselves by the contemplation of antiquity, which leads to disappointment and repining age. The last conversation I had with Flaxman, whose genius was better estimated abroad than at home, was whilst the old man was elevated on a great block of marble, in his studio (*Anglice*, a shed). "Ay," says he, "we shall see what is thought of these things two hundred years hence." Yes, but they will have the record of these things in stereotype, not in marble. Printing banished sculpture, and no man now, or hereafter, in addressing the people, will, like Fabius Maximus, or Scipio, point to the statues of his ancestors.

Without cherishing vain regrets, there is a source of infinite delight in art, even as cultivated among us; and we may hold the remains of antiquity as superlative models. Gods and goddesses we shall not again see in marble, but the human figure in its perfection we certainly may. The Greeks gave prizes for excelling beauty. Among them a youth might be celebrated for the perfection of his eyebrow; and the proportions of an Aspasia were transferred to the statue of a goddess. The forms of strength and the proportions of the victor in the games were scientifically noted and recorded, whether it was for wrestling, running, or pitching the discus. Here, then, were

studies for the sculptor, and a public to judge of the perfection of his work. Our connoisseurs never see the naked figure, or, if they do, it is an academy figure,— probably some hired artisan, with his muscles unequally developed by the labour of his trade, — pale and shivering, and offering none of those fine carnations which more constant exposure gives to the body, as we see in the face, nor having that elegant freedom of limb, which youth, under a genial climate and the various exercises of the gymnasium, acquired.*

For the improvement of art, there must be a feeling in the public in correspondence with the artist's aspirations.† In visiting the Sistine Chapel, I said to the celebrated artist who accompanied me, "How could Michael Angelo venture to do such things? Were such a man to arise among us, he would meet with ridicule, or live in neglect."

* So conscious were some of the Grecian states of the advantages derived from exercise, that they denied them to their slaves.

† I cannot withhold the following instance of public feeling in England: — When Lord Elgin brought to London the figures of the beautiful frieze from the Parthenon of Athens, and while they remained in his court-yard in Piccadilly, he proposed a great treat to his friends. He had entertained an ingenious notion that, by exposing the natural figures of some of our modern athletics in contrast with the marbles, the perfection of the antique would be felt, and that we should see that the sculptors of the best time of Greece did not deviate from nature. The noblemen and gentlemen whom he conceived would take an interest in this display were invited. He had the boxers, the choice men of what is termed "the fancy." They stripped and sparred before the ancient statues, and for one instant it was a very fine exhibition; but no sooner was the bulky form of Jackson, no longer young, opposed to the fine elastic figure of the champion of all England, than a cry arose, and "the ring" pressed forward, and ancient art and the works of Phidias were forgotten. Such I fear is the feeling of even the better part of the English public. Let not the young sculptor be too sanguine of support.

But my friend said, "Do you not remember the impatience of Julius to see these paintings during their execution? For Michael Angelo being unwilling to let his unfinished work be seen, the Pope threatened to break down the whole scaffolding on which the painting was raised." It was by such enthusiasm, and the consequent encouragement of art, that Julius has justly participated in the fame of those who made his days an era in the world.

It is, perhaps, favourable to painting, that it has not to contend with the excellence of antiquity. In visiting the schools of Florence and Bologna, and the galleries of the Vatican, we can trace the successive works of the early painters and the progress of modern painting. In the commencement, the subjects are such as could only be suggested by monkish superstition and enthusiasm. They are the representations of the wasted figures of anchorites, or if of women, they are suffering martyrdom. Even the Saviour, represented so full of beauty in after-time, is painted from the dead of the lazar-house or hospital. The purpose must have been to subdue the mind.* With better times the influence of the Church was more happily exercised, and finer feelings prevailed. The sub-

* In the old library in Basle there is a remarkable painting of Christ by the younger Holbein. The painter must have been where anatomy was to be learned; for I am much mistaken if he has not painted from the dead body in an hospital. It is horribly true. "There is here the true colour of the dead body: (the Italian painters generally paint the dead of an ivory white). Here is the rigid, stringy appearance of the muscles about the knee. The wounds where the nails have penetrated, the hands and feet are dark red, with extravasation round the wound, and the hand itself of the livid colour of mortification. The eyes, too, shew from whence he drew; the eyelids are open, the pupil raised, and a little turned out. Holbein born here in 1489."—*Note from Journal.*

jects were from the Scriptures, and noble efforts were made, attesting a deep feeling of every condition of humanity. What we see in the churches of Italy, and almost in every church, is the representation of innocence and tenderness in the Madonna and Child, and in the young St. John. Contrasted with the truth, and beauty, and innocence of the Virgin, there is the mature beauty and abandonment of the Magdalen. In the dead Christ, in the swooning of the Mother of the Saviour, and in the Marys, there is the utmost scope for the genius of the painter. We see there, also, the grave character of mature years in the Prophets and Evangelists, and the grandeur of expression in Moses. In short, we have the whole range of human character and expression, from the divine loveliness and purity of the Infant Saviour, of angels and saints, to the strength, fierceness, and brutality of the executioners. There, also, we may see the effort made, the greatest of all, in imitation of the ancients, to infuse divinity into the human beauty of that countenance, which, though not without feeling, was superior to passion, and in which benevolence was to be represented unclouded by human infirmity. These were the subjects to call forth the exertions of genius, while the rewards were the riches of the church, and the public exhibition, in unison with the deep feelings of the people. Thus did religion at a later period tend to restore what it had almost destroyed on the overthrow of Pagan idolatry. For the new-born zeal of the first Christians sought to efface every monument of the antique religion, throwing down the statues, destroying the mosaics and pictures, effacing every memorial, and razing the ancient temples, or converting them into Christian churches.

The Church of Rome has favoured the arts in a

remarkable manner. The ceremonial and decorations of the altar have been contrived with great felicity. He is insensible to beauty who, being a painter, does not there catch ideas of light and shade, and colour. The Gothic or rich Roman architecture, the carved skreen, the statues softened by a subdued light, form altogether a magnificent scene. The effects of light and colour are not matters of accident. The painted glass of the high window represents to the superficial observer no more than the rich garments of the figures painted there. But the combination of colours evinces science; the yellows and greens, in due proportion with the crimsons and blues, throw beams of an autumnal tint among the shafts and pillars, and colour the volumes of rising incense. The officials of the altar, the priests in rich vestments, borrowed from the Levites under the old law, are somewhat removed from the spectator and obscured by the smoke of the incense.* The young men flinging the silver censers, in themselves beautiful, and making the volumes of incense rise, give the effect of a tableau, defying imitation; for where can there be such a combination to the eye, joined to the emotions inspired by the pealing organ, the deep chant, and the response of the youthful choristers, whose voices seem to come from the vaulted roof? There is something too in the

* If the painter requires to know these vestments, he will find an account of them in Eustace's "Classical Tour through Italy," vol. ii. Antiquity characterises every thing in the Roman Church; and to the English traveller this affords additional interest. The ceremonies are ancient; the language of the service is that which prevailed at the period of the introduction of Christianity; the vestments are Jewish — at all events very ancient and majestic. Like every thing else in painting, the artist should know the origin and uses of the drapery, or his lines and folds will be unmeaning.— (See *Preface to Vasari.*)

belief that the chant of the psalms is the early Jewish measure.

It was scarcely possible, during the struggles of the Reformation, to keep the middle course; and in rejecting the corrupt and superstitious parts of its ceremonial, to retain the better part of the Roman Church. Enthusiasm would have the recesses of each man's breast to be the only sanctuary; that, even while on earth, and burdened with the weakness, and subject to the influences, of an earth-born creature, he should attain that state of purity and holiness, when, as in the Apocalypse, there is "no temple." Philosophy came to countenance the poverty and the meanness of our places of public worship. Climate, it was inferred, influenced the genius of a people and, therefore, their government, and mode of worship. The offices of religion in hot climates were said to require some sensible object before the eyes, and hence the veneration paid to statues and paintings; whilst in the colder climes we were to substitute internal contemplation and the exercise of reason for passion.*

We trust, or hope, that in the breasts of those who fill the family pew, in these northern churches, there may be more genuine devotion; but to appearance all is pale and cold: while to the subject we are now considering, at least, no aid is afforded. What a contrast is offered to the eye of the painter by the figures seen in the churches of the Roman Catholic countries of the south, as compared with those in our own! There are seen men in the remote aisles or chapels, cast down in prayer, and abandoned to

* Some such thoughts must have come early into my mind, in trying my pencil on the ruins of an ancient abbey; and when, afterwards within the *kirk*, I looked to the rafters, as of a barn, and saw the swallows flying about during divine service.

their feelings with that unrestrained expression which belongs to the Italian from his infancy: and even the beggars who creep about the porches of the churches are like nothing we see nearer home. In them we recognise the figures familiar to us in the paintings of the great masters. In visiting the church of the Annunziata in Genoa, I found a beggar lying in my way, the precise figure of the lame man in the cartoon of Raphael. He lay extended at full length upon the steps, crawling with the aid of a short crutch, on which he rested with both his hands. In Roman Catholic countries the church-door is open, and a heavy curtain excludes the light and heat; and there lie about those figures in rags, singularly picturesque.

In short, the priests in their rich habiliments, studiously arranged for effect,—the costume of the monks of the order of St. Francis and the Capuchins,—the men and women from the country, and the mendicants prostrate in the churches, and in circumstances as to light and shade, and colour, nowhere else to be seen,—have been, and are, the studies of the Italian painters.

Again, in passing from the galleries of Rome to the country and villages around, we cannot doubt where Raphael and Dominichino found their studies and prettiest models. The holyday dress of the young women in the villages is the same with that which we see in their paintings; and as each village has something distinguishing and characteristic, and still picturesque in its costume, much is left for good taste to select and combine.

When a man of genius, nurtured in his art at Rome, where every thing conspires to make him value his occupations, returns home to comparative neglect, he is not to be envied. He wants sympathy and associates. David

Allan, the Scottish Hogarth,* in a letter to Gavin Hamilton, whom he had left in Rome, laments the want of living models, and the defective sensibility of his countrymen. He says, we rarely see in this country a countenance like that of a Franciscan or an Italian beggar, so full of character, so useful to the study of history painting. But, he adds, we have nature, and with the assistance of ancient models and casts from the Greek statues, much may be accomplished.

* See his beautiful edition of the "Gentle Shepherd." While a child, I remember him as a kind and somewhat facetious old gentleman, but chiefly because he gave me drawings to copy and called me "Brother Brush."

ESSAY I.

OF THE PERMANENT FORM OF THE HEAD AND FACE, IN CONTRADISTINCTION TO EXPRESSION.

MUCH has been written, and gracefully and agreeably written, on the sources of Beauty; yet I cannot help thinking that, by losing sight of nature, and what may be justly called the philosophy of the subject, the right principle has not been attained.

Beauty of countenance may be defined in words, as well as demonstrated in art.

A face may be beautiful in sleep, and a statue without expression may be highly beautiful. On the other hand, expression may give charm to a face the most ordinary. Hence it appears that our inquiry divides itself into—the permanent form of the head and face; and the motion of the features, or the expression.

But it will be said, there is expression in the sleeping figure, or in the statue. Is it not rather that we see in these the capacity for expression? that our minds are active in imagining what may be the motions of these features when awake or animated? Thus we speak of an expressive face before we have seen a movement grave or cheerful, or any indication in the features of what prevails in the heart. Avoiding a mere distinction in words, let

us consider first, Why a certain proportion and form of face is beautiful, and conveys the notion of capacity of expression; and, secondly, the movements or the actual expression of emotion. I believe that it is the confusion between the capacity of expression, and the actual indication of thought, which is the cause of the extraordinary difficulty in which the subject is involved, and which has made it be called a mystery: *La beauté est un des plus grands mystères de la nature.*

A countenance may be distinguished by being expressive of thought; that is, it may indicate the possession of the intellectual powers. It is manly, it is human; and yet not a motion is seen to shew what feeling or sentiment prevails. On the other hand, there may be a movement of the features, and the quality of thought, — affection, love, joy, sorrow, gratitude, or sympathy with suffering, — is immediately declared. A countenance which, in ordinary conditions, has nothing remarkable, may become beautiful in expression. It is expression which raises affection, which dwells pleasantly or painfully on the memory. When we look forward to the meeting with those we love, it is the illuminated face we hurry to meet; and none who have lost a friend but must acknowledge that it is the evanescent expression, more than the permanent form, which is painfully dear to them.

It is a prevailing opinion that beauty of countenance consists in the capacity of expression, and in the harmony of the features consenting to that expression.* The author

* Great names may be quoted — Plato, Cicero, and St. Augustin, down to our own professors. "Et ut corporis est quædam apta figura membrorum, cum coloris quadam suavitate, eaque dicitur pulchritudo: Sic in animo opinionum judiciorumque æquabilitas, et constantia, cum firmitate quadam et stabilitate . . . . . pulchritudo

of the "Essays on the Nature and Principles of Taste" denies any original or positive beauty to the human countenance.

Those who have professedly written on the antique say, that, to arrive at the perfection of the ancient statue, the artist must avoid what is human, and aim at the divine.* But we speak of what stands materially before us, to be seen, touched, and measured. With what *divine* essence is the comparison to be made? When the artist models his clay, he must have recourse to some abstract idea of perfection in his own mind; whence has he drawn his idea of perfection? This brings us to the right path in the inquiry: the idea of representing divinity is palpably absurd; we know nothing of form but from the contemplation of *man*.

The only interpretation of *divinity* in the human figure, as represented by the ancient sculptors, is, that the artists avoided individuality; that they studied to keep free of resemblance to any individual; giving no indication of the spirit, or of the sentiments or affections; conceiving that all these movements destroy the unity of the features, and are foreign to beauty in the abstract.

In proceeding to define beauty, all that the writers on art have been able to affirm is, that it is the reverse of deformity. Albert Durer so expresses himself. If we

vocatur."—*Cicero*. Burton, in the Objects of Love, quotes thus:—"Pulchritudo est perfectio compositi, ex congruente ordine, mensura et ratione partium consurgens."

* "Se la figura era humana, vi facevano tutto quello, que appartiene alla proprieta, e qualita dell' uomo. Se poi era divina, esse tralasciavano la qualità umane e sceglievano unicamente le divine."—*Mengs*. Again, Winckelman, "La beauté suprême réside en Dieu. L'idée de la beauté humaine se perfectionne à raison de sa conformité et de son harmonie avec l'Etre Suprême," &c.—*Winckelman, Histoire de l'Art.*

intend the representation of beauty, then let us mark deformity, and teach ourselves to avoid it. The more remote from deformity, the nearer the approach to beauty. So Mengs: "*La bellezza e l'opposito della bruttezza.*" Leonardo da Vinci, attributed much to comparison. He searched for ugliness. If he saw an uncommon face,—if it were a caricature of expression,— he would follow it, and contrive to look at the individual in all aspects. He would pursue a curiosity of this kind for a whole day, until he was able to go home and draw it.* We have here the practical result of the theory, which is, to study the deformities, in order to learn to avoid them; and certainly the effect was admirable, since we know, as his biographer has written, that his painting of beauty raised love in all beholders.†

* "Pigliò tanto gusto nel dipingere cose bizzarre et alterate, che s'egli s'imbatteva in qualche villano che con viso strano et alquanto fuor del ordinario, dasse un poco nel ridiculo invaghito dalla bizzarria dell'obbietto, l'haverebbe sequitato un giorno intiero, fin a tanto c'havendone una perfetta idea, ritornato a casa lo disegnava come se l'havesse havuto presente."— *Vasari.*

† This great painter ascribed much importance to contrast in painting, bringing extremes together,— *ch'il brutto sia vicina al bello, et il vecchio al giovane, et il debole al forte;* and such appears, on many occasions, to have been the principle which directed the old masters. "The statue of Venus may stand alone; but not so the painting of the goddess by Titian, — there are two hideous old women introduced for contrast. — *The Florentine Gallery.* We may take a further illustration from the finest picture in Italy — the Archangel Michael subduing Satan, which is in the convent of the Capuchins in Rome, painted by Guido. The beauty of the angel is perfect; the face is undisturbed by passion. It conveys to us with how little effort the superior nature subdues the monster who lies howling, and on which he puts his feet. The expansion of the wings is grand; and the manner in which the drapery encircles him indicates the motion of descent, — that he has alighted! We have all the contrast between a face convulsed by bad passion, and the serenity and beauty of virtue."— *Notes from Journal.*

If a painter entertains the idea that there is some undefined beauty, distinct from nature, which is in his own mind, his works will want that variety which is in nature, and we shall see in his paintings the same countenance continually reproduced. We are informed that Raphael, in painting the head of Galatea, found no beauty deserving to be his model; he is reported to have said, that there is nothing so rare as perfect beauty in woman; and that he substituted for nature a certain idea inspired by his own fancy. This is a mistake: painters have nothing in their heads but what has been put there. There is no power in us "to disengage ourselves from material things, and to rise into a sphere of intellectual ideas," and least of all in what regards man. In the Palazzo Farnesina, there are frescoes by Raphael and his scholars, demonstrating to me the nature of those studies which at length enabled him to compose, not to copy, the beautiful Galatea; that he first drew from what he saw, and finally avoided imperfections, and combined excellencies.*

We shall arrive at a better understanding of this subject, by inquiring into the peculiar form and beauty of the antique.

* "Palazzo Farnesina. Saw the Frescoes of Raphael. Some, finished by his scholars from his outline — only one finished by himself. What I most admire is the beauty and variety of his female heads, especially the different manner in which he has bound up the hair and let it flow about the neck and shoulders; and yet he may have found all this, selecting from what may be seen in the streets. Here is the Galatea!"— *Note from Journal.*

OF THE PERMANENT FORM OF THE HEAD, AND THE PROPORTIONS OF THE HEAD AND FACE.

Pleased as all are with the variety in the human countenance, and desirous of discovering why, in the antique statue, that is beautiful, which is not found in nature, we seek for some means of more accurate survey, some rule by which we may measure proportions.

The scientific principle is deducible from this, — that the outward forms result from the degree of developement of the contained organs. The most obvious plan, and that which has been most generally adopted, of examining the proportions, is by a comparison of the size of the head with that of the face; understanding by the head, the brain-case, as containing the organ of intellect; and by the face, the seat of the collected organs of the senses.

But we are not prompted, naturally, to institute this comparison, or estimate the dimensions of the whole head. Both nature and custom teach us, every moment, to scan the features; and to look there for what is to animate, to charm, or to grieve us. Every scheme by which it shall be proposed to elicit the reasons of our feelings of admiration, love, or disgust, by measuring the comparative areas of the head and face, will fail.

Nor will that comparison enable us to mark the gradations in the heads of animals; because the peculiarities in the skulls of brutes either result from, or are connected with, the developement of particular organs. Those organs have relation to the existence of the animal, to its means of procuring nourishment, the pursuit of its prey, or the mode of avoiding its enemies; and the difference in the relative size of their instruments of prehension, or in that of their ears, eyes, or organs of smelling, will entirely

disturb the line of demarcation between the brain-case and the face. The vast mass of the brain in man, must have an effect on the conformation of the whole head; it causes the upper part of the face to be thrown forwards: thus at once distinguishing him from the brute, and marking superiority of intellect. But when we consider the condition of the lower animals, we must take into our calculation, not intellectual properties, but the instincts of brutes; and the measurement of the face, as compared with the size of the brain, fails us altogether.

I must speak with respect of this suggestion of measuring the face against the head, since it has been entertained by John Hunter, Camper, Blumenbach, and Cuvier. I shall, however, direct what I have to say on the subject principally to the works of Camper.

If we are to study the form of the human head, seen in profile, we must obtain a line, which shall be permanent, on which we can raise a perpendicular, and so commence a more accurate survey than by the unassisted eye.



If we present a skull in profile, or draw it thus with the pen, we may begin by tracing a horizontal line, which shall pass through the foramen of the ear and the alveoli or sockets of the front or incisor teeth of the upper jaw. On

this we can raise an oblique line, touching the sockets of the teeth and the most prominent point of the forehead, or of the frontal bone. This is the facial line of Camper; and by its obliquity it will be, to a certain degree, the measure of the relative proportion of the areas or spaces occupied by the brain and the face. Another line may be drawn, which will divide the brain-case from the face; commencing at the foramen of the ear, it will touch the upper margin of the orbit.





On looking to these illustrations of Albert Durer, it is apparent that he entertained and practised this mode of distinguishing the forms of the head.

But the idea of the facial line was suggested to Camper on examining certain antique gems. He observed that, in imitating these, the artists failed, from neglecting to throw forward the head, so as to make the line which touched the forehead and teeth nearly perpendicular. For by this line he thought that he had got the key to the whole difficulty, as marking the distinctions in the natural head, compared

with the antique. He conceived that when he drew a profile so that the forehead and lips touched the perpendicular line, he obtained the characters of an antique

head. If, on the other hand, he let this line fall back, and accommodated the outline of the head to it, he diminished the beauty and perfection of the form. For example, if the line formed an angle of seventy, it became the head of a Negro; if declining backwards still farther, by the depression of the brain-case, say to sixty, it declared the face of an orang-outang; and so, down to the dog.

To a certain extent, this ingenious mode will be found useful. Had the Count Caylus been guided by it in his great work on Antiquities, his figures, in many instances, would have been better drawn. But even in respect to the

state of the human brain, this line does not fully answer the purpose. In the skulls of certain nations the depression of the forehead is so great, that the line drawn from the alveolar processes to the frontal sinus, does not even touch the frontal bone.

Camper's position is this, — that as, by the diminution of the cranium and the further inclination of the facial line, the head is depressed in character to that of the Negro;

so, by raising and throwing the skull upwards and forwards, until the facial line reaches the perpendicular, as in the preceding page, the great object is attained of resemblance to the antique head.

But his own figures contradict his conclusion; for,

although he has thrown the head forward in them, even beyond the perpendicular of the facial line, yet, as he has preserved the features of common nature, we refuse to



acknowledge their similarity to the beautiful forms of the antique marbles. It is true, that, by advancing the forehead, it is raised; the face is shortened, and the eye brought to the centre of the head. But with all this, there is much wanting,—that which measurement or a mere line will not shew us.

The truth is, that we are more moved by the features than by the form of the whole head. Unless there be a

conformity in every feature to the general shape of the head, throwing the forehead forward on the face produces deformity;* and the question returns with full force:—

How is it that we are led to concede that the antique head of the Apollo or of the Jupiter is beautiful, when the facial line makes a hundred degrees with the horizontal line? In other words, How do we admit that to be beautiful which is not natural? Simply for the same reason that if we discover a broken portion of an antique, a nose, or a chin, of marble, we can say, without deliberation, this must have belonged to a work of antiquity; which proves that the character is distinguishable in every part,—in each feature, as well as in the whole head.

We must assume a new principle, and it is this—that

* I have here sketched the profile of a poor begging Negro in contrast with the head of M. Agrippa, in which the artist has dignified the character on the principle stated by Camper; but, it is here apparent that the manly dignity results from the character of each feature, even more than from the facial line. It is seen in the eye, in the nose, mouth, and chin; each of which are in as much contrast with those of the Negro, as is the shape of the whole head.

in the face there is a character of nobleness observable, depending on the developement of certain organs which indicate the prevalence of the higher qualities allied to thought, and therefore human. A great mistake has prevailed in supposing that the expansion of some organs in the face of man, marks a participation in the character of the brute: that the fully developed nose indicates the grovelling propensities, and the extended mouth, the ferocity, of the lower animals. Let us correct this misconception by considering the properties or uses of the mouth. It is for feeding certainly, but it is also for speech. Extend the jaws, project the teeth, widen the mouth, and a carnivorous propensity is declared; but concentrate the mouth, give to the chin fulness and roundness, and due form to the lips; shew through them the quality of eloquence, of intelligence, and of human sentiments, — and the nobleness is enhanced, which was only in part indicated, by the projection of the forehead. Now, look to the antique head and say, is the mouth for masticating, or for speech and expression of sentiment? So of the nose. Here, even Cuvier mistook the principle. The nose on a man's face has nothing in common with the snout of a beast. The prominence of the nose, and of the lower part of the forehead, and the developement of the cavities in the centre of the face, are all concerned in the *voice*. This is ascertained by the manliness of voice coming with the full developement of these parts.* Nothing sensual is indicated by the form of the human nose; although, by depressing it and joining it to the lip, — the condition of the brute, — as in the satyr, the idea of something sensual is conveyed.

A comparison of the eye and the ear brings out the principle more distinctly. Enlarge the orbit, magnify the

* These cavities do not exist in the child, and only attain their full size in the adult.

eyes; let them be full, clear, piercing, full of fire, still they combine with the animated human countenance. They imply a capacity consistent with human thought, a vivacity and intelligence partaking of mind. But large pendulous ears, or projecting and sharp ears, belong to the satyr; for man is not to be perpetually watchful, or to be startled and alarmed by every noise.

If we consider for a moment what is the great mark of distinction between man and brutes, we shall perceive that it is SPEECH: for it corresponds to his exalted intellectual and moral endowments. Speech implies certain inward propensities, a conformity of internal organs, and a peculiarity of nervous distribution; but it also implies a particular outward character or physiognomy, a peculiar form of the nostrils, jaws, mouth, and lips. These latter are the visible signs of this high endowment.

Then, again, as to sentiment,—laughter and weeping, and sympathy with those in pleasure or in pain, characterise human beings, and are indicated by the same organs. Hence, the capacity of expression in the nostril and mouth, are peculiar attributes of the human countenance.

SOME FURTHER OBSERVATIONS ON THE FORM AND PROPORTIONS OF THE SKULL, AND BONES OF THE FACE.

Let us return with more just principles to the study of the lines marking the regions of the face and head.

A line drawn from the tube of the ear to the eyebrow, or prominence of the frontal bone, and one from the same point to the chin, include the face in a triangle. If another line be drawn to the lowest point of the nose, we divide the face into two regions; the lower occupied by the masticating apparatus of teeth, jaws, and their muscles. If this alone be enlarged, the effect is an

encroachment on the nose and orbit, and the face loses all dignity and form. The eye is especially diminutive, and the nose misshapen.

It will be found that the jaws correspond with the general skeleton; very tall men, especially if gigantic, have large jaws, and comparatively small heads. In

ricketty deformity of the bones, the character of the face is exhibited, as in this sketch, by a defect in the size of

the jaw-bones, which have yielded to the action of their muscles. The qualities of mind, evinced in expression, may redeem any degree of deformity; but the peculiarity of the countenance here, is that of rickets; the prominence of the forehead arises merely from the accumulation of bone, and not from a superior developement of the brain. We have a further opportunity of observing that the projection of the facial line, unaccompanied with due conformity of features, only adds to the deformity.*

Blumenbach, dissatisfied with the facial line of Camper, contrived a different mode of distinguishing the capacities of the head and face. He selected two bones of the skull; the frontal bone as representing the developement of the cranium or brain-case; and the superior maxillary bone, as the seat of the organs of sense, which are considered as opposite to the intellectual properties. He placed the

* "In visiting the Villa Albani, among the indescribable beauties which are every where around us, the party was amused with my attention being fixed upon the statue of a deformed person. I was indeed struck with the truth of the representation: the manner in which the ribs are distorted, the head sunk upon the breast, and the exaggeration of certain muscles, consequent upon displacement of the bones. I was thinking of the accurate conception which the ancients had of human anatomy, and the precision with which they copied from nature.

"This is said to be a statue of Esop, and on referring to Visconti, where he treats of the fabulist, I see that his engraving of the statue, beautiful as it is, is deficient in what appeared to me a due correspondence in the countenance, and the distortion of the body. On comparing it with a sketch I had made, I find that I have marked more distinctly the position of the head, the projection of the chin, and the fulness of the forehead characteristic of that defect in the face which arises from the jaw yielding to the action of the muscles during the age when the bones are soft.

"Visconti discovers in the face a spirituality quite in contrast with that expression which the ancients give to buffoons, and dwarfs, whose physiognomy they always make ridiculous."—*Note from Journal.*

vertex of the skull towards him, so as to look over the brow or forehead; and then he noted how much the bones of the cheek, the nose, and the upper jaw projected beyond the level of the frontal bone. This method he used as better suited to mark the peculiarities of the national head; and to be employed in the skull rather than in the living head. It may be useful, but it is manifestly imperfect. The breadth of the face may be noted in this manner; but it will better serve the purpose of the artist to draw the face in front, and to apply the principle already explained, in the profile.

It was observed in the preceding pages, that the different plans of measuring the head might assist in pointing out the varieties in the form of the head; but that for distinguishing what is acknowledged by all to be beautiful in the antique, none of them proceeded on a just principle. A circumstance to which Professor Gibson, of Philadelphia, then my pupil, first drew my attention, convinced me that the methods which physiologists had practised were very incorrect. He placed before me the skull of an European and of a Negro; and resting them both on the condyles of the occipital bone, as the head is supported on the spine, it appeared that the European fell forward, and the African backward. This seemed remarkable, when both physiologists and physiognomists were describing the greater comparative size of the face, as the grand peculiarity of the African head. I was desirous of investigating this matter further.

The difficulty of finding a line by which to measure the inclination of the face would be removed, if we were to take the head as fairly balanced on the articulating surfaces of the atlas, or first bone of the spine; but in the

living body, it will not be easy to fix the head in the equipoise. Something may be attained by comparing the general position of the head, in the European and the Negro; but nothing approaching to the accuracy which observation pretending to science, requires.

To find a line which should not vary, but enable us to measure with correctness the angles both of the facial line, and of the line intermediate between the cranium and the face, I poised the skull upon a perpendicular rod, by passing the point through the foramen magnum into the interior of the skull, so that the upper part of the cranium rested on the point. By shifting the skull till the rod was exactly betwixt the condyles of the occipital bone, and in the centre of the foramen magnum, I procured the line which was wanted.

I now divided into degrees, or equal parts, the great convexity of the cranium, from the setting on of the nose on the fore part, to the margin of the foramen magnum behind; and having so prepared several skulls for adjustment on the rod, I began to make my observations.

In comparing the European skull with that of the Negro, the point of the rod in the latter, touched the inside of the cranium several degrees nearer to the bones of the face, or more forward on the cranium, than the former.

On measuring the angle of the facial line of Camper with this perpendicular line, in a European skull the most perfect in form of any I possessed, I found the difference to be ten degrees.

The cause of the difference being much greater between the European and African skull, in this way of measuring, than by Camper's plan is, that here the facial line has

reference to the whole form and proportion of the head; whereas in Camper's measurement it marks only the inclination of the face.



We have now an explanation of the peculiarity in the position of the Negro's head, the upward inclination of the face, and the falling back of the occiput. And here too we have it proved, that it is an error to suppose the Negro head to be remarkable in character on account of any increase in the proportion of the bones of the face, to the

cranium; for the area of the bones of the face is in this way shewn to bear a less proportion to that of the bones of the cranium, in the Negro than in the European head.

My next object of inquiry was to find on what the distinctive character of the Negro face really depends. For to the eye the Negro face appears larger, while in fact it is proved to be smaller than the European, considered in relation to the cranium. I took off the lower jaw-bones from both the European and the Negro skull; and then, in order to poise the skulls on the perpendicular rod, it was required to move both forward on the point of the rod. But it was found necessary to shift the Negro skull considerably *farther* forward than the European: the point of the rod thus indicating by its removal backward on the scale, that the lower jaw of the Negro bore a greater proportion to the skull than that of the European. The facial line was of course thrown farther backwards in both skulls on taking away the jaw; but the jaw of the Negro being larger than that of the European, the inclination backward was greater in the Negro skull. Proceeding to take away the upper jaws, and then the whole bones of the face, the index on the surface of the cranium shewed that the jaw-bones of the Negro bore a much greater proportion to the head and the other bones of the face, than those of the European skull; and that the apparent magnitude of the bones of the Negro face resulted from the size and form of the jaw-bones alone, while the upper bones of the face, and indeed all that had not relation to the teeth and mastication, were less than those of the European skull.

In proceeding with these experiments, I changed the manner of noting the variations in the inclination of the

cranium; because I perceived that an index, marked on the convexity of the skull, varied according to the form of the head. Preserving the principle, I measured the inclination of the cranium by an angle formed by the perpendicular line (A B) and a line (A C) intermediate between the cranium and the face. On poising the cranium on the rod, after taking away all the bones of the face, it appeared that the Negro cranium had the line elevated nearly ten degrees more than the European. I also found, on comparing the cranium of a child with that of an adult, that it was deficient in the relative proportions of weight and capacity on the forepart—that the line was depressed by the size of the forehead increasing in proportion to the advance in maturity.

On looking attentively to these skulls, it was evident that there were distinctions to be observed in the form of the cranium itself, independently of the proportions between the face and cranium; that these varieties depended on the form of the brain, and proceeded (I think we may conclude) from the more or less complete developement of the organ of the mind. In the infant there is a deficiency of weight, and a less ample area in the higher and anterior part of the brain-case. I say less ample, only in comparison with that which we may estimate as the standard, viz. the adult European. In the Negro, besides the greater weakness and lightness in the bones of the whole skull, there is a remarkable deficiency of length in the head forward, producing a narrow and depressed forehead; whereas a large capacious forehead is allowed to be the least equivocal mark of perfection in the head.

Having been brought by this more accurate method of

measuring the skull, to observe distinctions not only in the cranium and bones of the face, but in the face itself, and in the cranium independently of the face, I wished, in the next place, to consider more at large the varieties in the form of the face, and the cause of the secret influence of certain forms on our judgment of beauty.

From the examination of the heads, both of men and brutes, and of the skulls of a variety of animals, I think there is reason to conclude, that the external character consists more in the relative proportions of the parts of the face to each other, than has been admitted. On first consideration we are apt to say, that in the beautiful form of the human countenance the likeness of the brute is inadmissible; that wherever we see a resemblance to the brute in the form of the whole countenance, or in the particular features, it implies degradation. But this is true to a limited extent only: and how far it extends, the examination of the uses of the parts will inform us.

We have therefore again to inquire, which are the nobler features of the face, and what belong to the inferior functions.

In examining the mouth and jaws of animals we shall be convinced that the form of the bones is adapted to the necessities of the creature, independently altogether of the sense of taste; that in man, whose jaw-bones are smaller than those of other animals, this sense is most perfect, most exquisite in degree, and suited to the greatest variety in its exercise. Turning to the skulls of the horse and the lion, we shall see that the one is fitted for powerful mastication, and the other for tearing and lacerating, not for cutting or grinding; and if we examine the

form of the teeth more narrowly, we shall perceive that there must necessarily be a form of the jaw corresponding to these actions. In the lion, the tiger, and all carnivorous animals, much of the character of the face lies in the depth of the jaw forward; because this depth is necessary for the socketing of the long canine teeth. When, on the contrary, the jaw is deep and strong towards the back part, it is for the firm socketing of the grinding teeth, and is characteristic of the form of the head of the horse, and of all graminivorous animals. There is also a peculiar form of the head and distinct expression, in the rodentia, and such animals as have to pierce shells for their food, as the monkeys, which is produced by their cutting teeth being placed at right angles in their jaws, for the action of gnawing.

Now it certainly is by that unconscious operation of the fancy, that associating power which has a constant influence on our opinions, that a human face with protuberant jaws seems degraded to the brutal character; that the projection of the incisor teeth especially gives a remarkable expression of meanness; while we see that the enlargement of the canine teeth, as in the demons of the Last Judgment of Michael Angelo, produces an air of savageness and ferocity.*

When we consider further the muscles appropriated to the motions of the jaws, we may comprehend why it should be thought a deformity when the zygoma (the arch of bone on the temple) is remarkably prominent. It is enlarged to permit the massy temporal muscle by which the jaw is closed to act freely, and its form corresponds with the size of the jaw, and with the canine teeth. This will

* Fairy Queen, Book IV. cant. vii. 5.

be very evident if we place the human skull beside the skull of the horse, the lion, the bull, the tiger, the sheep, the dog, &c.

It has already been said that a comparison of the area of the bones of the head and face in different animals will not inform us of the relative perfection of the brain in its exercise. But still we may recognise, in the form of the jaws and bill, the beast or bird of rapine; in the breadth and extent of the central cavities of the face, the seat of the organ of smelling, tribes which hunt their prey; in the prominent eye placed more laterally, timid animals which are the objects of the chase; and in the large socket and great eyeball, the character of such as prowl by night. With these variations in the perfection of the outward senses, there are, no doubt, corresponding changes in the brain, and therefore, in the instincts and habits of animals.

In obtaining a line which shews with precision the bearings of all the parts of the head, I think that I have reduced this subject to greater simplicity; and have been able to make observations more correctly than by the methods hitherto in use:—I have shewn that the relative capacity of the cranium or brain-case to that of the face, as containing the organs of the senses, is insufficient to mark the scale of intellect, or to explain the distinctions of character in the human head:—That the perfection of the human head greatly consists in the increase of the cranium forward; in the full and capacious forehead; and that the cranium of the Negro, when compared with the perfect cranium of a European, has less capacity at the fore-part.*

* In comparing the skulls of men with those of brutes, *e. g.* the chimpanzee, it cannot be just to measure the proportions of the cranium behind the foramen of the occipital bone; for that foramen must corre-

It has been shewn that in the Negro the whole of the face is actually smaller, instead of being greater, when compared with the brain-case, than that of the European; but that the jaws, contrasted with the other parts of the face, are larger. The conclusion to which these views lead is, that some principle must be sought for, not yet acknowledged, which shall apply not only to the form of the whole head, but also to the individual parts. This principle, I imagine, is to be found in the form of the face as bearing relation to its various functions; not those of the senses merely, but of the parts contained in or attached to the face—the organs of mastication, the organs of speech, and the organs of expression.

And here it is to be observed, that it is not necessarily a deformity that a feature resembles that of a lower animal. In our secret thoughts the form has a reference to the function. If the function be allied to intellect, or is connected with mind (as the eye especially is), then there is no incompatibility with the human countenance, though the organ should bear a resemblance to the same part in a brute; whereas, if it has a relation to the meaner necessities of animal life, as the jaws, or the teeth, the effect is incompatible, and altogether at variance with human physiognomy.

If we take the antique as the model of beauty in the human head, we shall confess that a prominent cheek-bone, or a jaw-bone large and square behind, is a defect; that the great depth of face, produced by the length of the teeth, is also a deformity; that the projecting jaws are still worse; and, above all, that the monkey-like protrusion of

spond with the spine on which the head rests; and the position of the animal, monkey, or quadruped, must determine the connexion of the spine and skull.

the fore teeth takes away from the dignity of human expression.

When the principles that sway our secret thoughts are discovered, and when by a comparison of the parts of the head anatomically, a secure foundation is laid for the accurate observation of nature, the lines of Camper and Blumenbach will aid us in the examination of character; but these methods of measurement are, of themselves, imperfect, and, being founded on a mistaken principle, they lead to unsatisfactory conclusions.

ESSAY II.

CHANGES FROM INFANCY TO AGE. OF THE SKULL, AS PROTECTING THE BRAIN. OF THE CHARACTERS OF BRUTES. NATIONAL PECULIARITIES.

THE bones, and the parts which cover them, or are contained within them, grow, as it were, by one impulse, so that they correspond together; the fleshy lips of the Negro

are suited to his large protuberant teeth. Among ourselves, a square jaw-bone is attended by a thickness and heaviness of the cheeks and lips; and if the canine teeth, the strong corner teeth, be unusually long and prominent, there is not only a coarseness and heaviness of a different kind, but a certain irascibility of expression. In women and young persons with large incisor teeth, there is a pretty fulness and ripeness of the lips.

The whole character of the face of a child results from the fleshy parts and integuments being calculated, if I may use such a term, for the support of larger bones than they possess in early years. The features are provided for the growth and developement of the bones of the face, and hence the fulness, roundness, and chubbiness of infancy.

There are some other peculiarities in infancy. For example: the head is of an elongated and oval form, its greatest length being in the direction from the forehead to the occiput; the forehead is full, but flat at the eyebrows, and the whole part which contains the brain is relatively large; the jaw-bones, and the other bones of the face, are diminutive; the neck is small compared with the size of the head, owing to the peculiar projection of the back of the head (or occiput).

Compare the outline of the infant's head with that of the boy, and the effect of the expansion of the bones of the face in bestowing the characteristic form of youth, will be apparent. The face in the youth is lengthened, and is less round than that of the infant. The brow, however, is not enlarged in proportion to the increase of the lower part of the face; though the form is so far changed that a prominent ridge is now developed along the course of the eye-brows.

This ridge (the supra-orbitary) is caused by a cavity

which is formed in this part of the head by the layers of the frontal bone (or os frontis). It is the enlargement of this cavity (called the frontal sinus) that makes the prominence over the eyes which is peculiar to manhood.



From infancy to adolescence, there is a great increase in the size of the upper jaw-bone (the superior maxillary bone). This is chiefly owing to its containing within it another cavity (the maxillary sinus); which, like the frontal sinus, becomes greatly developed with advancing years. And there are several new characters given to the countenance by the enlargement of the upper jaw-bone, which may be regarded as the centre of the bones of the face. It has the effect of raising and lengthening the bones of the nose, and of making the cheek-bones (or ossa malæ) project farther.*

* The cavities in the frontal and maxillary bones communicate with the nose, and assist in giving the sonorous, manly tones to the voice. They are very small in women as they are in children.

The growth of the large teeth in the adult, contrasted with the child, adds to the depth, as well as length, of both the upper and lower jaw-bones, and the whole face becomes consequently longer. Another necessary effect is, that the angle of the lower jaw recedes more towards the ear, and acquires more distinctness. Thus it is, that by the growth of the teeth and of those processes of the bones which support and fix them (the alveolar processes), and by the

lengthening and receding of the angle of the jaw, a manly squareness of the chin and lower part of the face takes the place of the fulness and roundness of childhood.

This view of the skull at different periods of life suggests another observation, relating to the characters of age. When the teeth fall out in old age, the sockets which grow up along with them waste away. Accordingly, while the depth of the lower jaw-bone, from the hinge to the angle, is undiminished, and its length towards the chin is the same, there remains nothing at the part where the teeth were implanted but the narrow base of the jaw. The effect on the countenance is perceived in this sketch. The jaws are allowed to approach nearer to each other at the fore-part; the angle of the lower jaw comes of course more forward, and resembles that of the child, were it not that the chin projects: the chin and the nose approximate, the lips fall in, the mouth is too small for the tongue, and the speech is inarticulate.

Before leaving this subject, we may point out a defect in the sculptures of Fiammingo, who has been justly celebrated for his designs of boys. In his heads of children, it is obvious that he intended to present us with an ideal form, instead of a strict copy from nature. But it will be remarked, that the eyes are too deeply set in his figures. He has made the prominences over the orbits (the supra-orbitary ridges), which are peculiar to a more advanced age, distinct features in the child, and has thus produced an unnatural appearance. The only character of the boy which he has kept true to nature is the largeness of the head compared with the face, the fulness of the cheeks, and the falling in of the mouth and chin. In exaggerating the natural peculiarities, the artist has strictly imitated the antique. But it may remain a question, how far the prin-

ciple which is so happy in its effect of heightening the beauty of the adult countenance, is necessary or allowable in designing the forms of childhood?

OF THE SKULL, AS PROTECTING THE BRAIN.

In touching even slightly on this subject we must attend to certain principles. It is to be understood, that a shock or vibration passing through the brain proves more destructive than a wound penetrating its substance. A skull stronger, thicker, and more solid than that which we possess would not have given greater security: it would have vibrated to a greater degree, and the concussion arising even from trifling blows on the head, would have effectually benumbed the faculties.

A child bears knocks which would be fatal in old age. This is owing to the skull being thin, uniform in texture, and elastic, in childhood; and to the brain being of a corresponding structure. The brain is at this age soft to a degree that would be unnatural in mature years: This resiliency of the skull, and yielding quality of the brain, explain how the child is uninjured by blows, which would be attended with fatal concussion in after-life. But there is also a provision in adults for moderating the effects of such accidents. In proportion as the brain acquires firmness during growth, a gradual change takes place in the structure of the bones of the head; the protecting cranium is not simply strengthened; it is not merely thickened; the flat bones which surround the brain are split into layers, an external and an internal one. These layers have each a different density, and a softer substance

than either is interposed between them; the effect of which is, to interrupt that vibration which would otherwise ring around the skull, and reach every molecule of the brain.

I have elsewhere* shewn that, in brutes, as in man, the processes and joinings of the skull are formed in relation to the forces to which the head is to be exposed; and that they vary according to the habits or mode of existence of the animal. The tearing fangs of the carnivorous animal, and the still more powerful teeth of the hyena, adapted for breaking the hardest bones, are implanted in sockets of corresponding strength. The horns of the bull, the antlers of the stag, are rooted in bones not only capable of supporting their weight, but of receiving the shocks to which such instruments expose the brain; and the firmness of the sutures in the crania of these animals demonstrates the precision with which every thing is set in just proportion.

A remark is here suggested by these considerations. The provisions which we have been noticing in the human head are not designed to give absolute security against violence, but to balance duly the chances of life; leaving us still under the conviction that pain and death follow injury; so that our experience of bodily suffering, and fear of incurring it, whilst they protect the life, lay the foundation of important moral qualities in our nature.

Let us now direct our attention especially to the forms of the skull. The back of the head is more exposed than the forehead: we defend the front with our arms and hands: not so the back, as in falling backwards. There

* Paley's "Natural Theology," with illustrative notes by Henry Lord Brougham and Sir Charles Bell.

is, accordingly, a very marked distinction in the strength of the occipital bone and that of the frontal bone. The prominence felt at the back part of the head is the centre of certain groinings, or arched ridges, which strengthen the bone within. We say groinings, for there is nothing more resembling the strong arches, or groinings, of an under-ground story of a building than these projections on the interior of the occiput. In front, the skull forms, on the whole, a lighter and more delicate shell than behind; yet it is not less adapted to protect the brain. The projecting parts of the forehead, which the anatomist calls the *eminentiæ frontales*, are, undoubtedly, most exposed; but they are, at the same time, the strongest points of the bone, for here the outer and inner surfaces are not parallel; there is an accumulation of bony substance in the two tables, to give them increased thickness. It has already been seen that the prominences over the eyebrows, characteristic of the mature or manly forehead, have no relation to the form of the brain at this part; they are merely the anterior walls of the frontal sinuses,—cavities which, it has been stated, belong principally to the organ of voice; yet they, and the ridges which project towards the temples, are a safeguard to the brain. Those latter-raised arches, called the temporal ridges of the frontal bone, consist of dense and hard bone, as obviously designed for adding strength, as is an edging of brass, in carpentry, or a piece of steel let into a horse-shoe. Imagine a man falling sidewise, and pitching on the shoulder and side of the head,—he strikes precisely on that point which is the most convex, the most dense, the thickest, and best protected.

Altogether, independently of phrenology, it has of old time been acknowledged, that fulness of the forehead,

combined with those forms which have been noticed, is an indication of intellectual capacity; and, as we have shewn, of human character and beauty. All physiologists have agreed in this view; whilst they are equally confident in affirming that anatomy affords no foundation for mapping the cranium into minute subdivisions or regions. As nature, by covering the head, has intimated her intention that we shall not there scan our neighbours' capacities, she has given us the universal language of *expression*. Man is gregarious; he looks for sympathy: it is not good for him to be alone; he solicits an unity of sentiment; and the language which expresses it is in the face.

THE CHARACTERISTIC FORMS OF THE LOWER ANIMALS.

Notwithstanding the high authorities in favour of the facial line, we have ventured to say that it is not adapted to give a measure of the capacity or area of the head in contrast with the face, in brutes; because the peculiarities of face in them depend on their instincts and propensities. These are for the most part indicated by the greater developement of some one or more of the organs common to them all, and the subserviency of others, not by the mass of the brain. The head of the horse presents us with an example; it is an herbivorous or graminivorous animal, and hence the peculiarities of its teeth. Now, it is in accordance with the teeth that the whole character of its form is derived. The incisor teeth or nippers project, that the head may reach the ground for feeding; and they have a peculiar structure, that they may be preserved sharp. The lips also conform to this object; they are not only suited to cover the teeth, but to project and gather the food.

Again, the grinders are large, strong, deeply socketed, and adapted to bear the trituration of the food for a term of years corresponding to the natural life of the animal. While the mouth is small, the head is long; and the muscles which operate on the lower jaw, to close it, and to give it the lateral motions necessary for grinding, are proportionably large; therefore the depth of the head behind, and the length and narrowness forwards, are the principal characteristics of the horse.*

Another peculiarity of the horse's head is seen in the construction of his nostril. He does not breathe through the mouth, but only through the nose. Here is an interesting relation of parts, which, though remote in place, are united in function. The nostril is indicative of the state of the lungs: and a large dilateable nostril has descended from the Arabian breed, and marks the capacity of "wind."

It is agreeable to see the young kid in the first hours of existence, impelled by its instincts to mount the cliffs and summits of the hills; or to behold the goat perched high on the scarped rock, his beard tossed by the wind, and

* Cuvier has been at the pains of measuring the facial line in a great variety of animals, beginning with the orang-outang and ending with the horse. Let us take the pug-dog, in which the angle is fixed at 35°, and compare it with the horse at 23°; who will not perceive that the difference of the facial angles depends on the extension of the jaws of the horse, necessarily arising from the form and number of the teeth, or in other words, from his mode of feeding?

Veterinary surgeons and naturalists have found it difficult to assign a use for certain cavities at the back part of the horse's head called the Eustachian cells. To me they do not appear to be subservient either to neighing or to the organ of hearing, as supposed; but they are placed in this situation, and filled with air, to occupy the large space intervening between the sides of the jaws, without materially increasing the weight. All jockeys know the defect in a horse of a heavy head and long neck.

browsing fearlessly. These animals, the sheep, and horned cattle generally, congregate, and make a circle to oppose an enemy; and present for their defence a combined front. Their eyes are placed differently from those of the horse; and the nostril wants the expansion necessary for maintaining a continued flight. The most curious adaptation of the form of an herbivorous animal to its mode of feeding is seen in the giraffe. The whole frame of the creature is formed with the view of enabling it to reach its food, which is not the herbage, but the leaves of trees. The skull is small, and so light, even in comparison with that of the horse, that it is like a thing of paper; and the tongue and the lips protrude, to catch the branches over head. The large prominent eyes, and the limbs formed for flight, betoken the timidity of the creature.

If we compare a carnivorous animal, as the lion, with a horned animal, as the bull, it will be readily perceived that it is from the teeth or the horns that the whole character of the head results. The peculiarity of the skull of the lion, or the tiger, consists chiefly in the breadth of the face, caused by the large zygomatic processes, which are formed of great size to give room to the strong muscles that close the jaw: and it is visible also in the shortness of the muzzle, and the depth of the face in front, where the canine teeth are situated; for these must be deeply socketed in the jaws to sustain the strength of the fangs, and the powerful efforts of the animal. The grinding teeth are small, and formed so as to cut like scissors; for there is here no lateral play of the jaws, as in grinding; the canine teeth overlapping and preventing that motion. The muscles which close the jaws are of tremendous power, commensurate with the length and strength of those fangs, which are for holding or tearing the prey.

See, again, the head of the boar, how all the parts hang, as it were, together, to produce its characteristic form: the snout and the great tusks are for grubbing up roots; yet, from his strength, he is a formidable animal, for he will turn and rend. This very term implies a great deal; he does not tear with his teeth, he does not *butt*, as with horns; but he runs straight forward, and with his projecting lateral tusk ploughs up the flesh. The whole strength of his body and neck is concentrated to the use of these formidable instruments. Look to the antique boar of the Florentine Gallery. The head rises high and projects behind, to give strong attachment to the powerful muscles constituting his very peculiarly shaped neck, which is large, thick, inflexible, and suited, when he rushes forwards, to convey the impulse to the head, and finally to the tusks.*

It ought to be a pleasing study to the artist to found his designs on an accurate knowledge of the structure and functions of animals. This pursuit unites his art with the liberal sciences of the naturalist and the comparative anatomist. And if he be a lover of the antique, he must have observed that, in the better ages of the arts, the sculptors were remarkable for giving a true and natural character in their representations of brutes. The knowledge of animal form is the only guide to the right conception of the perfection and beauty of the antique.

* Bridgewater Treatise on the Human Hand, 4th edition, p. 400.

FURTHER ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE PRINCIPLE, THAT BEAUTY IN THE HUMAN FORM HAS RELATION TO THE CHARACTERISTIC ORGANS OF MAN.

WHAT, then, gives nobleness and grace to the human figure, and how is deformity to be avoided? In the statues of antiquity we see that the artists had a perfect knowledge of the frame, and could represent it in all its natural beauty. But in many of these remains there is something beyond an exact copy of nature, — something which, as we have seen, has been called *divine*. Now the difficulty of explaining why such deviations from real nature should inspire us with admiration, has forced inquirers into vague surmises and comparisons. For example, they have applied the principles of harmony in music to the beauty of the human figure.

When the animal frame is surveyed as a whole, or as composed of parts more or less common to all living creatures, which is taking the philosophical view of the subject, an uniform plan is seen to pervade the animal kingdom. Not only may the skeleton be traced from a shell up to the complex mechanism in man,* but every organ or individual part, when viewed comparatively, will be found to undergo a similar developement; from the simple structure of those creatures which enjoy the lowest kind of sensibility, to that which exists in the human frame. If, according to this view, we examine the head, and follow the course of developement of the brain, as the part which occupies the cranium, and then that of the organs of the senses, which together constitute the face,

* See the author's "Bridgewater Treatise on the Human Hand," which may be taken as an introduction to the present subject.

and include the apparatus of speech, we shall distinguish what is peculiar to man. We shall learn what forms of parts bear relation to those endowments by which he holds his acknowledged superiority: and the conclusion may be arrived at, that by magnifying, in works of art, what is peculiarly characteristic of man, we may ennoble his countenance, and, without being strictly natural, attain what is better.

No faculties of the mind have been bestowed without the field for their exercise; men's capacities, their thoughts, and their affections, have their counterparts, or objects, to excite or to gratify them. There are beings superior to ourselves, and in a condition of existence different from ourselves, and the mind delights in contemplating them. Even in our enjoyment of beautiful objects, our thoughts rise beyond them. We walk into the country, in the woods and wilds, in love with nature and delighting in solitude. But if we examine our minds, we shall find that we people these solitudes; however we may believe that it is nature and inanimate creation which please us, all is referable to, and concentres in, some reflexion of the voice and features of human kindred.

In admiring the finer works of antiquity, it is admitted that the forms which we regard as models of perfection are unlike what has existed in nature: that no living head ever had the facial line of the Jupiter, the Apollo, the Mercury, or the Venus. Having found reasons to reject the theory of Camper, the question returns, How is that beautiful which is not natural?

Let us take the head of Mercury, which is simply beautiful, and the head of a satyr, both antique; and contemplate them in succession. In the Mercury, there

is a combination of forms and general proportions of the head and face, never seen in all the varieties of living man; yet is the whole and each particular feature perfectly beautiful. In turning to the satyr, we find every proportion reversed: the forehead narrow and depressed; the eyes near, small, and a little oblique; the nose flattened to the upper lip; the mouth protuberant; the ears large, tipped, and sharp; and the expression of the whole goatish and savage; and what there is of human expression is lively and humorous, but common and base. Now the principle which has been followed in giving beauty to the head of Mercury is obvious here. Whatever is peculiar to the human countenance, as distinguishing it from the brute, is enhanced. Not only is the forehead expanded and projecting, and the facial line more perpendicular, but every feature is modelled on the same principle: the ear is small and round; the nostril is eminently human, and unlike that of the beast; the mouth, the teeth, and lips, are not such as belong to the brute, nor are they the mere instruments of mastication, but of speech and human expression. So of every part, take them individually, or as a whole; whatever would lead to the resemblance of the brute is omitted or diminished.

The principle is further extended. It is not in the proportions between the face and the brain-case alone that the contrast is perceived, but in the quality or function of each organ. We have adverted to the theory of Cuvier, that as hunger and the animal passions govern brutes, and as the parts which chiefly minister to them in the face, are the organs of smell and of taste, the unusual developement of the nose and the mouth degrades or brutifies the human countenance. But we remarked, in regard to this, that the nose is not elevated in man, to increase

the organ of smelling: it belongs to the voice, to human voice and speech. And so must we consider the different functions of the mouth. In brutes, it is for prehension, tearing, and mastication; in man, its more distinguishable office is speech and expression. Model the lips for this, for eloquence and the expression of the softer passions, and it becomes beautiful; extend the teeth, and make the lips a mere covering for them, and it is brutal, at variance with human physiognomy and detracting from whatever is agreeable in the face.

Our principle will apply with equal force to the motions of the face as to the permanent form. Human sentiments prevailing in the expression of a face, will always make it agreeable or lovely. Expression is even of more consequence than shape: it will light up features otherwise heavy; it will make us forget all but the quality of the mind. As the natural tones of the voice are understood and felt by all, so it is with the movements of the countenance: on these we are continually intent, and the mind ever insensibly exercised.

Whether the views which I have here advocated were ever announced by the ancients I know not. But I think it is abundantly evident that their artists acted upon them. They went beyond mere imitation. They advanced to a higher study, that of combining excellencies; selecting what was indicative of the higher and purer qualities, impassioned thought, and this they exaggerated. Their divinities were of human mould; but still, as not visibly present, they were creations of their imagination.*

* In high art, it appears to have been the rule of the sculptor to divest the form of expression. In the Apollo, there is such a stillness of features, that every one follows his fancy, and thinks he sees in the statue what is really in his own mind. In the Venus, the form is exquisite and

The explanation which I offer differs from what is commonly given by writers on art. They call the "ideal head" that which does not represent individual beauty, but collective beauties, a selection and adaptation of beautiful parts taken from a variety of individuals, and combined in one representation.* I place the superiority of the antique on higher ground, on the more extended study of nature, of brutes as well as of man.

That the true animal character was fully understood by the ancient artists there is sufficient proof. Is there any thing finer than the wolf of the Capitol, or the antique boar, or the dogs in the entrance of the Florentine Gallery, or the horses of the Elgin marbles? It was this study of pure nature that enabled them to undertake such compositions of surprising beauty, as we see in their

the face perfect, but there is no expression there: it has no human softness, nothing to love. Mrs. —— saw a young gentleman, she thinks an American, kissing the tips of his fingers to the statue, as he left the Tribune (the apartment dedicated to the goddess), but for this the statue gives no license; it would not have been unbecoming had he so saluted the Melpomene, for there we see the loveliness which lurks in expression. The authoress of an agreeable work on Rome is disturbed because "she has seen women, real living women, almost as beautiful as the Venus, and far more interesting." We should find more of her way of thinking, if all would confess their first impressions. This, however, cannot detract from the perfection of a statue, which has been admired in all times, as now. It only points to the purity of the design, the high aim of the artist, and his successful execution. Had the Helen of Zeuxis been preserved, I can imagine that it would have been of a more feminine and seducing beauty than the Venus. But we must bear in mind that which I have taken notice of in the text, that all individuality was studiously avoided by the ancient sculptors, in the representation of divinity; they maintained the beauty of form and proportion, but without expression, which, in their system, belonged exclusively to humanity.

* "Nous dirons donc, que la combinaison des parties peut former un tout, est ce qu'on appelle l'idéal."—WINCKELMAN.

Fauns, Satyrs, Centaurs, and masks, where the peculiarities of brutes are engrafted on the human form. And it may be remarked that they did not merely give to their sylvan deities hair and cloven feet; they bestowed on them a certain consistency of character very difficult of execution, but necessary to reconcile the eye to the absurdity; a goatish expression of countenance, or a merry festive air, all in conformity with the hair and the hoofs, their embrowned skin, and the savage wildness of their life.*

What, then, was more natural or obvious, in studying the effect of these forms and characters when transferred to the human countenance, than that the artist should perceive that the proportions which distinguish them should be avoided, or even reversed, in representing the dignified and characteristic form of man.

Winckelman would make it appear that the artists of Greece studied the forms of the lower animals for a different purpose:—to join the character of the brute with that of man, in order to embellish him, and to bestow on him new and preternatural properties. And he refers to the heads of Jupiter and of Hercules as instances. "In the former," he says, "we may discover the great eyes, and imposing front, and the mane of the lion; and in the latter, the head and neck of the bull."

I must entertain doubts of this theory, and of the effect

* The difficulty of giving these combinations of the human and brute character, is shewn in the attempts of modern artists to imitate the ancients in their representations of Fauns and sylvan boys. They do not seem to know how to knit their joints, and their faces are too sober and wise.

——— "faber imus et ungues
Exprimet, et molles imitabitur ære capillos,
Infelix operis summa, quia ponere totum
Nesciet."

of the excessive exaggeration;—in the head of Jupiter I have not felt its influence. But, if the theory be true, it goes to establish the fact, that the artists studied the form of brutes in comparison with that of man; and I hold it to be an inevitable consequence of such a comparison, that they should discover that the perfection of the human form was to be attained, by avoiding what was characteristic of the inferior animals, and increasing the proportions of those features which belong to man.

I shall not deny ingenuity to the theory of Hogarth, or usefulness to that proposed by Sir Joshua Reynolds. But there is danger to the modern artist, if he is led to conceive that he can bestow beauty by following some fancied curve or gradation of outline. Sir Joshua held that beauty is the medium, or centre, of the various forms of individuals: that every species of animal has a fixed and determinate form, towards which nature is continually inclining, like lines terminating in a centre, or pendulums vibrating in different directions over a single point: as all these lines cut the centre, while only one passes through any other point, so he conceived that perfect beauty is oftener produced than any one kind of deformity. This ingenious idea is well suited to the portrait-painter, who will not be a favourite unless he knows how to soften the features and preserve the likeness. But there is this fatal objection to it; that, as in the antique, the artists deviated from nature, the pendulum would never reach the centre.

It is happy for philosophy, science, history, poetry, and eloquence, that the Greeks were a superior people, and happy for our subject that they were an eminently beautiful people. The artists of Greece certainly did not follow a vague line of beauty. They rather imitated some

acknowledged beautiful form of age or sex. They even combined the beauty of both sexes, as in the young Bacchus, or more decidedly in the Hermaphrodite.

With them, the highest effort of art was to represent man deified; as it were, purified from the grosser characters of nature. This they did, as we have already seen, by exaggerating whatever is proper to the human form: by increasing what gives dignity, and bestowing features, capable and prone to the expression of the finer emotions; representing them, either as still and imperturbed, or as indicating a superiority to the things of this lower world.

In painting, the representation of the Deity is always a distressing failure. If to represent Him who "became man," and "dwelt among us," be the highest effort of art, how is the Creator to be represented? Michael Angelo painted the Deity boldly, and with the expression of the indignant wrath of man. Raphael represents the Creator plunging into chaos* and separating the elements. But on viewing these paintings, we are brought to feel the insufficiency of the art, and to think of the artist to the exclusion of all sublime contemplations which the subject should inspire. Yet it is foolish to call such attempts impiety, since no other idea is presented than that which is inculcated from our infancy. Our expressions in words are at variance with our just conception of Divine Intelligence, and our tongue as imperfect as the pencil of the painter. The one solitary expression in the Scriptures descriptive of the person of God, is studiously obscure, and the accompaniments of His presence, not the countenance of the Almighty, are described.

* In the Gallery of Raphael, in the Vatican.

The sentiments of Plato, Cicero, and Seneca, are brought to bear on this subject of beauty and ideal perfection. Yet it is fortunate that we have the works of the ancient sculptors before us, to preserve us from the influence of vague theories. Cicero has given us his conception of a perfect orator. "And such an ideal person," he says, "may be the object of imitation; but those who imitate can only approach the model according to the talents which nature has given them. No man can possess all the qualities, or attain to the whole perfection of the model: he must in some one respect be deficient. His knowledge and capacity of research, his acquaintance with human character, his insinuating or commanding language, or his eloquent appeal to the heart, his countenance and expression, his voice, manner, gesture, cannot be all equally balanced so as to constitute the perfect orator." And he illustrates his position by the example of Phidias who, when he made the statue of Jupiter and Minerva, took no individual for his model, but had an idea of perfection in his own mind.*

Here I conceive is the source and the authority for all which has been written on this view of the subject. The

* In the following quotation, Brutus has asked Cicero what constitutes excellence in oratory. He answers, that no man has been perfect; that there is an ideal perfection which we should attempt to attain, nor resign the effort because to accomplish all is impossible; just as there is nothing beautiful which may not in imagination be surpassed:—

"Sed ego sic statuo, nihil esse in ullo genere tam pulchrum, quo non pulchrius id sit, unde illud, ut ex ore aliquo, quasi imago, exprimatur, quod neque oculis, neque auribus, neque ullo sensu percipi potest; cogitatione tantum et mente complectimur. Itaque et Phidiæ simulacris, quibus nihil in illo genere perfectius videmus, et his picturis, quas nominavi, cogitare tamen possumus pulchriora. Nec vero ille artifex,

great artist had formed a conception of beauty: the question perpetually returns, By what studies, by what theory, had he attained this? The perplexity appears to me to proceed from a distinction being made between the pleasures of the mind, and those addressed to the senses. Plautus says that the poet seeks what nowhere exists, and yet finds it. His genius supplies it, it is in his mind.

The novelist who has genius to catch and to represent the feelings of men, and their motives to action, may give a truer picture of his period than the historian, even although he describes what never existed. That is to say, the incidents, the passions, the prejudices, which he describes, may never have been combined as he combines them; but they are true to nature, and to the state of society in which he lives, and are, therefore, a record of the time. But this is not the rationale of the ideal in painting.

Or we may illustrate this in another manner. When Zeuxis was employed on his Helen, five of the most beautiful women were before him, from whom he composed his perfect beauty. But it was not the object of the artist here to produce ideal beauty, or to give that repose of sentiment which is the effect of contemplating the Medicean Venus; his aim was to represent a beautiful

cum faceret Jovis formam, aut Minervæ, contemplabatur aliquem, e quo similitudinem duceret: sed ipsius in mente insidebat species pulchritudinis eximia quædam, quam intuens, in eaque defixus, ad illius similitudinem artem et manum dirigebat. Ut igitur in formis et figuris est aliquid perfectum et excellens, cujus ad cogitatam speciem imitando referuntur ea quæ sub oculis ipsa cadunt: sic perfectæ eloquentiæ speciem animo videmus, effigiem auribus quærimus."—CICERO *de Oratore*, cap. 2.

and seductive woman, whose charms were to lead men to extravagance. And why have not painters with the same means attained to the same perfection? It has been answered, Because they have not had the same genius. On which M. Quatremere De Quincy observes, "What, then, is a model, if genius be still necessary in order to imitate it? Who shall tell whether it is the model that causes genius to see the image of beauty; or, genius that sees its own idea in the model." *

There has been another theory advanced, that, in the antique statue there is presented to us the grandeur of form and the proportions of man, as he originally proceeded from the Creator: such as he was designed to be before he was subjected to labour, poverty, and sickness.

But in the early times of all people, their gods have been represented by the trunks of trees, or pillars rudely carved; and, when improved, it has been by imitating the human form with simplicity. At first, the head was carved as on a pedestal; then the neck, breast, and shoulders, and the indication of sex; then the arms and the extremities were imperfectly blocked out, until, at length, and after ages had passed, the members were displayed free, and the figure perfected in manly beauty.

* The same author thus expresses himself: "In this we have the enigma of Plautus solved; in every art, whatever comes within the scope of the understanding, of sentiment, and of genius, does not really exist any where; has neither substance nor place, and is subjected to no one of the senses, while he who finds it is unable to point out where he has seen the model of it."

This is language which puffs up the young artist to inordinate conceit; and, instead of studying, sets him a dreaming of something for which he is to be beholden to his innate genius.

I shall once more endeavour to analyse that process of thought by which, out of the contemplation of nature, ideal perfection is derived. The idea of the divine form in the mind of any man, whatever may be his genius, has been acquired, and is of human origin; and the attempts of all painters and sculptors to embody the idea in their works, evince that such is the case. That a man of genius has an idea of perfection cannot be the result of pure imagination. Whatever conceptions he may entertain must have been acquired; and the question returns, How? Let us suppose a painter to have before him the three Graces; their perfections are not the same: for to have full influence on the heart, we know that, however beautiful, each must be individual; that the form, the attitude, and the expression must be varied, or the interest and grace are injured. The attempt of the painter to combine what is beautiful in each, into one more perfect, would, in my opinion, fail; nature would be lost, and the whole prove inconsistent. At all events, the combination of individual human beauty, however made, and with whatever exercise of genius contrived, would not produce what is aimed at,— ideal beauty, as exhibited in the remains of antiquity; a form which we acknowledge to be beautiful, but which has had no existence in life or in models.

With the view of attaining beauty, the artist is not to slight nature or to avoid it, but to study it deeply, as the only source of improvement. He must not only contemplate those beauties which we may suppose to stand before him, but consider where they differ from others less admirable. How beautiful that smile! How eloquent those

lips! Let him ask himself in what this consists. Smiling and speech are characteristic of man, and are bestowed to express the affections of the heart, and communicate thought. Give to the mouth the capacity for these. Observe the forehead, and the defined eyebrow: — What is there in nature superior? Let him mark them, and then raise and throw forward the forehead, a feature especially human, and elevating to the countenance. Now he sees that depth is given to the eye; that the shadows fall with bold relief, the eyebrow acquires more freedom, stands in a finer arch, and is more expressive of agreeable emotions. And thus he passes from point to point; from one feature to another, — the nose, the ear: exaggerating a little the outline of whatever indicates the higher and purer qualities, and avoiding what is low, or whatever is associated with the baser human passions or with the form of the brutes; and by insensible gradations, and long contemplation of what is highest and best, he acquires, and from nature, that idea which is, in his mind, the perfection of form.

Supposing that a painter so tutored is set with his fellows to copy a model; by his knowledge of what constitutes humanity in its most perfect condition, and of what is indicative of human sentiment, he is enabled to elevate his design; and then it is acknowledged that, whilst he has preserved the likeness, he has refined it, and has introduced something of the purity of the antique.

Although I have taken the form of the head and the features for illustration, the principle is applicable to the whole figure. In comparing the finer forms of antique statues with those of the Athletæ, Lapithæ, and Fauns,

down to the brutes, we see that the grace, the repose, and the nobler attitudes of the human body, are preserved in the former, to the exclusion of whatever belongs to individual character, or partakes by association of what is mean in condition.

The Satyr and Faun are as mules and hybrids; the man and the brute are joined; sometimes with the horns and the hoofs, sometimes with nothing more distinctive than the tail; and the conception is fulfilled by the grossness of form, the muscular developement, and the proportions indicative of activity. But there is neither freedom nor grace of movement in the position of the body or limbs, nor in their proportions or contour. In short, we have the Apollo and Marsyas exhibiting a perfect contrast, and shewing that which is characteristic in the one reversed in the other.

NATIONAL PECULIARITIES IN THE FORM OF THE HEAD.

Sir David Wilkie, whose loss we have had so lately to deplore, was one of my earliest pupils, having attended a course of my lectures on anatomy, as connected with design. On returning from the Continent in August 1840, I found him preparing for a journey; and he made me guess whither he was going. To Rome?—no. To Greece?—no. Surely not to court fortune in India?—no. He was setting off to the Holy Land, to study there an Eastern people. In this, he displayed that energy which ever accompanies genius. How much of character, in feature and costume, would he not have thrown into his future pictures! Here we have a lesson from one entitled to sway our opinion on his art, of the importance of a knowledge of national forms to the historical painter. It is for this reason that I introduce a slight account of the varieties of the human head, depending on national peculiarities. It may assist the artist in the study of such natives of foreign countries as he may chance to meet with.

Even in the most admired productions of art, I find little to which I can refer for elucidating this subject. Sculptors and painters have been too commonly content to characterise an inhabitant of the East by a tuft of hair on his crown; or an African, by a swarthy face. There is a late publication that illustrates the question of national peculiarities in a very interesting way,—a folio volume which contains accurate portraits of the skulls of all the American races, from the old inhabitants of Mexico and Peru to those of the farthest north.*

* "Crania Americana," by Dr. Morton, Professor of Anatomy in Pennsylvania College.

In considering the extraordinary collection of skulls in this work, with the view of marking the relation between the form of the head and superiority of mind, in men of cultivated intellect, as contrasted with those leading a savage life, it must be acknowledged that much is wanting. Although there can be no objection to the mode adopted by the writer of estimating the actual mass of brain; yet his measurements ought to have been made in reference to the dimensions of the whole body. The size of the cranium, and consequently, the volume of the brain, must be relative to the face; and the face can be taken only as an imperfect index of the entire skeleton. If the cavity of the skull is to be gauged,—if the quantity of sand or of seeds, which different crania are capable of containing, is to be measured, the comparison will not be satisfactory, unless the measurement of each be contrasted with that of the face and of the body; and be also examined with respect to the proportions of the brain itself, or its form.

Again, it is taken for granted, that we who exercise our best faculties within the four walls of a house, must have a developement of brain beyond what the free-dweller in the plains or forests of, what is termed, a new country can possess. I believe, on the contrary, that man, in his state of nature, has imposed upon him the necessity of bringing into operation quite as many faculties of mind as the man at his desk; and that, from the brain being exercised in every use to which the external senses are put, its volume is not inferior to that of the individual in civilised life. We must take along with us this consideration, that the exercise of our external senses infers an accompanying activity of the brain: that of the nervous apparatus appropriated to the senses, it is the exterior part alone that is given to the

eye, ear, nose, tongue: the internal part, forming the sensorium, is in the brain. Remembering this, and that the powers exercised by the savage are not instincts, as in the brutes, but operations of the mind calling the brain into action, I am unwilling to grant that any measurable deficiency in its mass, as a whole, is likely to be perceived. Were it really so, we should find the gamekeeper inferior to his master, in a greater degree than my experience warrants.

Every one must have observed among those with whom he lives, that there is as much variety in feature, stature, colour, hair, beard, &c. as there is in expression of countenance: and a very little philosophy will indicate the necessity of such varieties, for the constitution of society. But in regard to national peculiarities, although the distinctions between individuals of a particular country are, doubtless, in many instances, as great as between the people of one country compared with another; yet there are certain forms of head, or casts of feature, or peculiarities of hair, and complexion, which characterise different nations.

We need not here enter into the question, how these distinctions have been produced. It would require much critical examination to decide whether national peculiarities of form are owing to an original provision, by which the structure changes, and acquires distinctive characters under the influence of circumstances—such as of the various climates to which the first families were exposed, on their dispersion from one centre; or whether there are truly distinct races which had a conformation and constitution from the beginning, suited to the regions for which they were destined, and to which they were blindly driven.

All testimony agrees in shewing that mankind was first planted in Western Asia; there, in the valleys, perpetual summer reigns; there the vegetable productions best suited to man's nourishment are most abundant: there are the animals, in a state of nature, which are led by their instincts to yield themselves up to his use — the horse, the ass, the cow, the sheep, the goat, the camel, the dog; and there the climate is so favourable to the human constitution, that even now we look to these countries for examples of perfection, both in feature and colour, of man himself.

From this part of the globe, the varieties of man, distinguished as to exterior form and complexion, may be traced divergingly: to this point the sciences and arts may be followed back; and the study of the derivation of tongues, and of the grammatical construction of languages, does not negative the conclusion, but rather indicates that this part of the earth was the centre from which the nations spread.

The grouping of mankind into races has occupied the ingenuity of many naturalists and physiologists, from the time of Buffon and Linnæus to the present day; but we rest principally on the authority of Blumenbach. In the valleys of the Caucasus, between the Black Sea and the Caspian, we may distinguish, in the Caucasian family, those features which, according to the views just presented, we should say were the nearest to perfection. The skull is large and fully developed in front; the face is small, and the features well proportioned; the forehead is elevated; the nose arched, or raised; the teeth perpendicular in their sockets; the chin round, and the lips full of expression; the skin fair; the eyes dark; the eyebrows arched; the eyelashes long; and the hair varied in colour. The Cir-

cassians have long been noted for the beauty of the women, and for the imposing stature, elegance, and activity of the men; and the Georgians and other tribes are remarkable for personal beauty.

From this centre, proceeding westward, we recognise the Europeans. The original inhabitants of Thessaly and Greece are designated as the Pelasgic branch: that enterprising and migratory people, who at an early period extended to Italy, and from whom descended the Etruscans. The Hellenes, or Greeks, receiving letters from the Phœnicians, surpassed all the nations of antiquity, in philosophy, literature, and art. The Greek face is a fine oval; the forehead full, and carried forward; the eyes large; the nose straight; the lips and chin finely formed: in short, the forms of the head and face have been the type of the antique, and of all which we most admire. The modern Greeks are still distinguished by athletic proportions and fine features.

The Roman head differs from the Greek, in having a more arched forehead, a nose more aquiline, and features altogether of a more decided character; and this is even apparent in the busts of that people, as exhibited in the two splendid volumes of Visconti. The remarks of Bishop Wiseman on this subject are important, as his lectures were delivered in Rome, and to persons who had only to step out of the college to ascertain their accuracy. Travellers have often stated that the countenances of the population beyond the Tiber exactly resemble those of the Roman soldiers on the column of Trajan; but Dr. Wiseman observes correctly, that any one slightly acquainted with art, will soon be satisfied that the model on these historical monuments is really

Grecian, and can give no aid in ascertaining the physiognomy of the ancient inhabitants of Italy. He bids us look to the busts, and reclining statues of the ancient Romans carved on the sarcophagi, or to the series of imperial busts in the Capitol, where we shall discover the true type of the national figure, viz. a large flat head, a low and wide forehead, a face broad and square, a short and thick neck, and a stout and broad trunk: proportions totally at variance with what are generally considered to be those of the ancient Roman. Nor have we to go far, if in Rome, to find their descendants; they are to be met with every day in the streets, principally among the burgesses or middle class.*

The German race has been spread, from east to west, over a great part of Europe, blending with the Celts. It is separated into the Teutonic and Sclavonian families; their military enterprises form the history of the darker ages, when they came down upon the Roman empire.

* "For my part, I looked for the type of the Roman soldier among the Galleotti. There was a body of these condemned men, chained together, who were marched every evening from their work of rebuilding the great basilica of St. Paul's, beyond the walls. This church, which was burnt, stands some way out of Rome, and I walked beside and behind these bands; and finer figures are not to be conceived; their loose dress, and the gyves upon their legs, gave to their air and attitude something formidable. They seemed fit for the offices of a tyrant, and to subdue the world. I must ever remember one evening, when I saw these men, with their mounted guards, passing under the Arch of Titus, and the broad shadow of the Colosseum. Dr. Wiseman says, in regard to the sculptures on that arch, that the profiles of the soldiers shew that there was a rule, or model, adapted to the common men, and from which the artist might not depart; while the figure of the emperor, seated in his chariot, forms a strong contrast to them. Though his features are now quite effaced, enough remains of the outline to shew the full heavy face, and bulky head, of a true Roman."—*Notes from Journal.*

Other hordes mingled with the Tartars; and are recognised in history, as the people who broke in upon the Persian and the Roman empires in the east. The Celtic Gaul of the Romans gave residence to a race, which is now diminished to the remnant living in the mountainous districts of the extreme west of Europe.

The Mongolian Tartars occupy great part of the north of Asia and Europe. The eyelids of this people are oblique, the nose is small and flat, broad towards the forehead; the cheek-bones are high, the chin short, and the lips large and thick; the ears are flat and square; the general form of the head round. The Mongol Tartar tribes have become mixed with the neighbouring nations, and exhibit a variety of physiognomy. Hordes of this people invaded China, and settling in the north of that great empire have blended with the original Chinese.

To the north-west, they mingled with the polar races, and have merged in the Kamschatkans and Tungusians; the Huns, whose incursions into more civilised Europe, are recorded in history, were Mongol Tartars. The primitive Turks were also of the same race; but, by overrunning Circassia, Georgia, Greece, and Arabia, their physical character has been changed, and they have become a handsome people. The open nostril and short nose, which mark the Turkish countenance, still betray their original extraction; their eyes are dark and animated, and the whole face is expressive and intelligent.

The Chinese skull is oblong, the frontal bone narrow in proportion to the width of the bones of the face. Accordingly the countenance is flat, and the cheeks expanded; the eyelids are not freely open, and are drawn obliquely up towards the temples; the eyebrows are black

and highly arched; the nose is small and flattened, with a marked depression separating it from the forehead; the hair is black, and the complexion sallow.

The Malay race is scattered through the Indian Islands, Sumatra, Java, Borneo, Amboyna, Celebes, the Philippines, Moluccas. The forehead, in the Malay, is prominent and arched, but low; the orbits oblique and oblong; the nasal bones broad and flattened; the cheek-bones high and expanded; the jaws projecting. The head is, altogether, large; the mouth and the lips protrude; the nose is short, depressed, and flattened towards the nostrils; the eyes are small and oblique. They are of a brown complexion, varying in the different tribes.

Some uncertainty prevails as to the race to which the ancient Egyptians belonged. This has arisen from the difficulty of reconciling the early and extensive knowledge of that people, with the acknowledged deficiency of capacity in the Negro. We might expect that the mummies and drawings in their pyramids and tombs should have long since decided the question; but the position of Egypt may account for the obscurity. Being on the confines of two great continents, the Egyptians became early a mixed people. The skull is found to be well formed, and unlike that of the Ethiopian. The probability is, that the Negro was then, as now, a subjugated race.*

The Greek applied the terms Ethiop and Indian to all the dark people of the south. By Ethiopian, we now correctly understand the different races which inhabit the interior of Africa; extending from the south of Mount

* Blumenbach thinks that he can discover among the mummies the heads of the Ethiopian, the Indian, and the Besbers. Denon conceives that the female mummies indicate that the women of ancient Egypt had great beauty.

Atlas and Abyssinia to the country of the Caffres and Hottentots.

The general character of the Negro countenance is familiar to us. Of the great antiquity of the race there can be no doubt. When, indeed, the effigy of the Negro is found depicted on the ancient walls of Egypt, and vessels are dug up, the characters on which are read by modern Chinese, we may well despair of obtaining any thing like a satisfactory history of the spread of nations, and the settlement of mankind in the different regions of the globe. The depression of the forehead and compression of the temples, which are distinctive of the Africans, although there be splendid examples of fine form among the nations of that continent, mark them as a degraded race.*

Diverging still from the presumed central origin of mankind, we find the Polynesian family in the islands of the Pacific Ocean. The inhabitants of these isles are of middle stature, athletic, with heavy limbs. Their faces are round or delicately oval; the nose is well formed, straight, or aquiline, sometimes spread out, but not having the flatness of the Negro; the forehead is low, but not

* The great families of mankind are distinguished by colour as well as form and features. The Caucasian by white; the African by black; the Mongolian by olive, tending to yellow; the Malay by tawny; the American by brown, or nearly copper hue. The colour of the hair, and that of the iris, partake of the colour of the skin. The Caucasian, with fair complexion, has red, brown, or light-coloured hair, and the eyes of different shades of grey and blue. In those of darker complexion, the hair is black and the eyes dark. In the Mongol, the hair is thin, stiff, and straight. In the European, soft, flexible, and flowing. In the Negro, thick-set, strong, short, and curly. But in all races there spring up occasional varieties.

receding; the eyes black, bright, and expressive; the lips full, and the teeth fine.*

In America, the same difficulties present themselves in relation to the origin and propagation of races as in the Old World. The most recent inquiries authorise the distinction of two families inhabiting America; first, a race called Toltecan, belonging originally to Mexico and Peru, which, from the shapes of the skulls found in the graves, and the accompanying relics, give evidence of greater civilisation than belongs to the present natives; and secondly, a people which extending over the greater portion of the vast continent, embraces all the barbarous nations of the New World, excepting the polar tribes, or Mongolian Americans, which are presumed to be straggling parties from Asia, such as the Esquimaux, Greenlanders, and Fins.

In the native American, there is no trace of the frizzled locks of the Polynesian or the woolly texture on the head of the Negro. The hair is long, lank, and black; the beard is deficient; the cheek-bones are large and prominent; the lower jaw broad and ponderous, truncated in front; the teeth vertical and very large; the nose is decidedly arched, and the nasal cavities of great size. They ought not to be called the copper-coloured race. The colour is brown, or of a cinnamon tint. As in the Old World, the colour varies, and the darkness does not always correspond to the climate or vicinity to the equator.

* It is amusing to find voyagers making distinctions here between the plebeian and the aristocratic classes. But so it is every where. Among the Lybians and Moors, as in the countries of Asia and Europe, the comforts and luxuries of life improve the physical condition of man.

Of the imperfect sketch of the varieties of mankind which I have here presented, every sentence might be the text of a long essay. But in this, as in the whole volume, I have attempted only to awaken attention, and to make the reader an observer of what may pass before him; giving him the elements on which his ingenuity or acumen is to be employed in his intercourse with society.

ESSAY III.

ON THOSE SOURCES OF EXPRESSION IN THE HUMAN COUNTENANCE WHICH CANNOT BE EXPLAINED ON THE IDEA OF A DIRECT INFLUENCE OF THE MIND UPON THE FEATURES.

"The heart of a man changeth his countenance, whether for good or evil."— *The Son of Sirach.*

"I do believe thee;
I saw his heart in his face."—SHAKSPEARE.

In the human countenance, under the influence of passion, there are characters expressed, and changes of features produced, which it is impossible to explain on the notion of a direct operation of the mind upon the features. Ignorance of the source of these changes of the features, or inattention to the cause which produces them, has thrown an obscurity over the whole of this subject, which it is my wish to remove.

If, in the examination of the sources of expression, it should be found that the mind is dependent on the frame of the body, the discovery ought not to be considered as humiliating, or as affecting the belief of a separate existence of that part of our nature on which the changes wrought in the body are ultimately impressed. Since we are dwellers in a material world it is necessary that

the spirit should be connected with it by an organised body, without which it could neither feel nor re-act, nor manifest itself in any way. It is a fundamental law of our nature that the mind shall have its powers developed through the influence of the body; that the organs of the body shall be the links in the chain of relation between it and the material world, through which the immaterial principle within shall be affected.

As the Creator has established this connexion between the mind and external nature, so has He implanted, or caused to be generated, in us, various higher intellectual faculties. In every intelligent being He has laid the foundation of emotions that point to Him, affections by which we are drawn to Him, and which rest in Him as their object. In the mind of the rudest slave, left to the education of the mere elements around him, sentiments arise which lead him to a Parent and a Creator. These feelings spring up spontaneously; they are universal, and not to be shaken off; and no better example than this can be given of the adaptation of the mind to the various relations in which man is placed, or one that tends more to raise in us a conception of the Author of our being, and increase our estimation of ourselves, as allied to Him.

This it is, perhaps, necessary to premise, when I am about to prove the extensive influence of the corporeal on the intellectual part of man.

In examining the phenomena of the mind, philosophers have too much overlooked this relation between the mental operations and the condition of the bodily frame. It appears to me that the frame of the body, exclusive of the special organs of seeing, hearing, &c. is a complex organ, I shall not say of sense, but which ministers, like the external senses, to the mind; that is to say, as the organs

of the five senses serve to furnish ideas of matter, the framework of the body contributes, in certain conditions, to develope various states of the mind.

In the affections which we call passions or emotions, there is an influence which points to the breast as the part where they are felt. Some have asserted that they are seated in the bowels; and the sensations I am about to describe have been arrayed as proofs that the affections exist in the body. But that, I affirm, is impossible. They are conditions of the mind, and cannot be seated in the body, although they both influence and are influenced by it.

We have learned enough to know that the impressions communicated by the external organs of sense belong really to the mind; and there can be no doubt that there is a mutual influence exercised by the mind and frame on each other. This is not asserted on the mere grounds that each affection which is deeply felt, is accompanied by a disturbance in our breast; nor on the language of mankind, which gives universal assent to this proposition; but it may be proved by circumstances of expression, in which we cannot be deceived. I shall make it manifest that what the eye, the ear, or the finger, is to the mind, as exciting those ideas which have been appointed to correspond with the qualities of the material world, the organs of the breast are to the developement of our affections; and that without them we might see, hear, and smell, but we should walk the earth coldly indifferent to all emotions which may be said in an especial manner to animate us, and give interest and grace to human thoughts and actions.

By emotions are meant certain changes or affections of the mind, as grief, joy, astonishment. That such states or conditions of the mind should in any degree pertain to the body, may not, perhaps, be willingly admitted, unless we

take along with us that the ideas of sense, as light, sound, or taste, are generated by the organs of the senses, and not by any thing received and conveyed by them to the sensorium. It is ascertained that the different organs of the senses can be exercised, and give rise to sensation and perception, when there is no corresponding outward impression; and the ideas thus excited are according to the organ struck or agitated: that is, the same impression conveyed to different organs of sense will give rise to a variety of sensations; as light, when the eye is struck; sound, when the ear is struck; and so on with the other organs; the sensation corresponding with the organ which is exercised, and not with the cause of the impression. A needle passed through the retina, the organ of vision, will produce the sensation of a spark of fire, not of sharpness or pain; and the same needle, if applied to the papillæ of the tongue, will give rise to the sense of taste; while if it prick the skin, pain will follow. This law of the senses is arbitrarily or divinely ordered; it might have been otherwise. Accordingly, when we observe that the organs of the senses operate in producing specific ideas, independently of their own peculiar exciting causes, we can comprehend better how other organs of the body may have a relation established with the mind, and a control over it, without reference to outward impressions.

Let us consider the heart, in its office of receiving the influence of the mind, and of reflecting that influence.

It may, in the first place, be observed, that there is hardly an organ of the body limited to one function; all are complex in their operation. How many offices, for example, are performed by the lungs? It is a singular fact in the history of physiological opinions, that the heart, an organ the most susceptible of being excited by

the agitations or derangements of the body, should have been considered at one time as insensible. And yet in one sense it is true that it is so. To actual touch the heart is insensible, as was exhibited to the illustrious Harvey, in the person of a young nobleman, who had the heart exposed by disease. This single circumstance, had there been no other evidence, should have earlier directed physiologists to a correct view of the matter; from its proving that the internal organs are affected and united by sensibilities which are altogether different in kind from those bestowed upon the skin. The sensibility of the external surface of the body is a special endowment adapted to the elements around, and calculated to protect the interior parts from injury. But though the heart has not this common sense of touch, yet it has an appropriate sensibility, by which it is held united in the closest connexion and sympathy with the other vital organs; so that it participates in all the changes of the general system of the body.

But connected with the heart, and depending on its peculiar and excessive sensibility, there is an extensive apparatus which demands our attention. This is the organ of breathing: a part known obviously as the instrument of speech; but which I shall shew to be more. The organ of breathing, in its association with the heart, is the instrument of expression, and is the part of the frame, by the action of which the emotions are developed and made visible to us. Certain strong feelings of the mind produce a disturbed condition of the heart; and through that corporeal influence, directly from the heart, indirectly from the mind, the extensive apparatus constituting the organ of breathing is put in motion, and gives us the outward signs which we call expression. The

man was wrong who found fault with nature for not placing a window before the heart, in order to render visible human thoughts and intentions. There is, in truth, provision made in the countenance and outward bearing for such discoveries.

One, ignorant of the grounds on which these opinions are founded, has said, "Every strong emotion is directed towards the heart: the heart experiences various kinds of sensation, pleasant or unpleasant, over which it has no control; and from thence the agitated spirits are diffused over the body." The fact is certainly so, although the language be figurative. How are these spirits diffused, and what are their effects?

We find that the influence of the heart upon the extended organ of respiration has sway at so early a period of our existence, that we must acknowledge that the operation or play of the instrument of expression precedes the mental emotions with which they are to be joined, accompanies them in their first dawn, strengthens them, and directs them. So that it is not, perhaps, too much to conclude that, from these organs moving in sympathy with the mind, the same uniformity is produced among men, in their internal feelings, emotions, or passions, as there exists in their ideas of external nature from the uniform operations of the organs of sense.

Let us place examples before us, and then try whether the received doctrines of the passions will furnish us with an explanation of the phenomena, or whether we must go deeper, and seek the assistance of anatomy.

In the expression of the passions, there is a compound influence in operation. Let us contemplate the appearance of terror. We can readily conceive why a man stands with eyes intently fixed on the object of his fears,

the eyebrows elevated to the utmost, and the eye largely uncovered; or why, with hesitating and bewildered steps, his eyes are rapidly and wildly in search of something. In this, we only perceive the intent application of his mind to the object of his apprehensions — its direct influence on the outward organ. But observe him further: there is a spasm on his breast, he cannot breathe freely, the chest is elevated, the muscles of his neck and shoulders are in action, his breathing is short and rapid, there is a gasping and a convulsive motion of his lips, a tremor on his hollow cheek, a gulping and catching of his throat; and why does his heart knock at his ribs, while yet there is no force of circulation? — for his lips and cheeks are ashy pale.

So in grief, if we attend to the same class of phenomena, we shall be able to draw an exact picture. Let us imagine to ourselves the overwhelming influence of grief on woman. The object in her mind has absorbed all the powers of the frame, the body is no more regarded, the spirits have left it, it reclines, and the limbs gravitate; they are nerveless and relaxed, and she scarcely breathes; but why comes at intervals the long-drawn sigh? — why are the neck and throat convulsed? — what causes the swelling and quivering of the lips, and the deadly paleness of the face? — or why is the hand so pale and earthly cold? — and why, at intervals, as the agony returns, does the convulsion spread over the frame like a paroxysm of suffocation?

It must, I think, be acknowledged, when we come to arrange these phenomena, these outward signs of the passions, that they cannot proceed from the direct influence of the mind alone. However strange it may sound to unaccustomed ears, it is to the heart and lungs, and all

the extended instrument of breathing, that we are to trace these effects.

Over such motions of the body the mind has an unequal control. By a strong effort the outward tokens may be restrained, at least in regard to the general bearing of the body; but who, while suffering, can retain the natural fulness of his features, or the healthful colour of his cheek, the unembarrassed respiration and clearness of the natural voice? The villain may command his voice, and mask his purpose with light and libertine words, or carry an habitual sneer of contempt of all softer passions; but his unnatural paleness, and the sinking of his features, will betray that he suffers. Clarence says to his murderers,

> "How deadly dost thou speak!
> Your eyes do menace me: Why look you pale?"

But the just feelings of mankind demand respect; men will not have the violence of grief obtruded on them. To preserve the dignity of his character, the actor must permit those uncontrollable signs of suffering alone to escape, which betray how much he feels, and how much he restrains.

Even while asleep, these interior organs of feeling will prevail, and disclose the source of expression. Has my reader seen Mrs. Siddons in Queen Katharine during that solemn scene where the sad note was played which she named her knell? Who taught the crowd sitting at a play, an audience differing in age, habits, and education, to believe those quivering motions, and that gentle smile, and those slight convulsive twitchings, to be true to nature? To see every one hushed to the softest breathing of sympathy with the silent expression of the actress, exhibits all mankind held together by one universal feeling: and that feeling, excited by expression, so deeply laid in

our nature, as to have influence, without being obvious to reason.

To illustrate this curious subject, I shall first explain the extensive connexions which are established betwixt the great organs that sustain life and the muscular system of the face, neck, and chest. I shall then shew that the functions of these organs are affected by passions of the mind. I shall prove that this connexion subsists at the moment of birth, and accompanies us through life; and, finally, that from this source are derived those obscure indications of emotion in the countenance and general frame, which cannot be explained on the supposition of a direct influence of the mind on the muscles of expression.

The heart and the lungs may be safely taken as two parts which are combined in the same function. The action of the heart, and the motion of the lungs, are equally necessary to the circulation of that blood which is fitted for the supply of the body; and the interruption of their motions threatens life. Accordingly, these two organs are united by nerves, and consequently by the closest sympathy; and in all the variations to which they are liable, they are still found to correspond, the accelerated action of the one being directly followed by the excitement of the other.

The motion of the lungs proceeds from a force altogether external to them: they themselves are passive, being moved by a very great number of muscles which lie upon the breast, back, and neck; that is, the exterior muscles give play to the ribs, and the lungs follow the motions of the chest. The heart and lungs, though insensible to common impression, yet being acutely alive to their proper stimulus, suffer from the slightest change of posture or exertion of the frame, and also from the changes or affections of the mind. The impression thus made on

these internal organs is not visible by its effect upon them, but on the external and remote muscles associated with them. This law exists in all mankind; we see the consequence in those susceptible and nervous persons, whom the mere change of position, or the effort of rising, or the slightest emotion of mind, flutters and agitates. But it is when the strong are subdued by this mysterious union of soul and body, when passion tears the breast, that the most afflicting picture of human frailty is presented, and the surest proof afforded, that it is on the respiratory organs that the influence of passion falls with so powerful an expression of agony.

The next circumstance of this detail to which I beg attention, is the extent of the actions of respiration: the remoteness of the parts agitated in sympathy with the heart. The act of respiration is not limited to the trunk; the actions of certain muscles of the windpipe, the throat, the lips, the nostrils, are necessary to expand those tubes and openings, so that the air may be admitted through them in respiration, with a freedom corresponding with the increased action of the chest. Without this, the sides of these pliant tubes would fall together, and we should be suffocated by exertion or passion. Let us consider how many muscles are combined in the simple act of breathing—how many are added in the act of coughing—how these are changed and modified in sneezing;—let us reflect on the various combinations of muscles of the throat, windpipe, tongue, lips, in speaking and singing, and we shall be able justly to estimate the extent of the muscles which are associated with the proper or simple act of dilating and compressing the chest. But how much more numerous are the changes wrought upon these muscles, when nature employs them in the double capacity of communicating our

thoughts and feelings; not in the language of sounds merely, but in the language of expression in the countenance also; for certainly the one is as much their office as the other.

The nervous system is complex in an extraordinary degree; but the reader may not be deterred from attempting to understand at least so much, that there is a class of nerves appropriated to respiration. These nerves arise from the same part of the brain; the great central nerve descends into the chest, to be distributed to the heart and lungs; and the others extend to the exterior muscles of the chest, neck, and face. Under the influence of the central nerve, the diverging external ones become the instruments of breathing and of expression. The labour of many months discloses to the anatomist but a part of these nervous cords; and the consideration of the uses they serve presents the most overwhelming proof of the excellence of design,—but a design made manifest by the results, rather than comprehensible in its means.

Can we perfectly understand how tickling the throat should produce a convulsion over the whole frame, in which a hundred muscles are finely adjusted, and proportioned in their actions to expel what irritates the windpipe? or how tickling the nostril should make a change in these muscles, throw some out, and bring others into action, to the effect of sending the air through a different tube to remove what is offensive, and all this without the act of the will?

Let us see how the machine works. Observe a man threatened with suffocation: remark the sudden and wild energy that pervades every feature; the contractions of his throat, the gasping and the spasmodic twitchings of his face, the heaving of his chest and shoulders, and how he stretches his hands and catches like a drowning man.

These are efforts made under the oppressive, intolerable sensation at his heart; and the means which nature employs, to guard and preserve the animal machine, giving to the vital organ a sensibility that irresistibly excites to the utmost exertion.

It is this painful sensation that introduces us to "this breathing world;" which guards the vital functions through life, as it draws us into existence. Pain is the agent which most effectually rouses the dormant faculties of both mind and body. While the child slumbers in the womb it does not live by breathing, it possesses an organ which performs the office of the lungs. In the birth there is a short interval, betwixt the loss of the one organ, and the substitution of the other; nor would the breath ever be drawn, or the lungs perform their function, but for this painful and irresistible *nisus*, which calls the whole corresponding muscles into action. Spasms and contractions are seen to extend over the infant's chest; the features are working, and the muscles of the face agitated, probably for the first time; at last air is admitted into the lungs, a feeble cry is heard, the air in successive inspirations fully dilates the chest, and the child cries lustily. Now the regular respiration is established, and the animal machinery subsides into repose.

> "We came crying hither.
> Thou know'st, the first time that we smell the air
> We wawl and cry:— I will preach to thee: mark,
> When we are born, we cry, that we are come
> To this great stage of fools!"—*Lear.*

With the revolution which the whole economy has undergone, new wants are engendered, new appetites; these are again lulled by the mother's breast. During all

this no one sympathises with the little sufferer, the grimace with which he enters the world excites only smiles.

"On parent's knees, a naked new-born child,
Weeping thou sat'st, while all around thee smiled—
So live, that sinking in thy last long sleep
Calm thou may'st smile, when all around thee weep."

From the Persian.

"Anger," says Lord Bacon, "is certainly a kind of baseness, as it appears well in the weakness of those subjects in whom it reigns—children, women, old folks, sick folks." But this I may say, that anger is at no period of life so strongly impressed upon human features, as in the first moment of our visiting the light. At the instant of our birth, an association of muscles is formed, and at the same time put in operation, stamping a character of expression which betrays the wants of the body in early infancy, and the sufferings of the mind in the after period. The frame of the body, constituted for the support of the vital functions, becomes the instrument of expression; and an extensive class of passions, by influencing the heart, by affecting that sensibility which governs the muscles of respiration, calls them into co-operation, so that they become an undeviating and sure sign of certain states or conditions of the mind. They are the organs of expression.

Returning now to the contemplation of any of the stronger passions, we comprehend much which was before obscure. We see why that grief which strikes the heart should affect the regularity of breathing*—why the muscles of the throat should be affected with spasm—why slight quivering motions pass from time to time over the face, the

* "The grief that does not speak,
Whispers the o'er-fraught heart, and bids it break."

Macbeth.

lips, and cheeks, and nostrils;—because these are the organs of respiration, organs which have their muscles united to the sensibility of the heart, and moved under its influence. Now we comprehend, how the passion of rage or terror binds and tightens the chest, how the features are so singularly agitated by the indirect, as well as by the direct influence of the passions—how the words are cut—how the voice sticks in the throat—how the paralysed lips refuse the commands of the will, so that they are held in a mixed state of violence and weakness, which, more than any fixed expression, characterises the influence of the passion.

Blushing.—The sudden flushing of the countenance in blushing belongs to expression, as one of the many sources of sympathy which bind us together. This suffusion serves no purpose of the economy, whilst we must acknowledge the interest which it excites as an indication of mind. It adds perfection to the features of beauty.*

The colour which attends exertion, or the violent passions, as of rage, arises from general vascular excitement, and differs from blushing. Blushing is too sudden and too partial to be traced to the heart's action. That it is a provision for expression may be inferred from the colour extending only to the surface of the face, neck, and breast, the parts most exposed. It is not acquired; it is from the beginning. It is unlike the effect of powerful, depressing emotions, which influence the whole body. The sudden conviction of the criminal is felt in every pore; but the colour caused by blushing gives brilliancy and interest to

* Dr. Burgess, who has written a volume on "Blushing," affirms that a Circassian maid who blushes, brings a higher price in the slave-market!

the expression of the face. In this we perceive an advantage possessed by the fair family of mankind, and which must be lost to the dark; for I can hardly believe that a blush may be seen in the Negro.* We think of blushes as accompanying shame; but it is indicative of excitement. There is no shame when lively feeling makes a timid youth break through the restraint which modesty and reserve have imposed. It is becoming in youth, it is seemly in more advanced years in women. Blushing assorts well with youthful and with effeminate features; whilst nothing is more hateful than a dog-face, that exhibits no token of sensibility in the variations of colour.

* A wound in the black leaves a scar in which the dark pigment of the skin is wanting; and the white spot, formed by such a cicatrix in the face of the Negro, reddens with passion.

In contrasting, by comparative anatomy, the internal structure of animals, we find in some classes, parts of the organisation apparently useless or superfluous, to discover the full developement and appropriate functions of which, we must refer to other classes. If the black blushes unseen, it only shews that the incidental colour does not affect the general structure and processes.

ESSAY IV.

OF THE MUSCLES OF THE FACE IN MAN.

THE muscular part of the animal frame consists of a peculiar fibrous substance, possessing the power of contraction, and, consequently, of producing motion. In the limbs and trunk, the muscles are attached to the bones, and are distinct and powerful: but as in the face they have merely to operate on the skin, the lips, nostrils, and eyelids, they require less power, and are, therefore, more delicate. And that power is not always directly under the will, like the muscular exertions of the body and limbs; it is often involuntary, and is inseparably united to the conditions or affections of the mind. The latter consideration gives much interest to the subject; for, by this provision in the muscles, the very spirit by which the body is animated, and the various emotions, shine out in the countenance.

It has been said that the superiority of the human face in expression is an accidental effect of the number of muscles which are provided in man for the faculty of speech. That many of the muscles called into action in speech are also employed in expression will be readily admitted; but besides these, there are muscles of the human features which have no connexion with the voice,

and are purely instrumental in expression. Further, the human countenance is pre-eminent, not only in having muscles proper to man, but we shall find that he also possesses the peculiarities of two great classes of the lower animals, having the muscles which are characteristic of both these classes combined in his face.

To understand what follows, it is not necessary for the reader to know more of the structure of muscles than that they are formed of distinct packets of fibres; that the extremities are called their origins and insertions: the fixed extremity, attached generally to some point of bone, being the origin; the extremity which is moved, the insertion. I shall consider the muscles of the face in three groups. First, those which surround the eye; secondly, those which move the nostrils; and lastly, those around the mouth.

And first,

OF THE MUSCLES OF THE FOREHEAD AND EYEBROW.

The forehead is more than any other part characteristic of the human countenance. It is the seat of thought, a tablet where every emotion is distinctly impressed; and the eyebrow is the moveable type for this fair page.

"Frons hominis tristitiæ, hilaritatis, clementiæ, severitatis, index est."
PLINY.

The eye is the chief feature of expression. It takes a thousand shades from the relations of the surrounding parts; and the eyebrow, that dark arch which surmounts it, is itself an eloquent index of the mind. Some one has called the eyebrow "the rainbow of peace, or the bended bow of discord."

There are four muscles attached to the eyebrow.

1. A muscle, called *occipito frontalis* (A), descends over the forehead, and is inserted into the eyebrow, where it mingles its fibres with the next muscle. The simple action of the frontal portion of the occipito frontalis is to raise or arch the eyebrow, as in surprise or doubt; or, as if we meant to say, "I must look further into this."



2. The muscle which closes the eyelids, is the *orbicularis palpebrarum* (B). We shall divide this muscle into three parts. Its fibres surround the eye, being spread in a circular direction upon the margin of the orbit and the eyelids. The stronger portion, encircling the orbit, shuts the eyelids with that spasmodic force which is felt when something irritating is thrown into the eye. The paler and more delicate fibres, which lie more immediately upon the eyelids, gently close the eye, as in winking, or in sleep. A third set of fibres is situated directly on the margins of the eyelids.* It is the outer and stronger circle which draws down the eyebrow, and is the direct opponent of the occipito frontalis.

* For the actions of these different portions of the general muscle, see the author's "Practical Essays," Part I. on Squinting.

3. The third muscle (c), is properly a part of the first, and is termed the *descending slip* of the *occipito frontalis*. As it descends on the side of the nose to be attached to the bridge, it has a different effect from the greater part of the muscle: it draws down the inner extremity of the eyebrow.

4. The next muscle is the *corrugator supercilii* (D). It arises from the lowest point of the frontal bone, where it joins the bones of the nose, and running obliquely upwards, is inserted into the skin under the eyebrow. The two muscles acting together knit the eyebrows. These are the muscles of the forehead and eyebrows.

In the arched and polished forehead, terminated by the distinct line of the eyebrow, there is an especial capacity for indicating human thought. The lines drawn here often give meaning of a high character to motions of the features in the lower part of the face, which would otherwise express mere animal activity. And it is not a fleshy brow that is best adapted for expression. The fulness of the forehead and around the eyes, which the artists and poets combined to give to Hercules, conveys the idea of dull, brutal strength, and a lowering expression; while the forehead of the thin, pale student, may evince intelligence or elevation of thought.

The *levator palpebræ superioris*, the muscle which raises the upper eyelid, and is an opponent of the orbicularis, arises deep within the orbit, and is attached in front to the cartilage which gives form and firmness to the upper eyelid.

There are also within the orbit six other muscles, which are inserted into the eyeball. Their action is a subject of high interest, to discuss which would require a volume. I must limit myself to the question of the

expression of the eye; referring the reader for more ample illustrations, to those memoirs which treat of the subserviency of the muscles to vision, and of their action in cleaning the cornea, and protecting the organ.*

OF THE EXPRESSION OF THE HUMAN EYE.

The eye is the most lively feature in the countenance; the first of our senses to awake, and the last to cease motion. It is indicative of the higher and the holier emotions—of all those feelings which distinguish man from the brutes.

A large eye is not only consistent with beauty, but necessary to it. The eye of the eagle, even of the ox, is familiar in the similes of poets. The Arab expresses his idea of a woman's beauty, by saying, that she has the eye of the gazelle; it is the burthen of their songs. The timidity, gentleness, and innocent fear, in the eye of the deer tribe, are compared with the modesty of a young girl. "Let her be as the loving hind, and pleasant roe." In the eye we look for meaning, for human sentiment, for reproof.†

Do architects study enough, when arranging the masses of their buildings for effect, how the shadows will fall? The statuary, at all events, must. "The eye ought to be sunk," says Winkleman.‡ Yes, relatively to the forehead; but not in reference to the face. That would give a very mean expression. It is the strong shadow produced by the

* See the "Nervous System," 4th edition, p. 145; "Bridgewater Treatise on the Hand," 4th edition, p. 329.

† "I gave him," said Dr. Parr, "the chastisement of my eye."

‡ "Aux têtes idéales, les yeux sont toujours plus enfoncés, qu'ils ne le sont en général dans la nature."

projecting eyebrow, which gives powerful effect to the eye, in sculpture.

We have said, that the eye indicates the holier emotions. In all stages of society, and in every clime, the posture and expression of reverence have been the same. The works of the great masters, who have represented the more sublime passions of man, may be adduced as evidences: by the upturned direction of the eyes, and a correspondence of feature and attitude, they address us in language intelligible to all mankind. The humble posture and raised eyes are natural, whether in the darkened chamber, or under the open vault of heaven.

On first consideration, it seems merely consistent, that when pious thoughts prevail, man should turn his eyes from things earthly to the purer objects above. But there is a reason for this, which is every way worthy of attention. When subject to particular influences, the natural position of the eyeball is to be directed upwards. In sleep, languor, and depression, or when affected with strong emotions, the eyes naturally and insensibly roll upwards. The action is not a voluntary one; it is irresistible. Hence, in reverence, in devotion, in agony of mind, in all sentiments of pity, in bodily pain with fear of death, the eyes assume that position.

Let us explain by what muscles the eyes are so revolved. There are two sets of muscles which govern the motions of the eyeball. Four straight muscles, attached at cardinal points, by combining their action, move it in every direction required for vision; and these muscles are subject to the will. When the straight muscles, from weariness or exhaustion, cease to guide the eye, two other muscles operate to roll it upwards under the eyelid: these are the oblique muscles. Accordingly, in sleep, in fainting, in approaching

death, when the four voluntary muscles resign their action, and insensibility creeps over the retina, the oblique muscles prevail, and the pupil is revolved, so as to expose only the white of the eye. It is so far consolatory to reflect, that the apparent agony indicated by this direction of the eyes, in fainting or the approach of death, is the effect of encroaching insensibility—of objects impressed on the nerve of vision being no longer perceived.

We thus see that when wrapt in devotional feelings, and when outward impressions are unheeded, the eyes are raised, by an action neither taught nor acquired. It is by this instinctive motion we are led to bow with humility—to look upwards in prayer, and to regard the visible heavens as the seat of God.

> "Prayer is the upward glancing of the eye,
> When none but God is near."

Although the savage does not always distinguish God from the heavens above him, this direction of the eye would appear to be the source of the universal belief that the Supreme Being has His throne above. The idolatrous Negro in praying for rice and yams, or that he may be active and swift, lifts up his eyes to the canopy of the sky.* So, in intercourse with God, although we are taught that our globe is ever revolving: though religion inculcates that the Almighty is every where, yet, under the influence of this position of the eye, which is no doubt designed for a purpose,—we seek Him on high. "I will lift up mine eyes unto the hills from whence cometh my help."†

* Barbot: "Description of Guinea."

† The same influence, which thus induces a posture of the body in accommodation to the eye, makes the attitude of stooping the sign of supplication—of obeisance—and courtesy, among all nations. "And Araunah looked, and saw the king and his servants coming on towards

See, then, how this property of our bodily frame has influenced our opinions, and belief; our conceptions of the Deity—our religious observances—our poetry, and daily habits.



Although the geologist may think that the account in the Scriptures of the formation of the earth, is contradicted

him: and Araunah went out, and bowed himself before the king, on his face upon the ground." So, Abraham: "And he lift up his eyes and looked, and lo, three men stood by him; and when he saw them he ran to meet them from the tent door, and bowed himself toward the ground."

The Mahomedans, in acts of devotion, cross their hands on their bosom and incline the head.

by his theories, we perceive in our present investigation a strict agreement in man's inmost structure with the book of life: and we may say with Kepler, that man should not resign his natural feelings and thoughts in pursuit of philosophy, "but that, lifting up his natural eyes, with which alone he can see, he should from his own heart pour himself out in worship to the Creator; being certain that he gives no less worship to God than the astronomer."

By this physical conformation, combined with our highest quality of mind, we are led to the expression of devotion. The design of man's being was, that he might praise and honour his Maker. Gratitude is the debt of our nature, and in this property of the eye there is pointed out to us how that gratitude, which is the distinguishing character of our minds, is to be directed.

The orbicularis muscle of the eyelids acts powerfully in certain kinds of expression. In laughing and crying, the outer circle of this muscle, as it contracts, gathers up the skin about the eye; and at the same time it compresses the eyeball. A new interest is given to the subject when we inquire into the object of that compression. It has a distinct relation to the circulation of the blood within the eye. During every violent act of expiration, whether in hearty laughter, weeping, coughing, or sneezing, the eyeball is firmly compressed by the fibres of the orbicularis; and this is a provision for supporting and defending the vascular system of the interior of the eye from a retrograde impulse communicated to the blood in the veins at that time. When we contract the chest, and expel the air, there is a retardation of the blood in the veins of the neck and head; and in the more powerful acts of expulsion, the blood not only distends the vessels, but is even regurgi-

tated into the minute branches. Were the eye not properly compressed at that time, and a resistance given to the shock, irreparable injury might be inflicted on the delicate textures of the interior of the eye.* Hence we see a reason for the closed state of the eyelids, and wrinkling of the surrounding skin, and twinkling of the eye, in hearty laughter.

In the drunkard, there is a heaviness of eye, a disposition to squint, and to see double, and a forcible elevation of the eyebrow to counteract the dropping of the upper eyelid, and preserve the eyes from closing. Hogarth has very happily caught this hanging of the eyelid, with the effort in the muscles of the forehead to prevent it from actually falling. The peculiar expression may be thus explained. In the stupor of inebriation, the voluntary muscles of the eyeball resign their action to the oblique muscles, which, as we have seen, instinctively revolve the eye upwards when insensibility comes on: at the same time, the muscle which elevates the upper lid yields, in sympathy with the oblique muscles, to the action of the orbicularis which closes the eyes, and the eyelid drops. The condition is, in short, the same as that of falling asleep; when the eyeball revolves as the lids close. It is the struggle of the drunkard to resist, with his half-conscious efforts, the rapid turning up of the eye, and to preserve it under the control of the voluntary muscles, that makes him see objects distorted, and strive, by arching his eyebrows, to keep the upper lid from de-

* "If we separate the eyelids of a child to examine the eye, while it cries and struggles with passion, by taking off the natural support to the vascular system of the eye, and the means of guarding it against the rush of blood then occurring, the conjunctiva becomes suddenly filled with blood, and the eyelids everted."—*Nervous System*, p. 175.

scending. The puzzled appearance which this gives rise to, along with the relaxation of the lower part of the face, and the slight paralytic obliquity of the mouth, complete the degrading expression.

OF THE MUSCLES OF THE NOSTRILS.

The nostrils are features which have a powerful effect in expression. The breath being drawn through them, and their structure formed for alternate expansion and contraction in correspondence with the motions of the chest, they are an index of the condition of respiration, when affected by emotion. As they consist of cartilages moved by appropriate muscles, acting in strict sympathy with the drawing of the breath, they become expressive of animal excitement.



We may enumerate four muscles which move the cartilages of the nostrils.

Levator labii superioris et alæ nasi (A).—This muscle arises from the upper jawbone, and descends to the lip;

but a part of it stops short, to be attached to the moveable cartilage of the nostril; it raises the nostril along with the upper lip.

The *Depressor alæ nasi* (B) arises from the upper jawbone, close to the sockets of the front teeth; it ascends and is inserted into the lateral cartilage of the nostril, and pulls down that cartilage.

The *Compressor nasi* (C) arises from the cartilaginous bridge of the nose,* and is inserted into the lateral cartilage of the nostril. The name would imply that this muscle compresses the membraneous part of the nose, which it does; but its principal action must be to expand the nostril, by raising the lateral cartilage.

The next muscle is a slip of the *Orbicularis oris* (D), which, detaching itself from the mass of that muscle, runs up to the edge of the septum of the nose.

Thus we see how nature has provided for the motions of the nostrils. The actions of these muscles are controlled by a nerve of the class which has been distinguished as subservient to the apparatus of breathing; and it is owing to this that the sympathy is established between the general act of drawing the breath and the expansion of the nostrils. As the motions of the nostrils, however, are intimately connected with those of the lips, I shall defer making any further observations upon them, until the muscles of the mouth have been described.

MUSCLES OF THE LIPS AND CHEEKS.

The fleshy structure of the lips is in a great measure owing to a circular muscle which surrounds the mouth.

* That is certainly its most fixed extremity.

This muscle closes the lips, and is the opponent of many other muscles, which, taking their origin from the prominent points of the bones of the face, are concentred towards the mouth, and, besides opening it, move the lips in various directions. We must look upon the whole of these muscles in three points of view. 1, as belonging to mastication, turning the morsel, and placing it under the action of the teeth ; 2, as part of the organ of speech ; and 3, as powerful agents in expression.

Orbicularis oris (A). — The fibres of this circular muscle can be traced continuously round the lips, and have properly no origin. We have already taken notice of the *Levator labii superioris et alæ nasi* (B), some fibres of which are inserted into the upper lip.

The *Levator labii proprius* (C) arises from the upper jaw, near the orbit. It is attached exclusively to the upper lip, and raises it.

Levator anguli oris (D). — This muscle lies under the last, and is, of course, shorter ; it raises the angle of the mouth.



The *Zygomaticus* (E) arises from the zygoma, a pro-

cess of the cheekbone, which joins the temporal bone; it is inserted into the angle of the mouth.

There is sometimes an additional muscle, arising and inserted in a similar manner, called the *zygomaticus minor* (F).

The *Buccinator* (some of the fibres of which are represented by G) is a flat muscle, which lines the inside of the cheek, and, arising from the sockets of the back teeth of both jawbones, is inserted into the angle of the mouth.

As the teeth of man indicate that he is omnivorous, and intermediate between the two great tribes of animals—the carnivorous and herbivorous, we expect the muscles also to exhibit the same middle state and to partake the characters of both these classes. And such is found to be the case. The three muscles last enumerated combine to raise and retract the angle of the mouth, and by doing so, they expose the canine teeth. Now this group of muscles is especially powerful in the carnivorous animal; they lift the fleshy lips off the long tearing fangs of the lion or tiger, and produce a fierceness of expression. But in the milder graminivorous animals the same class of muscles have a different direction given to their action, and they are not capable of elevating the angles of the mouth in a similar manner. In ourselves, when these muscles draw upon the orbicularis, and disclose the angular teeth, a painful and bitter expression is the effect. But before we can speak correctly on this subject, we must pursue the description of the remaining muscles.

Of the muscles which depress the lips, there is,

1st. The *Triangularis oris*, or *depressor anguli oris* (H), a comparatively powerful muscle, which arises from the base of the lower jaw, and is inserted into the angle of the mouth.

In the drawing, some muscular fibres (I) may be seen, which join the triangularis oris, and pass to the angle of the mouth. These are part of a superficial muscle of the neck,— the *platysma-myoides:* the fibres of which mount over the jaw to terminate on the cheek. The uppermost fasciculus, represented in the drawing, has been described by Santorini as a distinct muscle, and from its action in laughter, has obtained the name *Risorius Santorini.*

The *Quadratus menti* (K), a small square muscle, situated on the chin, depresses the lower lip.

The *Levator menti* (L) is a small muscle, which arises from the lower jaw, near the sockets of the front teeth, and passes to be inserted into the centre of the integument of the chin. When both muscles act, they throw up the chin, and project the lower lip.

The angle of the mouth is full of expression; and much is implied, according to the prevailing action of the superior or inferior class of muscles. The triangularis oris and the levator menti combine to produce a kind of expression which is peculiar to man. The angle of the mouth is drawn down by the former, while the lower lip is arched and elevated, with a contemptuous effect, by the latter; whence the levator menti has sometimes been called *superbus.* The union of so many muscles at the angle of the lips produces that fulness about the mouth remarkable in those who are both thin and muscular. In the child, or youth, whose face is plump, they make the dimple in the cheek. It is perceived that the orbicularis is the opponent of all the muscles which are concentred from various points to the lips; and it is by the successive action and relaxation of these antagonising muscles that so much and so varied expression is given to the mouth.

This circular muscle is affected in various emotions; it tremblingly yields to the superior force of its counteracting muscles, both in joy and grief: it relaxes pleasantly in smiling; it is drawn more powerfully by its opponent muscles in weeping.

We can have no better illustration of how much depends on the function exercised by the mouth, for the particular character impressed on the lower part of the face, when the lips are in motion, than by watching the features of a preacher or advocate engaged in his vocation, and afterwards, if opportunity offers, looking at the play of the same jaws and lips, when over a trencher. The whole machinery from the temple downwards, and from the angle of the jaw to the chin, is in operation during mastication; whereas, in the most impassioned discourse, the action is concentrated to the lips.

In speaking, there is much motion of the lower lip, and consequently, activity in those muscles which form the fulness of the chin: yet a remarkable variety is produced in the lines which mark the features about the upper lip, by the play of the different muscles which converge to the mouth from the margins of the orbits. But this subject has further interest.

The organisation necessary to speech, the great instrument of human thought, is widely dispersed: that is, for the utterance of sound there must conform, a motion of the lungs or chest, an adjustment of the larynx and pharynx, and a fine modulation of the lips. It is more directly from the motions of the tongue and lips that articulate sounds proceed; and the connexion of the numerous muscles brought into operation in these actions, is congenital with the awakening intellect. Long before a child is taught to speak, we may see an imperfect

agitation of the lips and cheeks; and sounds are uttered which wait only for the effort of imitation to become language.

These remarks bear out our former statement, that beauty in the lips and lower part of the countenance of a well-formed face, has relation to the perfection of the structure viewed in connexion with speech, and in contrast with the apparatus for mastication. The possession of an instrument of speech is instinctively associated in our thoughts with the most exalted endowments of man, moral and intellectual.

OF THE BEARD.

" Vidi presso di me un veglio solo,
Degna di tanta riverenza in vista,
Che più non dee a padre alcun figliolo.
Lunga la barba e di pel bianca mista,
Portava a' suoi capegli simigliante
De quai cadeva al petto doppia lista."

DANTE.

The stages of man's life are outwardly characterised. An opinion prevails, that the form and lineaments of old age are a consequence of the deterioration of the material of our frame; and that the resemblance so often drawn between an aged man leaning on his staff, and a ruin tottering to its fall, is a perfect one. It is not so; the material of the frame is ever the same: years affect it not; but infancy, youth, maturity, and old age, have their appropriate outward characters. Why should the forehead be bald, and the beard luxuriant, if not to mark the latest epoch of man's life? or what reason can be given for the hair not growing on the chin during the vascular fulness of

youth, but that it would be inconsistent with the characters of that time of life to be provided with a beard?

When these Essays were first written, there was not a beard to be seen in England, unless joined with squalor and neglect: and I had the conviction that this appendage concealed the finest features. Being in Rome, however, during the procession of the Corpus Domini, I saw that the expression was not injured by the beard; but that it added to the dignity and character of years. It was evident that the fine heads by the old masters were copies of what were then seen in nature, though now but rarely. There were beards which nearly equalled that of the "Moses" of Michael Angelo, in length; and which flowed like those in the paintings of Domenichino and Correggio.*

The beard is characteristic of nations. In the East, it is honoured; and to be shaved, is the mark of a slave.† A beard of three hands' breadth is a goodly show; but to exceed that, requires a life of repose: violent exercise in the field shortens the beard. The Turks have a very poor beard. The Persians have noble beards, and are proud of the distinction. The beard of Futteh Ali Shah, the late king of Persia, reached below his girdle, was full and fine, and remarkable in a nation of beards, for having no division

* "In the procession of the Corpus Domini, the Pope is attended by bishops from all parts of Christendom: from Mount Lebanon and the East, as well as from Roman Catholic Ireland. These dignitaries, with the cardinals, the superiors of convents, the friars of various orders, and the cavalcade of the guarda nobile, form a pageant far beyond what royalty can attain, or can any where else be witnessed, whether we consider the place and accompaniments, or the actors and their costumes. Then it was, that age, with bald head, and flowing beard, and appropriate robes, surpassed youth and beauty, with all the trappings of the cavalier."—*Note from Journal.*

† 2 Samuel, x. 4.

in the middle. Such a beard, during the active period of life, shews finely on horseback; being tossed over the shoulders in the wind, and indicating speed. In the natural beard, the hair has a peculiarity depending on the place from which it grows. The hair of the upper lip is more profuse, and even in the oldest man is of a darker hue, than that of the under lip; so that falling on the lower part, it can still be distinguished as it mixes with the purer white. Again, the hair descending from the sides of the face attains a greater length than that which comes from the chin: and this is more especially the character of age.

In the French regiments they set frightful fellows, with axes over their shoulders, to march in front: on their heads is a black bear-skin cap, of the form and dimensions of a drum, and they select men with beards of the same hue, which grow in a bush, the counterpart of that on their heads. But the face, as seen between the two black masses, is more ludicrous than terrible, and has an effect very different from what is intended. A common fellow's beard, like a common fellow's countenance, is coarse.

Even in the Franciscan and Capuchin monks, the beard has not always the fine character displayed in the works of the old painters. Their models are gone with their times. Something excessive and ideal may be represented by the beard. Michael Angelo has, perhaps, followed Scripture, in the beard of his "Moses," which floats below the girdle; and in the fresco of Jeremiah, in the Sistine Chapel. The finest painting of the beard that I have seen, is by Correggio, in the Scala of the Albergo dei Poveri, in Genoa,—a fresco of the Saviour, in the arms of the Almighty, where the beard of the Father flows beauti-

fully. In short, the beard may become, with knowledge and taste, the most characteristic part in a figure.*

Expression in the Lips and Moustaches.—Things familiar do not always give rise to their natural association. I was led to attend more particularly to the moustaches as a feature of expression, in meeting a handsome young French soldier, coming up a long ascent in the Coté d'Or, and breathing hard, although with a good-humoured, innocent expression. His sharp-pointed black moustaches rose and fell with a catamountain look that set me to think on the cause.

Every one must have observed how the nostrils play in hard breathing.† We have seen that there is a muscle which is the principal agent in this action; and it may be felt swelling during inspiration, when the finger is pressed on the upper lip, just under the nostril. It is the *depressor alæ nasi.* The action of this muscle, under the roots of the hairs on the lip, sensibly moves them; and as all

* "Our northern artists are unfavourably situated, not owing to the direct influence of cold, as Winckelman imagined, but an indirect cause. In historical painting, they draw from copies of nature, and paint beards, as they do the naked figure, without seeing it, or being familiar with the form and colour of the one or the other. But in Rome also they make mistakes. I found the artists supporting a fellow, whose beard was their model. The hair of the head, and the beard of this man, had grown to an extraordinary length, shewing what an uncouth mass it may become. He had been painted so often as the Father of the gods, that in his craze he had believed himself to be no less. I said, if they would plunge him in the Tiber, and study him as he rose, he might pass for a river god. No; the beard is a mere mass of hair, but admits of much character."—*Note from Journal.*

† Physiognomists make a wide nostril the sign of a fiery disposition. It may be expressive of passion, without being the cause. The idea of its being the seat of passion, is undoubtedly taken from animal expression. "There went a smoke out of His nostrils," is hardly descriptive of human excitement.

passionate excitements influence the respiratory actions, the nostrils and moustaches necessarily participate in the movement in violent passions. Thus, although the hair of the upper lip does conceal the finer modulations of the mouth, as in woman, it adds to the character of the stronger and harsher emotions.

I continued to think of this in descending the Rhone, in company with some French officers; they were merry with wine, and I saw their moustaches, black, red, and white, animated in their songs and laughter; and although with a *farouche* character, these appendages rather added to, than concealed expression. We see the pictorial effect in the hilarity of the Dutch boor.

The lower lip moves more than the upper. With this, too, we are so familiar as not to be sensible of it; but if we try the experiment of looking on the face of a friend, in a reversed position, we shall be convinced that it is so. The expression of speaking results very much from the modulation of the lower lip; and the rising and falling of the jaw, which takes place at the same time, and more especially in singing, adds to the motion. Passion, however, is expressed more in the upper lip.

In compassionating a fellow-creature, it is not natural to look on the face reversed. Yet I have seen in a modern picture, a soldier regarding his wounded comrade, *dessu dessous*, the mouth to the forehead, the eye to the mouth. The immediate effect was a want of sympathy, — of proper feeling. Even the nurse turns her head in correspondence with the face of the infant. Is the same not meant by the Psalmist, "*My heart said unto thee, Let my face seek thy face?*" This was in my mind in looking on a picture of the Saviour, dead, lying on the knees of the Madonna; she turns her head, bringing her face nearly parallel with that

of the Redeemer; which produces infinite grace and tenderness.*

The drawing of the head of a man, thrown to the ground, being to our eye reversed, has not the same effect as when represented upright. Certain features must be exaggerated. That is, if the painter were to draw the face accurately, and then turn the picture the contrary way, the head downwards, it would have no force. This arises from the reversed features being deficient in the accustomed harmony, and from the altered relation of the upper and lower lips. Michael Angelo, with his other excellencies, was a master of expression. There is a *Pieta* by him in alto-relievo,† which gives proof of this. The piece of marble does not exceed three feet; and nothing but expression could have given to it its celebrity.

I was never more sensible of the action of the lower lip, as expressive of speech, than in looking on a picture by that very extraordinary painter, Zurbaran. It represents St. Francis. He is kneeling, his hands locked together energetically, his eyes raised, and his lower lip has the expression of moving in prayer.‡

Among the many advantages which the artist has in the southern countries of Europe, the service of the Roman Catholic Church affords him the chief. At all seasons, as well as during the service of the altar, there are in the

* In the Gallery of the Academia delle Belle Arte, Bologna.

† In the Albergo dei Poveri, in Genoa. "A Pieta is the representation of Christ resting on the lap of the mother. The eyes of the mother are shut, the mouth not open, but in the lips a form that implies she is about to kiss the cheek. The angles of the mouth are in the slightest degree depressed, and the lips must open when next she draws breath."—*Note from Journal.*

‡ The picture is in the Spanish School of the Musée Royale of the Louvre.

cathedrals and churches groups and single figures; the lady in rich attire, not more picturesque than the country girl; the beggar, and the monk, on their knees, muttering their prayers. In the family pew of the Reformed Church there may be as holy a frame of mind, but never the expression of those wrapt and solitary figures, whom we see prostrate on the bare stones in the solemn light of these churches. But my object was to advert to their inaudible mutterings, in which the amount of expression capable of being thrown into the lips during speech, may be well observed. Nor can a stranger go from the church to the picture-galleries, and mistake for a moment where the great painters found their studies, where they gained those conceptions of devotion, of enthusiasm and abandonment, which we see in the portraits of their saints and martyrs.*

* "St. Siro, Genoa. It is a new thing to see those beggars crawling on the stairs. There is one who, lying on his belly, drags himself along with a short stick; the precise figure that is in the cartoons of Raphael. They are squalid, distorted, and strange. One fellow among them I should have in my sketch-book. He is on his knees, and, whilst receiving a soldo from a very poor and very old woman, counts his beads, and crosses himself, with an indifference that hardly can be real. In entering a church in health, and the enjoyment of life, to step through amongst the 'poveri' is no bad preparation. It is impossible to witness the countryman, whose coarse dress marks the lowness of his condition,— to see him apart, in an obscure aisle, cast down, and in prayer, with such perfect abstraction and abandonment, without the words of the publican being suggested, 'God be merciful to me a sinner.' In this respect, amidst all the blazon and show of worship which belong to the Roman Catholic, it is still the church of the poor. There is no respect for rank or condition within the precincts of a place of worship."— *Note from Journal.*

ESSAY V.

OF THE EXPRESSION OF PASSION, AS ILLUSTRATED BY A COMPARISON OF THE MUSCLES OF THE FACE IN MAN AND IN ANIMALS; AND OF THE MUSCLES PECULIAR TO MAN, AND THEIR EFFECTS IN BESTOWING HUMAN EXPRESSION.

THE violent passions are exhibited so distinctly in the countenance of both man and animals, that we are led to consider the movements by which they are made obvious, as characteristic signs provided by nature for the express purpose of intimating the inward emotions: that they may be interpreted by a peculiar and intuitive faculty in the observer.

This view, however, so natural at first, is not altogether satisfactory; and an opposite theory has been proposed, in which such special provision is denied, and the appearances are accounted for, as the effect of certain actions which are performed in obedience to the common laws of the animal economy. It is also said, that we are taught by experience alone, to distinguish the signs of the passions in man: that in infancy we learn that smiles are expressive of kindness, because accompanied by endearments, and that frowns are the reverse, because they are followed by blows. The expression of anger in a brute is alleged to be merely the

cast of features which precedes his biting; and the character of fondness, that which is seen in his fawning and licking of the hand. In short, it has been maintained that what are called the external signs of passion, are only the concomitants of those voluntary movements which the structure renders necessary. That, for example, the glare of the lion's eye proceeds from his effort to see his prey more clearly; and his grin or snarl from the natural act of unsheathing his fangs before using them.

But, if we attend to the evidence of anatomical investigation, we shall perceive a remarkable difference between the provision for giving motion to the features in animals, and that for bestowing expression in man. In the lower creatures, there is no expression, but what may be referred, more or less plainly, to their acts of volition, or necessary instincts; while in man there seems to be a special apparatus, for the purpose of enabling him to communicate with his fellow-creatures, by that natural language, which is read in the changes of his countenance. There exist in his face, not only all those parts, which by their action produce expression in the several classes of quadrupeds, but there is added a peculiar set of muscles to which no other office can be assigned than to serve for expression.

OF EXPRESSION IN ANIMALS.

In brutes the most marked expression is that of rage; the object of which is opposition, resistance, and defence. But on examination it will be found that the force of the

expression is in proportion to the strength of the principal action in the creature when thus excited.

The graminivorous animals, which seek their subsistence, not by preying upon others, or by the ferocity, contest, and victory, which supply the carnivorous with food, have in their features no strong expression of rage; it is chiefly confined to the effect produced on the general system. Thus the inflamed eye and the breathing nostrils of the bull are induced by the excitement of the whole frame; his only proper expression of rage is in the position of the head, with the horns turned obliquely to the ground, ready to strike; and indeed it may be observed, that animals which strike with the horns shew little indication either of fear or rage, except in the position of the head; for the breath ejected from the expanded nostril is the effect of mere exertion, and may belong to different conditions of the frame. In all graminivorous animals, the skin of the head is closely attached to the skull, and capable of very limited motion: the eye is almost uniformly mild, and the lips are unmoved by passion.

It is in the carnivorous animals, with whose habits and manner of life ferocity is instinctively connected, as suited to their mode of subsistence, that rage is distinguished by remarkable strength of expression. The eyeball is terrible, and the retraction of the flesh of the lips indicates the most savage fury. The action of the respiratory organs, the heaving and agony of breathing, the deep and harsh motion of the air drawn through the throat in the growl, declare the universal excitement of the animal. It is wrong to imagine that all this is a mere preparatory exposure of the canine teeth. Brutes may have expression,

properly so called, as well as man, though in a more limited degree; but in them, expression is so moulded to their natures and their necessities, that it seems accessory to their needful and voluntary actions.

The horse is universally held to be a noble animal, as he possesses the expression of courage, without the ferociousness of the beast of prey; and as there is a consent between the motions of the ear and the eye, which resembles the exertion of mind, and the movements of the human countenance. But even this expression is the result of an incidental consent of animal motions; and no more proves intelligence, than the diminutive eye and the unexpressive face of the elephant denote the contrary. We admire it, because there is as much animation as in the tiger, without the ferocity. The consent of motions between the eye and the ear of the horse is a physical consequence of the necessities of the animal. His defence lies in the hind feet, and there is an arrangement both in the muscles, and in the form of the skull, for that retroverted direction of the eye, which seems so expressive in the horse, but which merely serves to guide the blow. The inflation of the nostrils, and the fleshiness of the lips, belong to the peculiar provision for his respiration and mode of feeding.

The head of a lion is taken to shew the muscular apparatus of a carnivorous animal.

A A. The circular fibres, which surround the eyelids, and which are common to all animals.

B C D. Accessory muscles, which draw back the eyelids from the eyeball, and give a sparkling fierceness to the eye.

Artists bestow an expression on the eye of the lion which they suppose gives dignity—a kind of knitting of the eyebrows, whilst the eyelids are straining wide. This

is quite incompatible with the powers of expression in brutes. When the lion closes his eyes in repose, the fleshiness about the eyelids and the hair of the skin produce the effect of a morose human expression, but when he is excited, and the eye is fixed, there is no such character.

E F. The mass of muscular fibres, with those concealed under them, are very strong in this class of animals.

They raise and expose the teeth, with the savage expression peculiar to the carnivora.

G. The muscles which move the nostril in smelling.

H. A muscle which answers to the *zygomaticus* in man, and which must have great power in this animal, as it reaches from the ear to the angle of the mouth. It opens the mouth, retracts the lips, and disengages them from the teeth, as in seizing their prey.

I. The buccinator muscle.

K. Insertion of part of the masseter muscle, one of the powerful muscles that close the jaws.

I observed above, that some painters have thought it allowable to give human expression to the heads of lions, and others have presented it in their heads of horses. I think this is done on a mistaken view, and that it will never enhance the peculiar beauty of any animal to engraft upon it some part of human expression. Rubens, in his picture of Daniel in the lions' den, has given this character to the heads of the lions. It is more than doubtful, whether it be in the true spirit of that principle of association which should govern the adaptation of expression and character in producing an ideal form, thus to mingle human expression with the features of the savage animals. It seems, however, that a distinction is to be made when the lion is represented in its natural state, and when sculptured emblematically. Represented in his den, or in the forest, the picture should possess all the natural character; when couched amidst the insignia of empire, there may be a difference.

A horse's head is added in illustration; it is taken from Giulio Romano. The painter has here produced an ideal head: we say that it is a horse rather on account of the bridle in the mouth than because we recognise the natural character of that animal. Instead of the full clear eye standing prominent upon the temple, there is an eye sunk deep, with an overhanging eyebrow; the character entirely human, and the expression thoughtful and suspicious. In the hair of the forehead, and in the ears, in the roundness of the head and neck, the artist has preferred the model of the antique to what, in this instance, we must consider to be the finer forms of nature. Here

are the nostrils of the horse, but they want expansion; and there are thick and fleshy lips, with an open mouth, which no power of association can ever teach us to admire.

There is a spirit in the expanded nostril, a fire in the eye, a kind of intelligence in the horse's head taken altogether; there is a beauty in the form of the neck, and an ease and grandeur in the carriage of the head, where strength and freedom are combined, which cannot be excelled by the substitution of an ideal form. No doubt the painter in this instance wished to avoid that commonness of form, which represses sentiment in the beholder, and destroys the poetical effect of a picture; but it is attempted at the expense of truth of character. In the utmost excitement, animals of this class do not open the mouth; they cannot breathe through the mouth,—a valve in the throat prevents it,—so that animation is exhibited only in the nostril and the eye. The open mouth is from the checking of the bit between the

teeth, and is never seen when the horse is untrammelled and free.

Such were the opinions delivered in the first edition of this work, and they were drawn from observation of nature, on which I always rest with absolute reliance. Since that time, the Elgin collection of sculptures has arrived. These remains of antiquity are of great value to the arts of this country, as they obviously tend to turn the artist's attention to nature, and exhibit to him the consistency of natural form and beauty. The horses' heads in that collection are perfectly natural, and if there be exaggeration, it is only in the stronger marking of that which is the characteristic distinction of the animal.

The next drawing represents the muscles of the horse's head.

A A. The orbicular muscle of the eyelids.

B. An accessory muscle to raise the eyelid.

C. A very peculiar muscle. It pulls down the eyelid.

D. A muscle connected also with the eye, and arising from the cartilages of the ear.

E. A muscle answering to the zygomatic muscle in man.

These muscles, surrounding the eyelids of the horse, account for the superior expression of the eye. The muscle D seems calculated to operate upon the outer angle of the eyelids, and to enable the animal to direct the eye backwards; in this it is probably assisted by the muscle E.

F. This forms a class of muscles which descend on the side of the face, and are inserted into the nostril.

G G. Muscular fibres, also operating in the distension of the tube of the nostril.

H. A strong muscle, which acts upon the cartilage, and distends the nostril with great power.

There is something in the distribution of these muscles which illustrates the character of the class, and accounts for the peculiarity of expression. We cannot fail to observe the difference in the general direction and classing of the muscles of the face in the horse and in the lion. In the carnivorous animal, they all tend to lift the lips from the canine teeth, so that they cannot act without shewing the teeth, with a snarling expression: in the graminivorous animal, on the contrary, muscles, having the same place and origin, pass to the cartilages of the

nose, and inflate it the instant they are excited. It is these muscles, therefore, more than any thing else, which produce the very different character and expression in the two classes of animals.

I I. A strong muscle, which lies under that of the nostril F. Its tendon passes forward over the nose, and unites with its fellow of the other side. These together form a broad tendon K, which is inserted into the upper lip. There is a similar muscle moving the lower lip, which cannot be seen in this view.

L M. The circular fibres of the lips, which in the horse are particularly strong and fleshy.

N. A web of muscle, which is extended from the cutaneous muscle of the neck.

The last-named muscles have all great power, and give extensive motion to the lips. They take a course over the nose in a manner quite peculiar to this class of animals, to raise and project the upper lip, as in gathering food. Any one who feeds his horse from his hand may feel the singular sensitiveness and mobility of his lips.

Looking to these muscles, and contrasting them with the animated sketch by Mr. Northcote, we cannot fail to see how much the form of the head depends upon the teeth being small in front, and large and deep-set at the back part of the jaw; how much the peculiarity of expression in the animal is owing to its breathing through the nostril, and not through the mouth, and to its brilliant eye being placed on the utmost projection of the head, so that, by the slightest turn of the pliant neck, it may be directed backward. Finally, we perceive how the muscles are adapted to draw back the eyelids, to expand the nostrils, and project the lips from the incisor teeth, and

also to place the food under the operation of the grinding teeth.



OF THE MUSCLES OF ANIMALS COMPARED WITH THOSE OF MAN.

Referring to the remarkable difference between the range of expression in man and in animals, and considering that in brutes it proceeds from necessity or voluntary action,

while in man there is a special provision for bestowing it,—a peculiar set of muscles to which no other office can be assigned, it is proper to reduce the muscles of several quadrupeds into classes, that we may distinguish the characteristics of mere animal expression from those in man.

They may be distinguished as, 1st, Those which raise the lips from the teeth; 2d. Those which surround the eyelids; and 3d. Those which move the nostrils.

1. The first of these classes, viz. *the muscles which raise the lips from the teeth*, admit of a subdivision. In the carnivorous animal the muscles of the lips are so directed as to raise the lip from the canine teeth. In the graminivorous they are directed so as to raise the lips from the incisor teeth. The former I would distinguish by the name *ringentes*, snarling muscles: the latter by the name *depascentes*, muscles simply for feeding.

The snarling muscles arise from the margin of the orbit, and from the upper jaw; they are inserted into that part of the upper lip from which the moustaches grow, and which is opposite to the canine teeth. Their sole office is to raise the upper lip from the canine teeth; and although they are assisted in this by others (the masticating muscles), I have ventured to distinguish them particularly as the muscles of snarling. This action of snarling is quite peculiar to the ferocious and carnivorous animals. The graminivorous are incapable of it, and consequently these muscles are to be found largely developed only in the former class, not in the latter. In the carnivorous animals it can scarcely be said that there is a perfect or regular orbicular muscle, as in man, for contracting the lips; the lips hang loose and relaxed, unless when drawn aside by the snarling muscles, and they fall

back into this state of relaxation, with the remission of the action of these muscles.

The chief muscles of the lips, which in carnivorous animals are directed to the side of the mouth, are, in graminivorous animals, directed to the middle of the lip over the front teeth. I call them *depascentes*, from their use, which is to enable the creature to open its lips so as to gather food, and to bite the grass. They are long muscles; one set come down upon each side of the face, and joining in a broad tendon, pass over the nose to be inserted into the upper lip. Another set run along the lower jaw, to be inserted by a peculiar feathered tendon into the under lip. These muscles are very strong in the horse. They give a peculiar and characteristic expression to the stallion, when he snuffs the breeze, with his head high in air; when he bites, the expression is entirely different from that of the carnivorous animal; instead of exposing the teeth corresponding with the canine, he lifts the lips from the fore teeth, and protrudes them. The carnivorous animals have not these muscles of the fore part of the lip; in them the lips covering the incisor teeth are not fleshy like those of the graminivorous animals, but are tied down to the gums, and the fore teeth are exposed only in consequence of the straining occasioned by retraction of the side of the mouth.

Although the graminivorous animals do not possess those muscles which so powerfully retract the lips in the carnivorous class, they have a more perfect orbicular muscle surrounding the mouth, and regulating the motion of their fleshy lips.

2. *Muscles which surround the eyelid.* — In man, the upper eyelid is raised by a muscle coming from the back of the orbit. But animals of prey, in whose eyes there is

the peculiar and ferocious splendour, which distinguishes the tiger or the lion, have, in addition to this muscle, three others attached to the eyelids, which, stretching the coats and drawing the eyelids backward upon the prominent eyeball, produce a fixed straining of the eye, and a greater brightness. These muscles I have termed *scintillantes*, because by retracting the eyelids, they expose the brilliant white of the eye, which reflects a sparkling light. In the sheep, besides the proper muscle coming from the bottom of the orbit, there is only a web of fibres to assist in raising the eyelid. In the horse, there is a muscle to pull down the lower eyelid; and another, which, passing from the ear to the outer angle of the eyelid, retracts it, and enables the animal to direct the pupil backward, where his defence lies. In the feline tribe light is reflected from the bottom of the eye, when the pupil is dilated; and as the pupil dilates in obscure light, there is a brilliant reflection from the cat's eye, which we mistake for indication of passion. All these may be partially displayed in the human eye, as in the bloodshot redness combined with the circle of reflected light from the margin of the cornea, like a flame or angry spark, as Charon is described by Dante,—

"Ch' intorno agli occhi avea di fiamme ruote,"

Or as lighted charcoal, from the bottom of the eye,—

"Caron demonio con occhi di bragia."

It is in this way that a touch of true expression will illustrate a whole passage; so Milton,

"With head uplift above the wave, and eyes
That sparkling blazed."*

* So also Spenser, B. vi. cant. 7, stanza 42.

3. *Muscles of the Nostrils.* — These are not less distinct and peculiar, in different classes of animals, than the muscles of the eye and lips. In the carnivorous animals, the nose is comparatively insignificant, provision being made in the open mouth for any occasional increase of respiration above the uniform play of the lungs; while in the inoffensive animals, which are the prey of the more ferocious, the inflation of the nostril is provided for by the action of another set of muscles.

For example, in the horse "the glory of whose nostrils is terrible," the muscles which inflate the nostril are very peculiar. They arise like the *ringentes;* but instead of being fixed into the lips, as in carnivorous animals, whose lips are to be raised from the canine teeth, they pass to the nostrils, and in combination with some lesser muscles, powerfully inflate them when the animal is pushed to his speed, excited by fear, or inflamed to rage.

In the gallery of Florence, there is the head of a horse in bronze, and antique; it is very fine, and in all respects as natural as those of the Elgin Marbles; the mouth is open, but there is a bit in it.

Over the fountain, in the Piazza of the Grand Duke, is placed a group of Neptune drawn by four horses; the mouths of all the horses are open, and as they are free agents, without bit or harness, they seem to be of one mind, and to be expressing the same thing, whatever that may be. They would have been much finer, had the artist given them animation through the eye and nostril, without opening the mouth.*

The horse's mouth is never seen open when the animal

* "Milan. The four horses in the triumphal arch have their mouths gaping wide; not so the coursers last night in the Circus."— *Note from Journal.*

is free. Nothing can be finer than the action of a charger in the field: but though he should snort and neigh and throw up his head and mane, with all his excitement he does not open his mouth. In the antiquities of Count Caylus, the horse's head is represented naturally.

We may notice here, that most of the carnivorous animals hunt their prey. For this object, they not only require a peculiar and extended organ of smelling, but the air must be drawn forcibly over the surface on which the olfactory nerve is spread. It appears to me, that this accounts for their small confined nostril, and their breathing freely through the mouth. In smelling, an action of the nostrils takes place which directs the stream of air upwards into the cells of the nose, where the olfactory nerve is distributed. This is especially the case in the conformation of the dog's nostrils.

Returning now to the muscles in the human countenance, we perceive that, although the motions of the lips and nostrils in man may not be so extensive as in other classes of animals, there is in his face a capacity for all the varieties of expression which distinguish these creatures. He stands, as we have said, between the carnivorous and graminivorous animals; or, rather, he partakes the nature of both. He has the snarling muscles which so peculiarly distinguish the carnivorous class, while he is able to protrude the lips, and uncover the teeth, like the graminivorous. We have seen that in the carnivorous animals, the muscles descending from the cheek-bones and upper jaw to raise the lip are strong, and that the orbicular or circular fibres of the mouth are feeble, the lip being attached to the fore part of the gums. In the graminivorous animals, on the contrary, the orbicular muscle has great power; while the elevating and depressing

muscles of the side of the mouth are weak. But in man, both classes of muscles are combined; the elevating and depressing muscles are fully developed, while the orbicular muscle completely antagonises them, modulating and qualifying their actions, and bestowing the utmost perfection on the motions of the lips.

Whether we look to the form of the features or to their power of expression, the consideration of these two classes of muscles alone will account for certain varieties in the human face. In one man, the excitement of passion may be indicated chiefly by the prevalence of one class, while in a second, another class will predominate in the expression.

If it be allowable to give examples, I would say that in the countenance of Mrs. Siddons or Mr. John Kemble, there was presented the highest character of beauty which belongs to the true English face. In that family the upper lip and nostrils were very expressive: the class of muscles which operate on the nostrils was especially powerful, and both these great tragedians had a remarkable capacity for the expression of the nobler passions. In their cast of features there was never seen that blood-thirsty look which Cooke could throw into his face. In him, the *ringentes* prevailed: and what determined hate could he express, when, combined with the oblique cast of his eyes, he drew up the outer part of the upper lip, and disclosed a sharp angular tooth! And is it not this lateral drawing of the lips, and stretching them upon the closed teeth, which make the blood start from them, in remorseless hate and rancour?

But besides the muscles analogous to those of brutes, others are introduced into the human face, which indicate emotions and sympathies of which the lower animals are

not susceptible; and as they are peculiar to man, they may be considered as the index of mental energy, in opposition to mere animal expression.

The most moveable and expressive features are the inner extremity of the eyebrow and the angle of the mouth; and these are precisely the parts which have least expression in brutes; for they have no eyebrows, and no power of elevating or depressing the angle of the mouth. It is therefore in these features that we should expect to find the muscles of expression peculiar to man.

The most remarkable muscle of the human face is the corrugator supercilii (D, fig., p. 99), arising from the frontal bone, near its union with the nasal bones, and inserted into the skin of the eyebrow; it knits the eyebrows with an energetic effect, which unaccountably, but irresistibly, conveys the idea of mind.

The frontal portion of the occipito-frontalis muscle (A, fig., p. 99), is the antagonist of the orbicular muscle of the eyelids. It is wanting in the animals which we have examined; and in its stead, fibres, more or less strong, are found to be inserted directly into the eyelids.

The motion of the features which, next to that produced by the corrugator supercilii, is most expressive of human passion and sentiment, is to be seen in the angle of the mouth. At one time I conceived that this distinctive expression was chiefly owing to the superbus (L, fig., p. 109), which elevates and protrudes the under lip, but I was deceived. The character of human expression in the mouth is given by the *triangularis oris*, or *depressor anguli oris* (H, fig., p. 109), a muscle which I have not found in any of the lower animals; I believe it to be peculiar to man, and I can assign no other use for it than that which belongs to expression. It arises from the base

of the lower jaw, and passes up to be inserted, with the converging fibres of almost all the muscles of the side of the face, into the corner of the mouth: it produces that arching of the lip so expressive of contempt, hatred, jealousy; and in combination with the elevator of the under lip, or superbus, and the orbicularis, it has a larger share than any other muscle in producing the infinite variety of motions in the mouth, expressive of sentiment.

When we compare the muscles of the human head with those of animals, we perceive many smaller distinctions, which I shall not at present discuss. The *depressor alæ nasi* (D, fig., p. 107), the *nasalis labii superioris* (B, fig., p. 109), the anterior fibres of the *occipito-frontalis* (A, fig., p. 99), are not found in the brute; and in general, the more minute and fasciculated structure of all the muscles of the lips, in the face of man, shews a decided superiority in the provision for motion of the features.

We have already observed, that the faces of animals seem chiefly capable of expressing rage and fear; even pain is indicated more in the voice, and in writhing and struggling.

The rage of the graminivorous animal is chiefly visible in the eye, in the inflation of the nostril, and in the disturbed state of the body. It is expressed most strongly by the carnivorous animals: in them it is wild, ferocious, and terrifying. Their expression of rage, so far as it appears in the face, is shewn by the strong action of the *ringentes*, or snarling muscles, the exposure of the canine teeth, the gnashing of the tusks, and the brilliant excitement of the eye. The expression of human rage partakes of both; the corresponding muscles of the lips and nostrils producing a similar action to that in animals; an exposure and clenching of the teeth; a degree of sparkling

of the eye, and an inflation of the nostrils. Of a face under the influence of such actions, a spectator would infallibly say, that the aspect was brutal, savage, and cruel. But when the corrugator supercilii, a muscle peculiar to human expression, is brought into action, the sign is altered. The eyebrows are knit, the energy of mind is apparent, and there is the mingling of thought and emotion with the savage and brutal rage of the mere animal.

In man, the actions of the frontal muscle, of the corrugator supercilii, and of the orbicular muscle of the mouth, give much expression. If instead of the retraction of the lips and the exposure of the teeth, as in the rage or pain of animals, the mouth is half closed, the lips inflected by the circular fibres, and drawn down by the action of the peculiarly human muscle, the depressor anguli oris, then there is expressed more agony of mind than of mere bodily suffering, by a combination of muscular actions of which animals are incapable.

The action of the orbicular muscle of the lips is, indeed, the most characteristic of agony of mind, and of all those passions which partake of sentiment; in grief, in vexation of spirit, in weeping, it modifies the effect of the muscles of animal expression, and produces human character.

Fear is characterised in animals by a mingling of anger, and of preparation for defence, with a shrinking of alarm in the more ferocious, and a straining of the eye and inflation of the nostril, with trembling, in the milder. In human fear and suspicion, the nostril is inflated, and the eye has that backward, jealous, and timid character which we see in the horse, and in the gentler classes of animals.

The orbicular muscle of the lips, with the system of elevating and depressing muscles in man, lead to expressions

peculiarly human. And here I may observe, that expression is not always the effect of a contraction of the muscles of the face, either general or partial. It proceeds rather from a combined action of the muscles when under passion: for it is often the relaxation of a certain class, more than their excitement, which gives expression; and of this, smiling and laughter furnish the most apposite examples.

The capacity of receiving ludicrous ideas is as completely denied to animals as they are utterly incapable of the accompanying action of laughter. Dogs, in their expression of fondness, have a slight eversion of the lips, and grin and snuff amidst their frolic and gambols, in a way that resembles laughter; but in all this there is nothing which truly approaches to human expression. That is produced by the relaxation of the orbicular muscle of the lips, and the consequent preponderating action of the elevating muscles; and, of course, it can exist only in a face which possesses both the orbicular and the straight muscles of the lips in perfection.

In the emotions of contempt, pride, suspicion, and jealousy, the orbicular muscle and the triangularis oris, produce by their combination the arching of the lips, and the depression of the angle of the mouth. The horizontal drawing of the lips which just discloses the teeth, and betrays the severe or bitter and malignant passions, is owing to a more general action of the muscles overcoming the opposition of the orbicularis.

In grief, the muscles of the eyebrow and those of the lips are combined in expression; hence the union of that upward direction of the extremity of the eyebrow characterising peevishness, discontent, and sinking of the spirits, with the depression of the angle of the mouth, which so

distinctly indicates the harassed and subdued state of mind.

By the combination of those muscles of expression, much of that various play of the features expressive of human passions, as joy, hope, admiration, anxiety, fear, horror, despair, is produced; and thus, while the human countenance is capable of expressing both the rage of the more ferocious animals, and the timidity of the milder, it possesses, by the consentaneous action of a few superadded muscles, powers of expression varying almost to infinity.

It is curious to observe how the muscles thus afford a new occasion of distinguishing the classes of animals; and how, as signs of superior intelligence, they give proofs of the endowments of man, and the excellence of his nature. The full clear eye; the arched and moveable eyebrow; the smooth and polished forehead; as indicating susceptibility of emotion, and power of expression, are grand features of human character and beauty; and it is the perfection of beauty when the spectator is made sensible of this inherent, this latent power, even while no prevailing passion affects the features. But a great portion of the beauty of the human face is in the nose and the mouth; in a nostril which has a capacity for expression, without being too membranous and inflatable, for that produces a mean and imbecile kind of fierceness; and in lips, at once full and capable of those various modulations of form which are necessary to speech and the indication of human feeling.

ESSAY VI.

"Grief laments the absence, and fear apprehends the loss of what we love; desire pursues it; hope has it in view; and joy triumphs in possession." *

OF EXPRESSION (CONTINUED).

We advance to the interesting subject of variable expression in the human face. It is by the habit of expression that the countenance is improved or degraded, and that the characters of virtue or vice are imprinted. If hardship, misfortune, care, and, still more, vice, are there habitually impressed, then all that we admire is lost.

Peace, comfort, society, and agreeable studies, preserve the features mobile, and ready to conform, as an index of the mind, to the sentiments we love. Petrarch, Boccaccio, and Dante, dwell on the expression of their mistresses.†

* Heylin, vol. i. p. 5.

† "*Poi guardo l'amorosa e bella bocca*—
La spaziosa fronte, e il vago piglio
Li bianchi denti, e il dritto naso, e il ciglio
Polito e brun tal che depinto pare."—DANTE.

"Soave va a guisa di un bel pavone."
Decamerone Giornata, iv.

Addison has justly said, "No woman can be handsome by the force of features alone, any more than she can be witty only by the help of speech."

The form of the face and the features are but the groundwork of expression. The influence of passion on the body is a subject which has been discussed from the first dawnings of philosophy. The Greeks did not confine their study to the outward form of man; they also speculated on the habit of the body as affecting the mind: and we insensibly use their language, although the course of their ideas may be rejected or forgotten. There are varieties in the forms, strength, temper, and capacities of man. It has been well said, that you cannot tread on a man's toe without learning something of his temper. One man will have his joke, although it may hurt his dearest friend, and another has so little imagination, that even in the delirium of fever he is dull. Some are generous to profligacy, or frugal to meanness, or gallant and true, or cowardly and insincere: these varieties are a part of human nature, and necessary to the constitution of society. But the ingenious reasoners of Ancient Greece ascribed the diversity of disposition to the texture of the frame; not to the features, nor to the proportions or shape of the skull, but rather to the mixture of the elements of the body; and more to the fluids than to the solids. Those distinctions, familiar to all, have in every succeeding age been attributed to the humours. When we speak of the constitution, the temper, the humour of a man, we are in truth adopting the language of Hippocrates, who treated of the four radical humours,—the sanguineous, phlegmatic, choleric, and melancholic.

Other philosophers have imagined that the dispositions of man might have their source in his greater or less

resemblance to the brutes. It was then allowable to fancy that a lion-like frame, strong hair, deep voice, and powerful limbs, were combined with courage. But our heroes are not of that mould. To be collected amidst fire and smoke, and the deafening sounds of battle — to marshal thousands — or to direct the vessel's course, whilst exposed not only to wounds but to death, is true courage; and, in these days, it is witnessed in the pale and fragile, more than in the strong and sanguineous, or the bulky and hairy savage. We can better estimate true courage since combatants have been divested of the helmet and mail.*

That the features indicate the disposition by resembling those of animals, is an unjust and dangerous theory. The comparison which we have made of the human form and features with those of certain classes of animals, is very different from those speculations which would lead us to condemn a man because of some resemblance in face to a brute.†

Notwithstanding the attraction of the engravings in Lavater's work, the study of physiognomy is now abandoned for that of the cranium. But I must repeat,

* Sir G. N., in the assault of ——, killed his opponent. "The soldier thrust at me with his bayonet. I parried, and passed my sword through his body. In withdrawing it, I experienced a sensation which will only leave me with life." A kindred spirit expresses himself well. "The modern soldier is not the stern, bloody-handed man the ancient soldier was;" the ancient warrior, fighting with the sword, and reaping the harvest of death when the enemy was in flight, became habituated to the art of slaying. "The modern soldier sees not his peculiar victims fall, and exults not over them as proof of personal prowess." Homer represents Achilles as driving over the dead, till his chariot-wheels are dyed in blood.

† This was the theory of Giambatista Porta, in his "Humana Physiognomia." He was equally successful in detecting the qualities of plants by their resemblance to animals.

that the brain and the skull are constructed in strict relation,— a perfect brain and a perfect skull are formed together. And what is the perfection of the skull? The cranium is as a helmet, constituted for the protection of the brain; and if so, must it not be adapted to the forces it has to sustain or resist? The skull is most perfect when its forms indicate the best possible provision for its peculiar use, the defence of the brain.

Let us attend more especially to the human passions. I do not mean to treat of all those conditions of mind which are considered under the head of the passions, sentiments, or emotions; but to limit my inquiry to that kind or degree of mental excitement, which draws the frame into action, and which is interpreted by its agitation; when the spirits, by their vehemence, produce uncontrollable movements of the body, not determined by the will, but spontaneously arising with the state of feeling, which they strengthen and direct.*

We shall begin, by marking the most extreme expression of the passions,— *laughter* and *weeping*. They suit our purpose as being peculiarly human, arising from sentiments not participated by the brutes.

It is vain to inquire into the sources of these emotions; but I hope my reader consents to believe that the capacity of expression is bestowed as a boon, a mark of superior intelligence, and a source of enjoyment; and that its very nature is to excite sympathy; that it radiates, and is understood by all; that it is the bond of the human family.

* Were we not to limit our inquiry to the agitations of the body, we should be embarrassed with the ambiguity of such words as passion, emotion, desire, inclination, appetite, the generous passions, the passion of pride or of avarice; even the mere state of suffering is called passion.

We have seen that the muscles which operate upon the mouth are distinguishable into two classes, — those which surround and control the lips, and those which oppose them, and draw the mouth widely open. The effect of a ludicrous idea is to relax the former, and to contract the latter; hence, by a lateral stretching of the mouth, and a raising of the cheek to the lower eyelid, a smile is produced. The lips are, of all the features, the most susceptible of action, and the most direct index of the feelings.

If the idea be exceedingly ridiculous, it is in vain that we endeavour to restrain this relaxation, and to compress the lips. The muscles concentring to the mouth prevail; they become more and more influenced; they retract the lips, and display the teeth. The cheeks are more powerfully drawn up, the eyelids wrinkled, and the eye almost concealed. The lacrymal gland within the orbit is compressed by the pressure on the eyeball, and the eyes suffused with tears.

Simple and passive pleasures, the delight of meeting or the contemplation of innocence, relax the lips and dimple the cheek, whilst the eyes are bright and intelligent. The dimple is formed by the muscles which are inserted in the angle of the mouth acting on the plump integument of infancy and youth.

Observe the condition of a man convulsed with laughter, and consider what are the organs or system of parts affected. He draws a full breath, and throws it out in interrupted, short, and audible cachinnations; the muscles of his throat, neck, and chest, are agitated; the diaphragm is especially convulsed. He holds his sides, and, from the violent agitation, he is incapable of a voluntary act.

It is impossible to avoid the conclusion, that it is the respiratory organs and their muscles which are affected during the paroxysm of laughter. Physiologists, in all former times, attributed the line of sympathetic relations which draw these remote parts into action, to a nerve called the sympathetic. But I have proved, that there is a machinery altogether distinct; and that the expression, not only of this, but of all the other passions, arises from that system of nerves, which, from their great office, I have called *respiratory*.

The respiratory nerves spring from a common centre

in the medulla oblongata,* and pass off divergingly to all the parts just enumerated, and to every organ employed in respiration. They combine these distant parts in the ordinary action of breathing; and they are the agents in all the effects of passion, when these organs give the outward signs of the condition of the mind.

WEEPING.†

* The medulla oblongata is that part of the nervous system which is traced from the brain into the tube of the spine; it is, consequently, the upper part of the spinal marrow.

† I have thrown the expression of weeping, from pain, into the face of a Faun; for such expression is inexpressibly mean and ludicrous in the countenance of a man.

Weeping is another state of the features, proceeding, as we have before observed, from sensibility; and, therefore, human. Though the organs affected are the same as in laughter, viz. the respiratory muscles, the expression is as much opposed as the nature of the emotion which produces it. Were the condition of the features the effect of mere excitement, why should there be an association of the same class of muscles, so different from that in laughter? Is not this variety of expression a proof of *design*, and that all our emotions are intended to have their appropriate outward characters?

According to Homer, the expression of weeping is not confined to babes; Ulysses is made to feel that sensation in his nose which precedes the shedding of tears.

The lacrymal glands are the first to be infected; then the eyelids; and finally, the whole converging muscles of the cheeks. The lips are drawn aside, not from their circular fibres relaxing, as in laughter, but from their being forcibly retracted by the superior influence of their antagonist muscles. Instead of the joyous elevation of the cheeks, the muscle which pulls down the angle of the mouth, *triangularis oris*, is more under influence, and the angle is depressed. The cheeks are thus drawn between two adverse powers: the muscles which surround the eyelids, and that which depresses the lower lip.

The same cause which drew the diaphragm and muscles of the chest into action in laughing, is perceived here. The diaphragm is spasmodically and irregularly affected; the chest and throat are influenced; the breathing is cut by sobbing; the inspiration is hurried, and the expiration is slow with a melancholy note. In the violence of weeping, accompanied with lamentation, the face is flushed, or rather suffused by stagnant blood, and the veins of the

forehead distended. In this we see the effect of the impeded action of the chest; a proof, not only that it is the respiratory system of nerves which is affected, but also of the condition of the heart, and its influence in respiration, of which we have spoken in a former essay. This expression of emotion may be introduced even in the highest walks of art; but it requires great taste to pourtray it without offensive exaggeration.*

The depression of the angle of the mouth gives an air of despondence and langour when accompanied by a general relaxation of the features, or, in other words, of the muscles. When the *corrugator* which knits the brows co-operates with it, there is mingled in the expression something of mental energy, of moroseness, or pain. If the frontal muscle adds its operation, there is an acute turning upwards of the inner part of the eyebrow, characteristic of anguish, debilitating pain, or of discontent, according to the prevailing cast of the rest of the countenance.

But while languor and despondency are indicated by depression of the angle of the mouth, the depression must be slight, not violent: for the *depressor anguli oris* cannot act strongly without the combination of the *levator menti*

* "The finest possible example of this condition of suffering is in the picture of Guercino (in the Gallery of Milan), the 'Departure of Hagar and Ishmael.' Those who have seen only the engraving can have little conception of the beauty of the picture, for the perfection is in the colouring. Hagar has been weeping; her eyes are red and swollen, but not so as to destroy her beauty; she turns again on hearing Abraham once more addressing her; she suspends her breath, you persuade yourself that you hear her short convulsive sobs; for in the elevated shoulders and in the form of the open lips, this is plainly indicated. The suffering expressed in the condition of the chest, the misery in the forehead, and the colouring of the eyelids, make this the finest example of expression which I have seen."—*Note from Journal.*

or *superbus*, which quickly produces a change in the expression, by making the nether lip pout contemptuously.

In sorrow, a general languor pervades the whole countenance. The violence and tension of grief, the lamentations, and the tumult, like all strong excitements, gradually exhaust the frame. Sadness and regret, with depression of spirits and fond recollections, succeed; and lassitude of the whole body, with dejection of the face and heaviness of the eyes, are the most striking characteristics. The lips are relaxed and the lower jaw drops; the upper eyelid falls and half covers the pupil of the eye. The eye is frequently filled with tears, and the eyebrows take an inclination similar to that which the depressors of the angles of the lips give to the mouth.*

I am not quite sure that in the distress of Constance there is not an unnatural mixture of the tumult and violence of grief with the contemplative recollections of sorrow. Her impatience and turbulence, which make her tear her hair, defy all counsel and redress, and call on death or madness as her sole relief, seem ill assorted with that calmness of spirit which can stop to recollect and enumerate in detail the figure and endearing manners of her son.

> "Grief fills the room up of my absent child,
> Lies in his bed, walks up and down with me;
> Puts on his pretty looks, repeats his words,
> Remembers me of all his gracious parts,
> Stuffs out his vacant garments with his form:
> Then, have I reason to be fond of grief.
> Fare you well! had you had such a loss as I,
> I could give better comfort than you do.—

* Some have been so far deceived by the effect of this raising of the eyebrows towards the centre of the forehead as to give the same oblique line to the eyes; but the canthus or angle of the eye is fixed immoveably, and no working of passion can alter it.

I will not keep this form upon my head [*tearing off her head-dress.*]
When there is such disorder in my wit.
O Lord! my boy, my Arthur, my fair son!
My life, my joy, my food, my all the world!
My widow's comfort, and my sorrows' cure!" *

This appears rather to be the stage of the passion which is called sorrow; the indulgence of which is attended with a melancholy delight which can sanction the conclusion, "Then have I reason to be fond of grief." Yet, as conviction returns at intervals upon the mind, a period of quiet and sorrowful resignation is succeeded by starts and violent bursts of grief.

Though grief is in general distinguished by its violence, lamentation, and tumult, while sorrow is silent, deep brooding, and full of depression, there is a stupefaction which sometimes characterises grief, "the lethargy of woe."

We have already had occasion to remark, that expressions, peculiarly human, chiefly affect the angle of the mouth and the inner extremity of the eyebrow; and to these points we must principally attend in all our observations concerning the expression of passion. They are the most moveable parts of the face; in them, the muscles concentre, and upon the changes which they undergo, expression is acknowledged chiefly to depend. To demonstrate their importance, we have only to repeat the experiment made by Peter of Cortona; to sketch a placid countenance, and touch lightly with the pencil the angle of the lips and the inner extremity of the eyebrows. By elevating or depressing these, we shall quickly convey the expression of grief or of laughter.

These parts, however, and all the features of an impassioned countenance, have an accordance with each

* King John, Act III. Scene 4.

other. When the angles of the mouth are depressed in grief, the eyebrows are not elevated at the outer angles as in laughter. When a smile plays around the mouth, or the cheek is raised in laughter, the brows are not ruffled as in grief. The characters of such opposite passions are so distinct, that they cannot be combined where there is true and genuine emotion. When we see them combined, it is by those who have an unnatural control over their muscles, and the expression is farcical and ridiculous. It is an unworthy conceit to give to one side of the face comedy and to the other tragedy.

In the features of an impassioned countenance there is a consent and accordance of expression. It is not upon a single feature that the emotion operates; but the whole face is marked with expression, all the movements of which are consentaneous. This is referable to some cause acting generally on the tone and state of the frame: the peculiar expression of individual emotion being distinguished by the action and determination of certain features.

Taking indifference as the line of distinction between the two great classes of pain and of pleasure, the sensations above this line are weak compared with those below it. The simple sensations of pleasure, before they are heightened and diversified by the multiplied associations of mental affection, are soft and gentle in their nature. The class of painful sensations is powerful and overwhelming; they are meant as our guardians and protectors against danger and death, and they operate with resistless force. The pleasurable sensations induce a languor and delight, partaking of the quality of indulgence and relaxation; the painful excite to the most violent tension, and make the muscular frame start into convulsive action.

The emotions and passions, grounded on these great

classes of sensation, raised and increased by the mingling of hopes and fears, and the combination of analogous and associated images of delight or of danger, derive their most important traits of expression from the general tone of pleasure or of pain.

In pain, the body is exerted to violent tension, and all the emotions and passions allied to pain, or having their origin and foundation in painful sensations, have this general distinction of character, that there is an energetic action or tremor, the effect of universal and great excitement. It must at the same time be remembered, that all the passions of this class, some more immediately, others more indirectly, produce in the second stage exhaustion, debility, and loss of tone, from over-exertion.

On the other hand, as pleasure is characterised by languor, tranquillity, and relaxation, all the emotions related to it, or deducible from pleasurable sensations, are felt in the prevailing state of the system — a degree of inaction, and as it were forgetfulness of bodily exertion, and an indulgence in mental contemplation.* The contemplation of beauty, or the admiration of soft music, produces a sense of languor; the body reclines; the lips are half opened; the eyes have a softened lustre from the falling of the eyelids; the breathing is slow; and from

* "Here (Academia delle belle Arte, Bologna) are two pictures which one naturally compares. On the one side is the St. Cecilia; on the other, the Murder of the Innocents. In the St. Cecilia of Raphael, in ecstasy, there is not only great beauty, but very fine expression. She hears the music of angels; her face is turned upwards; the features composed and fine. In the lower part of the face there is a gentle relaxation, almost a smile; the eyes are directed upwards, but the eyebrow is placid. She is so wrapt, that the pipes of the organ are almost falling from the hands, which hang without exertion.

"In the picture of the Murder of the Innocents, by Guido Reni, there is an admirable figure of a woman, wild and full of fire, who

the absolute neglect of bodily sensation, and the temporary interruption of respiration, there is a frequent low-drawn sigh.

flies with her infant pressed to her bosom. But there is another, whose face is in the very attitude of the Cecilia, yet how different! The murder of her child has been perpetrated; the child lies dead before her; she is on her knees; her hands are clasped, and she looks up to heaven; her mouth is open, and all the features relaxed. The hair and dress are deranged. What, then, is the difference in expression, for there is a certain resemblance in the form and attitude of these heads? What is the difference between the relaxation of despair and of enjoyment: the relaxed jaw, and open mouth, and troubled forehead of the one, — the softness and languor, with a certain firmness in the lips of the other."— *Note from Journal.*

ESSAY VII.

THE SUBJECT CONTINUED; — OF PAIN — HORROR — CONVULSIONS — DEMONIACS — DEATH.

THE further we proceed in this inquiry the more difficult and delicate does it become. In continuing the subject, I shall rather indulge in detached remarks than pretend to follow a regular course; keeping, I hope, still true to the observation of nature, and, as far as possible, unprejudiced by theory.

Pain is affirmed to be unqualified evil; yet pain is necessary to our existence; at birth, it rouses the dormant faculties, and gives us consciousness. To imagine the absence of pain, is not only to imagine a new state of being, but a change in the earth, and all upon it. As inhabitants of earth, and as a consequence of the great law of gravitation, the human body must have weight. It must have bones, as columns of support, and levers for the action of its muscles; and this mechanical structure implies a complication and delicacy of texture beyond our conception. For that fine texture a sensibility to pain is destined to be the protection; it is the safeguard of the body; it makes us alive to those injuries which would otherwise destroy us, and warns us to avoid them.

When, therefore, the philosopher asks why were not our actions performed at the suggestions of pleasure, he imagines man, not constituted as he is, but as if he belonged to a world in which there was neither weight, nor pressure, nor any thing injurious, where there were no dangers to apprehend, no difficulties to overcome, and no call for exertion, resolution, or courage. It would, indeed, be a curious speculation to follow out the consequences on the highest qualities of the mind, if we could suppose man thus free from all bodily suffering.

But I return to the position, that pain is the great safeguard of the frame, and now proceed to examine its expression.

In bodily pain the jaws are fixed, and the teeth grind; the lips are drawn laterally, the nostrils dilated; the eyes are largely uncovered and the eyebrows raised; the face is turgid with blood, and the veins of the temple and forehead distended; the breath being checked, and the descent of blood from the head impeded by the agony of the chest, the cutaneous muscle of the neck acts strongly, and draws down the angles of the mouth. But when joined to this, the man cries out, the lips are retracted, and the mouth open; and we find the muscles of his body rigid, straining, struggling. If the pain be excessive, he becomes insensible, and the chest is affected by sudden spasms. On recovering consciousness, he is incoherent, till again roused by suffering. In bodily pain conjoined with distress of mind, the eyebrows are knit, while their inner extremities are raised; the pupils are in part concealed by the upper eyelids, and the nostrils are agitated.

The expression of pain is distinguished from that of weeping not less than from that of laughing. These arise from mental conditions, independent of physical causes, and are uncontrollable and sympathetic. But pain is bodily; that is to say, there is a positive nervous sensation, which excites to action, or to acts of volition; an energy of the whole frame is produced by suffering, and, from the consciousness of its place or source, the efforts are directed to remove it. Hence the struggle, the powerful and voluntary exertions which accompany it. Yet there is a resemblance and, in some degree, an alliance between these actions and the spasms excited by galvanism in experiments on the nerves of animals apparently dead.

OF DEMONIACS.

"*He has a Devil.*"—Two of the greatest painters, Raphael and Domenichino, have painted demoniacal boys. In the convent of the Grotto Ferrata, in the neighbourhood of Rome, Domenichino has represented Saint Nilus in the act of relieving a lad possessed.* The saint, an old man, is on his knees in prayer; the lad is raised and held up by an aged man; the mother with a child is waiting the consummation of the miracle. Convulsions have seized the lad; he is rigidly bent back; the lower limbs spasmodically extended, so that his toes only rest on the ground; the eyes are distorted, and the pupils turned up under the eyelids. This would be the position of Opisthotonos, were not the hands spread abroad, the palms and fingers open, and the jaw fallen. Had the representation been perfectly true to nature, the jaws would have been clenched, and the teeth grinding. But then the miracle could not have been represented, for one, under the direction of the saint, has the finger of his left hand in the boy's mouth, and the other holds a vessel of oil, with which the tongue is to be touched. The drawing and colouring exhibited in the lad, and the grandeur of the old men, make this one of the most admired paintings in Italy.

I have here given a sketch of the true Opisthotonos, where it is seen that all the muscles are rigidly contracted, the more powerful flexors prevailing over the

* "Domenichino, in consequence of some peccadillo, took shelter in the sanctuary of the monks of the Grotto Ferrata, a fortified convent some miles distant from Rome. The monks, under the threat of delivering him up, made him paint their walls; and the frescoes are, indeed, beautiful, particularly the old men. That compartment which is called the Demoniac Boy, is most admired."— *Note from Journal.*

extensors. Were the painter to represent every circumstance faithfully, the effect might be too painful, and something must be left to his taste and imagination.*



It may be considered bold to criticise the works of Raphael; but I venture to say that, if that great master intended, in his cartoon of the Death of Ananias, to excite horror, the effect would have been more powerful, if there had been greater truth in the convulsions of the chief figure, instead of a mere twisting of the body. Strange it is, but true, that we are most affected by the more slight, if correct, portraiture of a natural condition.

In the same painter's great picture of the Transfiguration, in the Vatican, there is a lad possessed, and in convulsions. I hope I am not insensible to the beauties of

* The original sketch is in the College of Surgeons of Edinburgh. I took it from soldiers wounded in the head, at the Battle of Corunna. Three men were similarly hurt, and in short successive intervals similarly affected, so that the character could not be mistaken.

that picture, nor presumptuous in saying that the figure is not natural. A physician would conclude that this youth was feigning. He is, I presume, convulsed; he is stiffened with contractions, and his eyes turned in their sockets. But no child was ever so affected. In real convulsions, the extensor muscles yield to the more powerful contractions of the flexor muscles; whereas, in the picture, the lad extends his arms; and the fingers of the left hand are stretched unnaturally backwards. Nor do the lower extremities correspond with truth; he stands firm; the eyes are not natural; they should have been turned more inwards, as looking into the head, and partially buried under the forehead. The mouth, too, is open, which is quite at variance with the general condition, and without the apology which Domenichino had. The muscles of the arms are exaggerated to a degree which Michael Angelo never attempted; and still it is the extensors and supinators, and not the flexors, which are thus prominent.

Disease has characteristic symptoms, which we can accurately and scientifically reduce to description; and borrowing from this source, there is no state of suffering from which we can so well infer the nature of the agitation of the frame as from hydrophobia. The patient being sensible of his condition, and calm, and aware of the experiment which is to be made upon him by his physician, when he calls for a glass of water, cannot resist the influence of the disease. He shudders, his face assumes an expression of extreme horror and alarm; convulsive gulpings take place in his throat; he flies to some support, and clings to the bedpost in an agony of suffocation. This I have witnessed in a powerful man. I have had the pain of seeing the disease in a girl of eighteen. The

irritability of the skin being increased to an awful degree, so that the touch of her long hair falling on her naked body, excited, as she said, the paroxysms. These recurred with a sense of choking, with sudden and convulsive heavings of the chest, a shuddering, and catching of the muscles of breathing, and an appalling expression of suffering. The paroxysms in such a case becoming more

frequent and severe, finally exhaust the powers of life. In these convulsions it is the nervous and muscular systems belonging to the natural function of respiration which are affected; and as they are also the organs of expression, the condition is seen not only in the countenance, but in the throat and chest, to be that of extreme horror.

FEAR.

" Nam Timor unus erat, facies non una timoris,
Pars laniat crines, pars sine mente sedet.
Altera mœsta silet, frustra vocat altera matrem,
Hæc queritur, stupet hæc, hæc fugit, illa manet."

OVID *de Arte Amandi.*

So Ovid describes the Sabine virgins; and such the tumultuary and distracted state of mind produced by fear. " And there is good reason for this, because in a sudden daunt and onset of an unexpected evil, the spirits which were before orderly carried by their several due motions unto their natural works, are upon this strange appearance and instant oppression of danger so disordered, mixed, and stifled, that there is no power left either in the soul for counsel, or in the body for execution." In mere bodily fear there is mere animal expression and meanness. The breath is drawn and the respiration suspended; the body fixed, and powerless; the eyes rivcted, or searching and unsteady; and the action undetermined.

Mr. Burke, in his speculations on fear, assimilates it, with perhaps too little discrimination, to pain. " A man in great pain," he observes, " has his teeth set; his eyebrows are violently contracted; his forehead is wrinkled; his eyes are dragged inwards, and rolled with great vehemence; his hair stands on end; his voice is forced out in short shrieks and groans; and the whole fabric totters."— " Fear or terror," he continues, " which is an apprehension of pain or death, exhibits exactly the same effects, approaching in violence to those just mentioned, in proportion to the nearness of the cause, and the weakness of the subject."*

* Sublime and Beautiful, Part IV. sect. 3. Cause of Pain and Fear.

But there is one distinguishing feature of the two conditions: the immediate effect of pain is to produce an energetic action and tension of the whole frame; that of fear is to relax all the energy of mind and of body—to paralyse, as it were, every muscle. Mr. Burke seems to have written loosely, partly from forgetting that pain and fear are often combined, and partly from taking a view of the subject too much limited to the particular conclusion which he wished to enforce. There cannot be great pain without its being attended by the distraction of doubts and fears; the dread even of death is a natural consequence of extreme pain, and so the expression of fear in the countenance is frequently mingled with that of pain. But, perhaps, there are few passions which may not be assimilated by such combinations; fear and hatred; hatred and rage; rage and vengeance and remorse. On the other hand, confining ourselves to simple bodily fear, there is much truth in the observation of this eloquent writer. The fear of boiling water falling on the legs, gives an expression of the anticipation of scalding, resembling the meaner expression of bodily pain. As Mr. Burke says, fear in a dog will no doubt be that of the lash, and he will yelp and howl as if he actually felt the blows; and this indeed is the only kind of fear which brutes know. The higher degrees of fear, in which the mind operates, and which we shall see characterised in the countenance by an expression peculiar to mental energy, do not appear in them.

In man, the expression of mere bodily fear is like that of animals, without dignity; it is the mean anticipation of pain. The eyeball is largely uncovered, the eyes staring, and the eyebrows elevated to the utmost stretch. There is a spasmodic affection of the diaphragm and muscles of the chest, disturbing the breathing, producing a gasping in the

throat, with an inflation of the nostril, convulsive opening of the mouth, and dropping of the jaw; the lips nearly conceal the teeth, yet allow the tongue to be seen, the space between the nostril and the lip being full. There is a hollowness and convulsive motion of the cheeks, and a

trembling of the lips, and muscles on the side of the neck. The lungs are kept distended, while the breathing is short and rapid. From the connexion of the nerves of the lungs and diaphragm with those of the side of the neck, and with the branches which supply the cutaneous muscle of the cheek and neck, we may comprehend the cause of the convulsive motion of this muscle.* The aspect is pale and

* See Essay on the Nerves.

cadaverous from the receding of the blood. The hair is lifted up by the creeping of the skin, and action of the occipito-frontalis.

In the preceding sketch, I have endeavoured to express fear mingled with wonder. But if we should suppose the fear there represented, to have arisen from

apprehended danger still remote, and that the object of fear approaches, and is now about to cleave to the person, he trembles, looks pale, has a cold sweat on his face, and in proportion as the imagination has less room to range in, as the danger is more distinctly visible, the expression partakes more of actual bodily pain. The

scream of fear is heard, the eyes start forward, the lips are drawn wide, the hands are clenched, and the expression becomes more strictly animal, and indicative of such fear as is common to brutes.*

* I shall here transcribe a portion from my brother's volume on Italy. Mr. John Bell travelled in declining health; and died in Rome, in 1820. He had written a great deal with a pencil, in the course of his journey; and no less than thirty small volumes of notes, thus jotted down on his knee, were submitted by his widow to Professor Bell and myself. In these we saw much to admire; but knowing how much would have been changed and corrected had our brother lived, we thought them unfit for publication. Of the many striking passages in the work, the following may be selected as relating to the present subject:—

"*Turin. The Execution of an Assassin.*—I found myself opposite to the distracted criminal whom they were conducting to execution in all the agonies of terror and despair. He was seated in a black car, preceded by arquebusiers, on horseback, carrying their carabines pointed forward. These were followed by a band of priests, clothed in long black robes, singing, in deep and solemn tones, a slow mournful dirge,—part of the service for the dead. A hot burning sun shone with a flood of light; and, though it was mid-day, such was the silence, and such the power and effect of this solemn chant, that its sound was re-echoed from every distant street. The brothers of the Misericordia, clothed in black, and masked, walked by the side of the car, and joined in the chant. On the steps of the car sat a man bearing a flag, on which Death was represented in the usual forms, and on which was inscribed in Latin (if I read it rightly), 'Death has touched me with his fingers,' or, 'Death has laid his hands on me.' On each side of the car, the officiating priests were seated; and in the centre, sat the criminal himself. It was impossible to witness the condition of this unhappy wretch without terror; and yet, as if impelled by some strange infatuation, it was equally impossible not to gaze upon an object so wild, so full of horror. He seemed about thirty-five years of age; of large and muscular form; his countenance marked by strong and savage features; half naked, pale as death, agonised with terror, every limb strained in anguish, his hands clenched convulsively, the sweat breaking out on his bent and contracted brow, he kissed incessantly the figure of our Saviour, painted on the flag which was suspended before him; but with an agony of wildness and despair, of which, nothing ever exhibited on the stage can give the slightest conception. I could not refrain from moralising

I should apply the name of terror to that kind of fear, in which there is a strong working of the imagination, and which is therefore peculiar to man. The eye is bewildered; the inner extremity of the eyebrows is elevated, and strongly knit by the action of the corrugator; thus producing an expression of distracting thought, anxiety, and alarm, and one which does not belong to animals. The cheek is a little raised, and all the muscles which are concentred about the mouth are active; there being a kind of modulating action in the circular muscle of the lips, which keeps the mouth partially open. The cutaneous muscle of the neck, the platysma myoides, is strongly contracted, and its fibres may be seen starting into action like cords, under the skin, and dragging powerfully on the angles of the mouth. The imagination wanders; there is an indecision in the action, the steps are furtive and unequal, there is a spasm which hinders speech, and the colour of the cheeks vanishes.

> "Canst thou quake and change thy colour,
> Murther thy breath in middle of a word,
> And then again begin, and stop again,
> As if thou wast distraught and mad with terror?"*

When mingled with astonishment, terror is fixed and

upon the scene here presented. The horror that the priest had excited in the soul of this savage, was greater than the fear of the most cruel death could ever have produced. But the terrors thus raised, were the superstitions of an ignorant and bewildered mind, bereft of animal courage, and impressed with some confused belief, that eternal safety was to be instantly secured by external marks of homage to the image. There was here none of the composed, conscious, awful penitence of a Christian; and it was evident, that the priest was anxious only to produce a being in the near prospect of death, whose condition should alarm all that looked on him. The attempt was successful."—*Observations on Italy*, p. 48. By the late John Bell. Published by his Widow. Edinburgh, 1825.

* Richard III. Act iii. Scene 5.

mute. The fugitive and unnerved steps of mere terror are then changed for the rooted and motionless figure of a creature appalled and stupified. Spenser characterises well this kind of terror:—

"He answer'd nought at all: but adding new
Fear to his first amazement, staring wide
With stony eyes, and heartless hollow hue;
Astonish'd stood, as one that had espy'd
Infernal furies with their chains unty'd.
* * * * *
But trembling every joint did inly quake,
And falt'ring tongue at last these words seem'd forth to shake."*

Horror differs from both fear and terror, although more nearly allied to the last than to the first. It is superior to both in this, that it is less imbued with personal alarm. It is more full of sympathy with the sufferings of others, than engaged with our own. We are struck with horror even at the spectacle of artificial distress, but it is peculiarly excited by the real danger or pain of another. We see a child in the hazard of being crushed by an enormous weight, with sensations of extreme horror. Horror is full of energy; the body is in the utmost tension, not unnerved, by fear. The flesh creeps, and a sensation of cold seems to chill the blood; the term is applicable of "damp horror."

Despair is a mingled emotion. While terror is in some measure the balancing and distraction of a mind occupied with an uncertainty of danger, despair is the total wreck of hope, the terrible assurance of ruin having closed around, beyond all power of escape. The expression of despair must vary with the nature of the distress of which it forms the acmé. In certain circumstances it will assume a bewildered, distracted air, as if madness were likely to be

* Faery Queen, Book i. cant. 9, v. 24.

the only close to the mental agony. Sometimes there is at once a wildness in the looks and total relaxation, as if falling into insensibility; or there is upon the countenance of the desperate man a horrid gloom; the eye is fixed, yet he neither sees nor hears aught, nor is sensible of what surrounds him. The features are shrunk and livid, and convulsion and tremors affect the muscles of the face. Hogarth has chosen well the scene of his picture of despair. In a gaming-house, the wreck of all hope affects, in a thousand various ways, the victims of this vice; but in every representation of despair, an inconsolable and total abandonment of those exertions to which hope inspirits and excites a man, forms an essential feature. We have two fine descriptions of despair given in detail by English poets. One is by Spenser:

> "The darksome cave they enter, where they find
> That cursed man, low sitting on the ground,
> Musing full sadly in his sullen mind;
> His greazy locks, long growing and unbound,
> Disorder'd hung about his shoulders round,
> And hid his face; through which his hollow eyne
> Look, deadly dull, and stared as astound;
> His raw-bone cheeks, through penury and pine,
> Were shrunk into his jaws, as he did never dine." *

The other is in the tragedy of the "Gamester," where Beverley, after heart-rending reiteration of hope and disappointment, having staked the last resource of his wife and family on one fatal throw, finds himself suddenly plunged into ruin.

"When all was lost, he fixed his eyes upon the ground, and stood some time with folded arms stupid and motionless: then snatching his sword that hung against the wainscot,

* Faery Queen, Book i. cant. 9, v. 35.

he sat him down, and with a look of fixed attention drew figures on the floor. At last he started up; looked wild and trembled; and, like a woman seized with her sex's fits, laughed out aloud, while the tears trickled down his face. So he left the room."

A painter may have to represent terror, despair, astonishment, and supernatural awe, mingled in one powerful expression of emotion. In a mind racked with deep despair, conscious of strength and courage, but withered and subdued by supernatural agency, the expression is quite removed from all meanness; it must be preserved grand and terrific; the hero may still appear, though palpitating and drained of vigour.

Milton has admirably sketched the nerveless stupefaction of mingled astonishment and horror: —

> "On th' other side, Adam, soon as he heard
> The fatal trespass done by Eve, amaz'd,
> Astonied stood and blank, while horror chill
> Ran through his veins, and all his joints relax'd;
> From his slack hand the garland wreath'd for Eve
> Down dropp'd, and all the faded roses shed:
> Speechless he stood and pale, till thus at length
> First to himself he inward silence broke." *

In admiration, the faculty of sight is enjoyed to the utmost, and all else is forgotten. The brow is expanded and unruffled, the eyebrow gently raised, the eyelid lifted so as to expose the coloured circle of the eye, while the lower part of the face is relaxed in a gentle smile. The mouth is open, the jaw a little fallen, and by the relaxation of the lower lip we just perceive the edge of the lower teeth and the tongue. The posture of the body is most expressive when it seems arrested in some familiar action.

* Paradise Lost, Book ix. ver. 888.

Joy is distinguishable from pleasure. It consists, not so much in the sense of gratification, as in the delight of the conviction that the long-expected pleasure is within our reach, and the lively anticipation of the enjoyment which is now decked out in its most favourite and alluring shape. A certain sensation of want is mingled with joy; a recollection of the alternate hopes and fears which formerly distracted the mind, contrasted with the immediate assurance of gratification.

In joy the eyebrow is raised moderately, but without any angularity; the forehead is smooth; the eye full, lively, and sparkling; the nostril is moderately inflated, and a smile is on the lips. In all the exhilarating emotions, the eyebrow, the eyelids, the nostril, and the angle of the mouth are raised. In the depressing passions it is the reverse. For example, in discontent the brow is clouded, the nose peculiarly arched, and the angle of the mouth drawn down.

Contrasted with joy is the testy, pettish, peevish countenance bred of melancholy; as of one who is incapable of receiving satisfaction from whatever source it may be offered; who cannot endure any man to look steadily upon him, or even speak to him, or laugh, or jest, or be familiar, or hem, or point, without thinking himself contemned, insulted, or neglected.

The arching of the mouth and peculiar form of the wings of the nose are produced by the conjoint action of the triangular muscle which depresses the angles of the mouth, and the superbus, whose individual action protrudes the lower lip. The very peevish turn given to the eyebrows, the acute upward inflection of their inner extremities, and the meeting of the perpendicular and transverse furrows in the middle of the forehead, are produced

by the opposed action of part of the frontal muscle and of the corrugator.

Habitual suspicion and jealousy are symptoms and accompaniments of melancholy. Envy may be classed with these expressions; but it is an ungenerous repining, not a momentary passion.* "It consumes a man as a moth does a garment, to be a living anatomy, a skeleton—

* "La invidia, crudelissimo dolore di animo, per il bene altrui, fa ritirar tutti i membri, come contraere et offuscar le ciglie, stringere i denti, ritirar le labbra, torcersi con certa passione di sguardo quasi in atto di volere intendere et spiare i fatti altrui," &c.— LOMAZZO, p. 130.

to be a lean and pale carcass quickened with the fiend— '*intabescetque videndo.*'"

Suspicion is characterised by earnest attention, with a certain timorous obliquity of the eyes:—

"Foul, ill-favoured and grim,
Under his eyebrows looking still askance;
And ever as Dissemblance laugh'd on him,
He lour'd on her with dangerous eye glance,
Showing his nature in his countenance:
His rolling eyes did never rest in place,
But walk'd each where, for fear of hid mischance,
Holding a lattice still before his face,
Through which he still did peep as forward he did pace." *

Jealousy is marked by a more frowning and dark obliquity of the eyes, as if it said, "I have an eye on you;" with the lowering eyebrow is combined a cruel expression of the lower part of the face.

Jealousy is a fitful and unsteady passion: its chief character is in the rapid vicissitudes from love to hate; now absent, moody, and distressed; now courting love; now ferocious and revengeful: these changes make it a difficult subject for the painter; and it is only in poetry that it can be truly presented in the vivid colours of nature. Even among poets, Shakspeare alone seems to have been equal to the task. Sometimes it may be personified in the face of a mean, suspicious, yet oppressed creature; or again in a lowering expression, the body as if shrunk into itself; like that of one brooding over his condition, and piecing out a tissue of trifling incidents to abuse his judgment.

In jealousy the eyebrows are knit, and the eyelid so fully lifted as almost to disappear, while the eyeball

* Faery Queen, Book iii. c. 12, v. 15.

glares from under the bushy eyebrow. There is a general tension of the muscles which concentre around the mouth, and the lips retract and shew the teeth with a fierce expression; this depends partly on the turn of the nostril, which accompanies the retraction of the lips. The mouth should express that bitter anguish which the Italian poet has rather too distinctly told: —

> "Trema 'l cor dentro, e treman fuor le labbia,
> Non può la lingua disnodar parola,
> La *bocca amara* e par che tosco v' habbia."

Again: —

> "E per l' ossa un tremor freddo gli scorre,
> Con cor trafitto, e con pallida faccia,
> E con voce tremante, e *bocca amara*."

There seems to be a natural succession in the passions of rage, revenge, and remorse: I do not mean morally, but in regard to our present inquiry concerning the traits of expression. A slight change in the lineaments of rage gives the expression of revenge, while the cruel eye of revenge is tempered by the relaxing energy of the lower part of the countenance in remorse.

Rage is that excess or vehemence of anger that can be no longer restrained — *sæva animi tempestas*. Whether the object be near or remote, the frame is wrought and chafed. It is a brutal passion, in which the body acts with an impetuosity not directed by sense. If we observe it in a beast, we shall better recognise it in man. When the keeper strikes the tiger or the wolf with his pole, there is an instantaneous fire of expression; the eye, the teeth are in a moment exposed, and accompanied with an excitement of the frame which we cannot see unmoved. If we imagine the human brute strangling helpless age or infancy, it must be with such a rage as this. Lord Kames

says, "A stock or a stone by which I am hurt becomes an object of resentment, and I am violently incited to crush it to atoms." This is purely as the wolf bites the stick which is presented to him. In considering those bursts of passion which lead us to wreak our vengeance upon inanimate objects, Dr. Reid supposes we are possessed with the momentary belief that the object is alive: "There must,"



he says, "be some momentary notion or conception that the object of our resentment is capable of punishment." I believe the mistake here is in not having a confirmed notion of the intimate connexion between the emotion in the mind and the exertion of the bodily frame. The body and limbs suffer an agitation as the face does, resulting from the passion; and if a man, half conscious of the frenzy which possesses him, and afraid of being betrayed into an act of cruelty, flings from him the weapon of destruction,

it is with the jerk and impetuosity of an outrageous act; whilst his humane sense controls him, it is not capable of arresting that instinctive agency of the body wrought upon by the passion; just as a man, after a long exercise of patience in some work of delicacy or nicety, is at last overcome, dashes the instrument from him, and relieves himself by a burst of impatience and some angry strides.

In rage the features are unsteady; the eyeballs are seen largely; they roll and are inflamed. The front is alternately knit and raised in furrows by the motion of the eyebrows, the nostrils are inflated to the utmost; the lips are swelled, and being drawn by the muscles, open the corners of the mouth. The whole visage is sometimes pale, sometimes turgid, dark, and almost livid; the words are delivered strongly through the fixed teeth; "the hair is fixed on end like one distracted, and every joint should seem to curse and ban."*

Tasso thus describes the rage of Argante:—

"Tacque; e 'l Pagano al sofferir poco uso,
Morde le labbra, e di furor si strugge.
Risponder vuol, ma 'l suono esce confuso,
Siccome strido d' animal, che rugge:
O come apre le nubi ond' egli è chiuso,
Impetuoso il fulmine, e sen fugge;
Così pareva a forza ogni suo detto
Tonando uscir dall' infiammato petto."

Cant. vi. 38.

* "La furia fa gl' atti stolti e fuor di se; si comme di quelli che si avvolgono ne i moti offensivi, senza riguardo alcuno, rendendosi vehementi in tutti gl' affetti, con bocca aperta et storta, che par che stridano, ringhino, urlino et si lamentino, stracciandosi le membra et i panni et facendo altre smanie."—LOMAZZO, lib. ii. p. 135.

If the painter has any imagination and power of delineation, the reading of the combat of Tancred and Argante must inspire him with a grand conception of the sublime ferocity of the human figure in action.

But in representing the passion, it may be much varied: perhaps the eyes are fixed upon the ground; the countenance pale, troubled, and threatening; the lip trembling and the breath suppressed, or there is a deep and long inspiration as of inward pain.

In the following sketch I endeavoured to represent that

expression which succeeds the last horrid act of revenge: the storm has subsided, but the gloom is not yet dissipated. Some compunctious visitings of nature are in the lips, though the eye retains its severity. By the posture and

fixed attention, I would indicate that the survey of the now lifeless body carries back the train of thought with regret for past transactions.

To represent the prevailing character and physiognomy of a madman, the body should be strong and the muscles rigid and distinct, the skin bound, the features sharp, the eye sunk; the colour of a dark brownish yellow, tinctured with sallowness, without one spot of enlivening carnation; the hair sooty black, stiff and bushy. Or, perhaps, he might be represented as of a pale sickly yellow, with wiry hair.

> "His burning eyen, whom bloody strakes did stain,
> Stared full wide, and threw forth sparks of fire;
> And more for rank despight than for great pain,
> Shak'd his long locks, colour'd like copper wire,
> And bit his tawny beard to show his raging ire." *

I do not mean here to trace the progress of the diseases of the mind, but merely to throw out some hints respecting the external character of the outrageous maniac.

You see him lying in his cell regardless of every thing, with a death-like settled gloom upon his countenance. When I say it is a death-like gloom, I mean a heaviness of the features without knitting of the brows or action of the muscles. If you watch him in his paroxysm you may see the blood working to his head; his face acquires a darker red; he becomes restless; then rising from his couch he paces his cell and tugs his chains; now his inflamed eye is fixed upon you, and his features lighten up into wildness and ferocity.

The error into which a painter may naturally fall, is to represent this expression by the swelling features of

* Faery Queen, Book ii. cant. 4, v. 15.

passion and the frowning eyebrow; but this would only give the idea of passion, not of madness. Or he mistakes melancholia for madness. The theory upon which we are to proceed in attempting to convey this peculiar look of ferocity amidst the utter wreck of the intellect, I conceive to be, that the expression of mental energy should be

avoided, and consequently the action of all those muscles which indicate sentiment. I believe this to be true to nature, because I have observed (contrary to my expectation) that there was not that energy, that knitting of the brows, that indignant brooding and thoughtfulness in the face of madmen which is generally imagined to charac-

terise their expression, and which is so often given to them in painting. There is a vacancy in their laugh, and a want of meaning in their ferociousness.

To learn the character of the countenance, when devoid of human expression, and reduced to the state of brutality, we must have recourse to the lower animals, and study their looks of timidity, of watchfulness, of excitement, and of ferocity. If these expressions are transferred to the human face, I should conceive that they will irresistibly convey the idea of madness, vacancy of mind, and mere animal passion.

But these discussions are only for the study of the painter. The subject should be full in his mind, without its being for a moment imagined that such painful or humiliating details are suited to the canvass. If madness is to be represented, it is with a moral aim, to shew the consequences of vice and the indulgence of passion.

There is a link of connexion between all liberal professions. The painter may borrow from the physician. He will require something more than his fancy can supply, if he has to represent a priestess or a sybil. It must be the creation of a mind, learned as well as inventive. He may readily conceive a female form full of energy, her imagination at the moment exalted and pregnant, so that things long past are painted in colours as if they stood before her, and her expression becomes bold and poetical. But he will have a more true and precise idea of what is to be depicted, if he reads the history of that melancholia which undoubtedly, in early times, has given the idea of one possessed with a spirit. A young woman is seen constitutionally pale and languid; and from this inanimate state, no show of affection or entreaty will draw her into conversation with her

family. But how changed is her condition, when instead of the lèthargy and fixed countenance, the circulation is suddenly restored, the blood mounts to her cheeks, and her eyes sparkle, while both in mind and body she manifests an unwonted energy, and her whole frame is animated. During the continuance of the paroxysm, she delivers herself with a force of thought and language, and in a tone so greatly altered, that even her parents say, "She is not our child, she is not our daughter, a spirit has entered into her." This is in accordance with the prevailing superstition of antiquity; for how natural to suppose, when this girl again falls into a state of torpor, and sits like a marble statue, pale, exhausted, taciturn, that the spirit has left her. The transition is easy; the priests take her under their care, watch her ravings and give them meaning, until she sinks again into a death-like stupor or indifference.

Successive attacks of this kind impress the countenance indelibly. The painter has to represent features powerful, but consistent with the maturity and perfection of feminine beauty. He will shew his genius by portraying, not only a fine female form with the grandeur of the antique, but a face of peculiar character; embodying a state of disease often witnessed by the physician, with associations derived from history. If on the dead and uniform paleness of the face he bestows that deep tone of interest which belongs to features inactive, but not incapable of feeling: if he can shew something of the imprint of long suffering isolated from human sympathy, throw around her the appropriate mantle, and let the fine hair fall on her shoulders, the picture will require no golden letters to announce her character, as in the old paintings of the Sybil or the Pythoness.

OF DEATH, AS REPRESENTED IN THE PAINTINGS OF THE OLD MASTERS.

Before proceeding, I must repeat, that the convulsions of the body which sometimes accompany the act of dying, are not the effect of pain, but succeed to insensibility. There may remain, after death, for a time, the expression of suffering; but this soon subsides, and the features become placid and composed. Therefore it is that the sorrowing friends are withdrawn, until Death has had the victory, when the features assume the tranquillity of sleep.

The observation of Leonardo da Vinci, that contrast is essential in painting, has a fine example in the picture of the "Martyrdom of St. Agnes."* Near the martyr lie two soldiers struck down by a miracle: one of these is in the agony, but not yet dead; the muscles of his neck are convulsed, the mouth extended, and the lips drawn back from the teeth, the brow is furrowed, the eyes almost closed, and the pupils not visible: the other soldier is tumbled over him; his features are fixed in death: with both of these is contrasted the resignation of the martyr.

When in Rome, I heard much of the fine statue of St. Cecilia; I, therefore, went to the Convent of St. Cecilia Decollata. Looking for a statue, my surprise was great when it was pointed out where the figure lay, in a crypt or low marble arch, under the great altar.† A gold case, containing the heart of the saint, hangs from the centre of the arch. St. Cecilia was an early convert to Christianity, and having drawn her brother, and many others to the

* In the Academia delle belle Arte, Bologna.

† In the Church of St. Cecilia in Trastevere.

faith, she suffered martyrdom, and was found in the precise position in which this marble represents her. The body lies on its side, the limbs a little drawn up; the hands are delicate and fine, they are not locked, but crossed at the wrists; the arms are stretched out. The drapery is beautifully modelled, and modestly covers the limbs. The head is enveloped in linen, but the general form is seen, and the artist has contrived to convey by its position, though not offensively, that it is separated from the body. A gold circlet is around the neck to conceal the place of *decollation.* It is the statue of a lady, perfect in form, and affecting from the resemblance to reality in the drapery of white marble, and the unexpected appearance of the statue altogether. It lies, as no living body could lie; and yet correctly, as the dead, when left to expire,—I mean in the gravitation of the limbs.*

The position of the head will distinguish the dead from the living figure. There is so much difference between fainting and death; that is to say, it is so possible to mark the difference, that I confess I have been disappointed by the failure of some of the finest painters; for example, in the representation of the Madonna fainting at the foot of the cross, which is a very frequent subject, the colouring is commonly that of death.†

* *Statua di St. Cecilia.*—"Questa graziosa statua giacente, rappresenta un corpo morto come se allora fosse caduto mollemente sul terreno, colle estremità ben disposte e con tutta la decenza nell' assetto dei panneggiamenti, tenendo la testa rivolta all' ingiu e avilluppata in una benda, senza che inopportunamente si scorga l' irrigidire dei corpi freddi per morte. Le pieghe vi sono facile, e tutta la grazia spira dalla persona, che si vede essere giovine e gentile, quantunque asconda la faccia; le forme generali, e le belle estremità che se mostrano, danno a vedere con quanta grazia e con quanta scelta sia stata imitata la natura in quel posare sì dolcemente."

† *Gesù Cristo Morto.*—"He lies, the head and shoulders resting on

There is sometimes in death a fearful agony in the eye; but we have said, that it is consolatory to know that this does not indicate suffering, but increasing insensibility. The pupils are turned upwards and inwards. This is especially observed in those who are expiring from loss of blood. It is the *strabismus patheticus orantium* of Boerhaave. Sauvages observes on this rolling up of the eyeball, in dying children, — "Vulgo aiunt hos tenellos suam patriam respicere." 'The vulgar say, that these little ones are looking to their native home.'

We cannot fail to observe how artfully the poets accommodate their descriptions of death to that kind of interest which they have laboured to excite. The tyrant falls convulsed and distorted in agony; the hero, in whose fate we have been made to sympathise, expires without the horrors of death; his fall is described with all the images of gentle sinking, where mortal languor is succeeded by insensibility, unaccompanied by pangs and struggles.

In the episode of Nisus and Euryalus, Virgil gives to the death of Sulmo all the horror of violent death; the breath is convulsively drawn, and the sides palpitate.

"Hasta volans noctis diverberat umbras,
Et venit aversi in tergum Sulmonis, ibique
Frangitur, ac fisso transit præcordia ligno.
Volvitur ille vomens calidum de pectore flumen
Frigidus, et longis singultibus ilia pulsat."—*Æneid*, ix. 411.

---

the knees of his mother, who has fainted. The posture and abandonment of the Magdalen is the finest representation possible; her hair, as usual, loose. She is kneeling at the feet of our Saviour, her hands convulsively entwined. The dead body is beautifully drawn; the anatomy perfect, not exaggerated. But the mother is dead — gone to decay — not in faint, but in death: such is the effect of the colouring."— *Note from Journal.* Parma.

But in the death of Euryalus the poet recurs to all the images of languid and gentle decline:—

Volvitur Euryalus letho, pulchrosque per artus
It cruor, inque humeros cervix collapsa recumbit:
Purpureus veluti quum flos, succisus aratro,
Languescit moriens; lassove papavera collo
Demisere caput, pluvia quum forte gravantur."*
Æneid, ix. 433.

Tasso presents us with some very fine contrasts of the same kind; in the death of Argante, for example, there is a picture of ferocious impetuosity and savage strength:—

"Infuriossi allor Tancredi et disse;
Cosi abusi, fellon, la pietà mia?
Poi la spada gli fisse et gli refisse
Nella visiera, ove accertò la via.
Moriva Argante, e tal moría qual visse:
Minacciava morendo, e non languia;
Superbi, formidabili, e feroci
Gli ultimi moti fûr, l'ultime voci."
Tasso, *Ger. Lib.* cant. xix. 26.

Sometimes, indeed, death may be represented unaccompanied with the horror by which it is commonly

* In the death of Dardinel, the simile of Virgil is beautifully imitated by Ariosto:—

"Come purpureo fior languendo muore
Che'l vomere al passar tagliato lassa;
O come carco di soverchiõ umore
Il papaver no l' horto, il capo abbassa;
Così giù de la faccia ogni colore
Cadendo, Dardinel di vita passa," &c.
Cant. xviii. 153.

As a further contrast, we might take the death of the Soldan's page, *Ger. Lib.* ix. 86. So of Nisus throwing himself upon the body of his friend, *Æneid*, ix. 444. Contrast also the death of Eunæus, *Ib.* xi. 668, with that of Camilla, in the same book.

associated. A young creature is seen in death, as if asleep, with the beauty of countenance unobscured by convulsion; the form remains, but the animation is gone, and the colours of life have given placé to the pale tints of death.

" D' un bel pallore ha il bianco volto asperso,
Come a' gigli sarian miste viole.
. . . . . .
. . . . . In questa forma
Passa la bella donna, e par che dorma."
TASSO, *Ger. Lib.* cant. xii. 69.

Again the same poet: —

" E, quasi un ciel notturno, anco sereno
Senza splendor la faccia scolorata."

Or Petrarch: —

" Non come fiamma che per forza è spenta,
Ma che per sè medesma si consume,
Se n' andò in pace l' anima contenta:
A guisa di un soave e chiaro lume,
Cui nutrimento a poco a poco manca,
Tenendo al fin suo usato costume;
Pallida nò, ma più che neve bianca,
Che senza vento in un bel colle fiocchi,
Parea posar come persona stanca.
Quasi un dolce dormir ne' suoi begli occhi,
Essendo il spirto gia' da lei diviso,
Era quel che morir chiaman gli sciocchi.
Morte bella parea nel suo bel viso."
Trionfo della Morte.

A man who has died in battle lies blanched and very pale; he has bled to death; but one strangled, smitten, or crushed by some deadly contusion, has the blood settled in his face. The following picture is truly horrible from its truth and accuracy: —

" But, see, his face is black, and full of blood;
His eyeballs further out than when he lived,

Staring full ghastly like a strangled man;
His hair uprear'd, his nostrils stretch'd with struggling;
His hands abroad display'd as one that grasp'd
And tugg'd for life, and was by strength subdued.
Look on the sheets; his hair, you see, is sticking;
His well-proportion'd beard made rough and rugged,
Like to the summer's corn by tempest lodged.
It cannot be but he was murder'd here;
The least of all these signs were probable."

King Henry VI. Part II.

The laws of inquest in England require such things to be witnessed in all their appalling circumstances, since the body lies where it falls, and no weapon or even disorder of dress is removed.

Are such scenes to be painted?—Certainly not. The impression may be conveyed to the spectator consistently with good taste, and in a manner less obtrusive, so as to awaken the sensations which should attend them, without the detail of the actual scene. It may be allowed in words, as Shakspeare has represented the body of the good Duke Humphrey; but, in painting, the representation becomes too palpable to admit the whole features of horror.



ESSAY VIII.

OF EXPRESSION IN REFERENCE TO THE BODY—THE EMOTIONS MODIFIED BY CONTROLLING EXPRESSION.

In the preceding essays, it has been shewn, that the powerful passions influence the same class of nerves and muscles which are affected in highly excited or anxious breathing; and it was inferred, that the apparatus of respiration is the instrument by which the emotions are manifested. In fear or in grief, the movements of the nostrils, the uncontrollable tremor of the lips, the convulsions of the neck and chest, and the audible sobbing, prove that the influence of the mind extends over the organs of respiration; so that the difference is slight between the action of the frame in a paroxysm of the passions and in the agony of a drowning man.

Having traced the connexion between the excitement of the chest or trunk of the body and expression in the face, we may for a moment turn our attention to the consent between the breathing or expression of the body generally, and the position of the limbs. Let us take the instances by which we before illustrated the universal consent of the animal frame. When the tiger

or wolf is struck by the keeper, and suddenly roused to ferocity and activity, the character is seen not only in the glare of the eyes, the retraction of the lips, and the harsh sound of the breath as it is forcibly drawn through the confined throat, but every muscle is in tension, and the limbs in an attitude of strained exertion, prepared to spring. In this condition of high animal excitement, observe the manner in which the chest is kept distended and raised; the inspiration is quick, the expiration slow; and, as the keeper strikes the jaw, there is at the same instant a start into exertion, and the breath rapidly drawn in. The cause of this expansion of the chest is readily understood, when we recollect that the muscles by which the limbs are exerted have two extremities: one fixed, which is called the origin; the other moveable, which is called the insertion. The muscles of the arms, in man, and of the forelegs, in brutes, have their origins on the chest. To give power to the further extremities or insertions of these muscles into the limbs, the chest must be fixed: and, to give them their fullest power, it must be raised and expanded, as well as fixed. Hence that most terrible silence in human conflict, when the outcry of terror or pain is stifled in exertion; for, during the struggle with the arms, the chest must be expanded or in the act of rising, and, therefore, the voice, which consists in the expulsion of the breath by the falling or compression of the chest, is suppressed, and the muscles which perform the office of raising and distending the chest, act in aid of the muscles of the arms. The moment of alarm is also that of flight or defence; the sudden and startled exertion of the hands and arms is attended with a quick inspiration and spasm of the mouth and throat, and the first sound of fear is in drawing, not in expelling the breath; for at that instant to depress and

contract the chest would be to relax the muscles of the arms and enfeeble their exertion. Or, to put the example in another form, suppose two men wrestling in the dark, would not their voices convey to us the violence of their efforts? The short exclamation choked in the act of exertion, the feeble and stifled sounds of their breathing, would let us know that they turned, and twisted, and were in mortal strife. To an apt observer, two dogs fighting might illustrate the subject. Such combinations of the muscular actions are not left to the direction of our will, but are provided for in the original constitution of the animal body: they are instinctive motions. Yet, the principles of criticism in these matters have been laid down with surprising confidence by persons who had no knowledge of anatomy, and whose curiosity had never been raised, to inquire into the phenomena of their own emotions, or of those they must have witnessed in others. I shall transcribe here a passage from an elegant and ingenious critic, on which I shall freely make some remarks.

"In like manner it is not with the agonies of a man, writhing in the pangs of death, that we sympathise, on beholding the celebrated group of Laocoon and his sons; for such sympathies can only be painful and disgusting: but it is with the energy and fortitude of mind which those agonies call into action and display. For though every feature and every muscle is convulsed, and every nerve contracted, yet the breast is expanded and the throat compressed, to shew that he suffers in silence. I therefore still maintain, in spite of the blind and indiscriminate admiration, which pedantry always shews for every thing which bears the stamp of high authority, that Virgil has

debased the character, and robbed it of all its sublimity and grandeur of expression by making Laocoon *roar like a bull;* and I think that I may safely affirm, that if any writer of tragedy were to make any one personage of his drama to roar out in the same manner, on being mortally wounded, the whole audience would burst into laughter, how pathetic soever the incidents might be that accompanied it. Homer has been so sensible of this, that of the vast number and variety of deaths, which he has described, he has never made a single Greek cry out on receiving a mortal wound."*

The criticism here is just, so far as the artist is praised and the poet blamed; but the critic has mistaken the ground of the praise and of the blame. It appears strange that any one should philosophise on such points, and yet be ignorant of the most common things in the structure of his own frame, and of the facts most essential to just criticism in works of art. What ideas can be conveyed, for example, by "the convulsion of a feature," and the "contraction of a nerve?"

The writer has had the impression, which all who look on the statue must have, that Laocoon suffers in silence, that there is no outcry. But the aim of the artist is mistaken. He did not mean to express "energy and fortitude of mind," or, by "expanding the breast and compressing the throat, to shew that he suffers in silence." His design was to represent corporeal exertion, the attitude and struggles of the body and of the arms. The throat is inflated, the chest straining, to give power to the muscles of the arms, while the slightly parted lips shew that no

* Mr. Payne Knight on Taste, p. 333.

breath escapes; or, at most, a low and hollow groan.* He could not roar like a bull — he had not the power to push his breath out in the very moment of the great exertion of his arms to untwist the serpent which is coiled around him. It is a mistake to suppose that the suppressed voice, and the consent of the features with the exertion of the frame, proceed from an effort of the mind to sustain his pain in dignified silence; for this condition of the arms, chest, and face, are necessary parts of one action.

The instant that the chest is depressed to vociferate or bellow, the muscles arising from the ribs and inserted into the arm-bones must be relaxed, and the exertion of the arms becomes feeble. Again, in speaking or exclaiming, a consent runs through all the respiratory muscles; those of the mouth and throat combine with those which move the chest. Had the sculptor represented Laocoon as if the sound flowed from his open mouth, there would have been a strange inconsistency with the elevated condition of his breast. Neither is it correct to suppose it possible that a man struck down with a mortal wound, and rolling in the dust, like Homer's ill-fated heroes, can roar out like a a bull. A mortal wound has an immediate influence on these vital parts and respiratory organs, and the attempt to cry aloud would end in a feeble wail or groan. There

* "Ille simul manibus tendit divellere nodos,
Perfusus sanie vittas atroque veneno:
Clamores simul horrendos ad sidera tollit:
Qualis mugitus, fugit quum saucius aram
Taurus, et incertam excussit cervice securim."
Æneid, Lib. ii. l. 220.

"Virgilio ci rappresente Laocoonte in smanie e in muggite, come un toro ferito a morte; ma Agesendro seppe exprimere tutto il dolore, senza cedere la bellezze."—*Azara*, p. 53. This is just the criticism of Mr. Payne Knight.

is no danger that the tragedian who follows nature should offend the taste of an audience by actual outcry. But these critics think it necessary to refine and go beyond nature, whereas the rule is to learn her ways, and to be cautious of adding the slightest trait of expression, or what we conceive to be such, to the simple, and because simple, the grand character of natural action; instead of making the appeal more strongly to the senses, it is sure to weaken it.

In Bernini's statue of David with his sling, there is an attempt at expression which offends good taste, because it is not true to nature. The artist has meant by the biting of the lip to convey the idea of resolution and energy. But that is an action intended to restrain expression, to suppress an angry emotion which is rising in the breast; and if it be permitted, even in caricature, it must be as a sign of some trifling inconvenience, never of heroism. It is not suitable to the vigorous tone which should pervade the whole frame. That vigour cannot be otherwise represented, than by the excitement of the breast, lips, and nostrils, while the posture and the eyes give it a direction and meaning. This is all destroyed by an expression so weak and inconsistent as biting the lip; it is vulgar, not because it is common, but because it is a trick, and not true to nature.

The "Dying Gladiator" is one of those masterpieces of antiquity which exhibits a knowledge of anatomy and of man's nature. He is not resting; he is not falling; but in the position of one wounded in the chest and seeking relief in that anxious and oppressed breathing which attends a mortal wound with loss of blood. He seeks support to his arms, not to rest them or to sustain the body, but to fix them, that their action may be transferred to the chest, and thus assist the labouring respiration.

The nature of his sufferings leads to this attitude. In a man expiring from loss of blood, as the vital stream flows, the heart and lungs have the same painful feeling of want, which is produced by obstruction to the breathing. As the blood is draining from him, he pants, and looks wild, and the chest heaves convulsively. And so the ancient artist has placed this statue in the posture of one who



suffers the extremity of difficult respiration. The fixed condition of the shoulders, as he sustains his sinking body, shews that the powerful muscles, common to the ribs and arms, have their action concentrated to the struggling chest. In the same way does a man afflicted with asthma rest his hands or his elbows upon a table, stooping forwards, that the shoulders may become fixed points; the muscles of the arm and shoulder then act as muscles of respiration, and aid in the motion of the chest, during the heaving and anxiety which belong to the disease.

When a man is mortally wounded, and still more if he be bleeding to death as the gladiator, he presents the appearance of suffocation; for the want is felt in the breast, and relief is sought in the heaving of the chest. If he have at that moment the sympathy and aid of a friend, he will cling to him, half raising himself and twisting his chest with the utmost exertion; and while every muscle of the trunk stands out abrupt and prominent, those of the neck and throat, and nostrils and mouth, will partake the excitement. In this condition he will remain fixed, and then fall exhausted with the exertion; it is in the moment of the chest sinking, that the voice of suffering may be heard. If he have fallen on the turf, it is not from pain, but from that indescribable agony of want and instinctive struggling, that the grass around the lifeless body is lodged and torn.

So too with the actor. In order to convey to the spectator the idea of human nature agitated by passion or suffering, he must study how the parts of the frame are united and co-operate in expression. Of the success of such an effort we had lately an example on our own stage. It was in witnessing the struggles of a man who had received the mortal thrust, and the representation was horribly correct. The actor having rubbed the paint from his face, presented a hollow cheek, with the countenance haggard and pale; but it was the heaving of the shoulders attending his deep and painful inspiration,— his difficult utterance, — the gurgling of his voice, as if the blood impeded the breath, which made altogether a most powerfully drawn representation of violent death. Even those who knew nothing of the cause of their being moved felt that it was correct.

But let us take a less appalling instance of the consent

of the frame with the functions of the heart and lungs. It is this connexion between the muscles of the chest and arms which makes a little man oppressed by obesity speak with abrupt gesticulation. His emphatic words are forced out in barking tones, accompanied by jerks and twists of the arms, the reverse of grace; while a tall and ungainly person exhibits an awkwardness of an opposite kind, in a disjointed swing of his arms during the efforts of his elocution.

Are we not now authorised to say, that expression is to passion what language is to thought: that as without words to represent ideas, the reasoning faculties of man could not be fully exercised, so there could be no violence or excess of passion merely in the mind, and independent of the action of the body? As our thoughts are embodied and the reasoning powers developed by the instrument of speech, the passions or emotions have also a corresponding organ to give them a determined character and force. The bodily frame, though secondary and inferior, comes in aid of the mind; and the faculties owe their developement as much to the operation of the instruments of expression as to the impressions of the outward senses.

It is also curious that expression appears to precede the intellectual operations. The smile that dimples an infant's cheek, which in after years corresponds with pleasurable and complex emotions, cannot have its origin from such ideas. This expression is not first seen when the infant is awake, but oftener while asleep; and this first beam of pleasure to a mother's eye is met with the cold observation of the wise old women, that it is caused by some internal convulsion. They conclude that the

child's intellects are not yet matured to correspond with the expression, and attribute the effect to some internal irritation. The expression is in fact the spontaneous operation and classification of the muscles, which await the developement of the faculties to accompany them closely when they do arise, and in some measure to control them during life. It may be too much to affirm, that without the co-operation of these organs of the frame the mind would remain a blank; but surely the mind must owe something to its connexion with an operation of the features which precedes its own conscious activity, and which is unerring in its exercise from the very commencement.

The expression of pain in an infant is extraordinary in force and caricature; the expression of laughter is pure in the highest possible degree, as indicating unalloyed pleasure, and it will relax by sympathy even the stubborn features of a stranger. Here the rudiments of expression ought to be studied, for in after life they cease to have the pure and simple source from which they spring in infancy; the feelings are composed and restrained, the mind is in a state of more compound feeling, and the genuine characteristics of passion are to be seen only in unpremeditated bursts of great vehemence.

How much influence the instrument of expression has in first rousing the mind into that state of activity which we call passion or emotion, we may learn from the power of the body to control these affections. "I have often observed," says Burke, "that on mimicking the looks and gestures of angry, or placid, or frightened, or daring men, I have involuntarily found my mind turned to that passion whose appearance I endeavoured to imitate."

Whether it be possible to mould the body, and thus to

steal into another's thoughts, I know not; but it is of more consequence to recollect that we may in this way ascertain our own. As the actions and expressions of the body betray the emotions of the heart, we may be startled and forewarned, as it were, by the reflection of ourselves, and at the same time learn to control our passions by restraining their expression.

As we hold our breath and throw ourselves into an opposite action to restrain the ludicrous idea which would cause us to break out in rude laughter, so may we moderate other rising impulses, by checking the expression of them; and by composing the body, we put a rein upon our very thoughts. The powers of language are so great, and minister in so superior a manner to reason and the higher faculties of the mind, that the language of expression, which attends the developement of these powers, is in a manner superseded; good taste and good manners retain it in habitual subordination. We esteem and honour that man most who subdues the passions which directly refer to himself, and cultivates those which have their source in benevolence — who resists his own gratification, and enters warmly by sympathy into what others feel — who despises direct pleasures, and cultivates those enjoyments in which he participates with others. "Whatever is morally just is beautiful in art:" the expression of pain, proceeding from the mere suffering of the body, is repulsive in representation, while the heroic pangs which the artist may raise to the highest degree of expression, in compassion or sympathy with another's sufferings, cannot be too powerfully portrayed, if they be consistent with nature and truth.

In studying expression the artist should attempt all, even that which is disagreeable, so that in higher com-

position he may avoid deformity and every debasing expression, and this not by chance, but by knowing them and avoiding them; by this means — and it was followed by the ancients — his power of representation will be improved, and what is dignified and beautiful in form and expression more certainly attained.

ESSAY IX.

OF THE STUDY OF ANATOMY AS NECESSARY TO DESIGN. OF THE IDEAL, IN THE REPRESENTATION OF THE BODY. OF THE GENIUS AND STUDIES OF MICHAEL ANGELO BUONAROTTI.

WERE I to attempt a definition of the ideal in the representation of the body, or of the head and face, I should adopt, as the most harmless to the sculptor or painter, that which has been given by Cicognara. "The ideal in art," says he, "is nothing more than the imitation of an object as it ought to be in perfect nature, divested of the errors or distortions which secondary causes produce." He takes for granted that man, like every thing else, has degenerated from the original design of nature, and "that we ought to endeavour to present his form as when he rose a newly-created being, before misery and famine, cold or excess of heat, had influence upon his frame. To accomplish this, the artist has to contemplate those acknowledged beauties in the Venus, in the youthful Apollo, in the vigorous Athletæ, and in the Hercules. From such sources he must select the perfect forms, which are now to be found no longer in nature, and recompose them into *a beautiful whole.*"

This is at least intelligible, and, to a certain degree, practicable. It divests the subject of that mystery which those throw over it who would persuade the artist that to represent perfection of form, he must avoid what is human, and retain what is divine.

But, when this is attained, and the drawing of the figure is unobjectionable, a higher object still is to be found, in a deeper meditation on human nature. Sentiment and expression may be impressed on the figure, as on the face; but they must be made appropriate to their situation. Some of the most beautiful remains of Grecian art, when deposited in churches, appear out of place; while, in the same situation, the statues of Michael Angelo seem perfectly congenial. The noble forms and grave attitudes of his statues, in the sombre light of the aisles, lead memory back to all that is great in times gone by. Those magnificent designs have the effect of a passage in the historian or the poet, when the reader closes the book to indulge in the associations of ideas which have been awakened. But, were they placed in a gallery or saloon, they might with more propriety be subjected to the flippant criticisms which they have met with.

Individuals, as well as nations, have different manners of representing the same objects,—the human figure, for example. The Egyptians, the Greeks, the people of Hindostan, or of Europe, will raise a monument with more marked peculiarities than are seen even in the designs of Michael Angelo, Correggio, and Raphael; care, therefore, should be taken to give full scope to different dispositions, capacities, or tastes. I cannot help saying, that the method of study in the academies tends to cramp the efforts of genius. In the Academy of Bologna I found the

students copying from the plaster casts, as our youths do at home; and if some means be not afforded to encourage individual genius, tameness and mediocrity must be the result. I think the remedy is to be found in the study of anatomy.

There has been much unnecessary ingenuity exercised on the question, whether the ancients studied anatomy. Undoubtedly they did not study it in our fashion; yet that they possessed all the knowledge of it which art requires, cannot be denied. The finer specimens of ancient statuary evince a more perfect acquaintance with anatomy, as far as it is shewn in the proportions, general forms, and action of the body, than the productions of those modern sculptors and painters who have pursued this art with the greatest zeal and success, — even than Michael Angelo himself. The only question therefore is, how they acquired this knowledge.

Although in Greece the dead were burned, and no artists dissected the human body, yet they certainly had the means of learning the nature of a bone, muscle, and tendon. No more was necessary; the rest was before them. Fine as their athletæ were in youth, they were subject to the decay of age. Now, in comparing the frame of a man advanced in years, especially if in earlier life he had been remarkable for "thews and sinews," with the young and active, every thing essential to the painter and the sculptor may be observed. If the Greeks had before them the most admired forms of youth and manhood, they had also the "time-honoured wrestler," who in old age exhibited, almost as in the dead anatomy, every muscle, origin and insertion, every tendon, and every vein. I know how far this manner of demonstrating the anatomy may be carried. Having in my lectures on surgery taken the

living man, the academy model, to illustrate the practice in fractures and dislocations, I was accustomed to introduce a powerful muscular fellow to my class, with this appeal: — "In the exercise of your profession you have to judge of the displacement of the limbs, and the joints disfigured by dislocation, fractures, or tumour; but not one of you, perhaps, has ever looked on the natural body itself." In giving these lessons, I became aware how much of the structure of the muscles and articulations might be demonstrated without actual dissection.

In the heat of the southern countries of Europe, the workmen, the Galeotti, or men condemned to the public works, the young people and children, are all accustomed to a state of nudity; the naked form becomes, therefore, familiar to the eye.

In the same day I made careful examinations of the anatomical studies of Michael Angelo, in the collection of the Grand Duke of Florence, and I compared them with his noble works in the tombs of the Medici. I observed that he had avoided the error of artists of less genius, who, in shewing their learning, deviate from living nature. I recognised the utmost accuracy of anatomy in the great artist's studies; in his pen-and-ink sketches of the knee, for example, every point of bone, muscle, tendon, and ligament was marked, and perhaps a little exaggerated. But on surveying the limbs of those fine statues, this peculiarity was not visible; there were none of the details of the anatomy, but only the effects of muscular action, as seen in life, not the muscles. As, perhaps, this is the most important lesson which can be given to the artist, I shall venture to transcribe the notes I made at the time.

"The statue of Lorenzo di Medici, Duca d'Urbino, by

Michael Angelo, is in the Capella di Principi, of the church of St. Lorenzo. Under the statue are two figures, one of Twilight, the other of Daybreak. I observed in the male figure, which is of very grand proportions, the clavicle or collar-bone, the head of the humerus, the deltoid and pectoral muscles developed beyond nature, yet singularly true in the anatomy. Such a shoulder was never seen in man, yet so finely is it imagined, that no one part is unduly exaggerated; but all is magnified with so perfect a knowledge, that it is just as a whole, the bone and the muscle corresponding in their proportions. In the same chapel are the statues of Giuliano di Medici, Duke of Nemours, and brother of Leo X. with the recumbent figures of Day and Night. It is in these finely conceived figures that we have the proof of Michael Angelo's genius. They may not have the perfect purity and truth that we see in the antique; but there is a magnificence, which belongs to him alone. Here we see the effect of muscular action, without affected display of anatomical knowledge. The back is marvellously fine. The position of the scapula, for example, makes its lower angle throw up the edge of the latissimus dorsi, for the scapula is forced back upon the spine, in consequence of the position of the arm. Michael Angelo must have carefully studied the anatomy in reference to the changes produced in the living body by the action of its members: the shifting of the scapula, with the consequent rising of the mass of muscles, some in action, some merely pushed into masses, are very finely shewn." *

Having just come from observing his sketches of the

* I might make similar remarks on the statue by John of Bologna,—Januarius sitting, shivering under a shower, in a fountain in the Villa Petraia, near Florence.

anatomy of the knee-joint, I was curious in my observation of the manner in which he made his knowledge available in the joints of these fine statues; and they gave rise to the following remarks.

"If an artist, with a knowledge of the structure, should look upon the knee in a bent position, he will recognise the different bones and ligaments. But if he look upon it in an extended position of the limb, or during exertion, he will not distinguish the same parts. The contour, the swelling of the integument, and the fulness around the joint, are not produced by the forms of the bones, but by the rising up of the parts displaced by the new position of the bones. The fatty cushions which are within and external to the knee-joint, and which serve the purpose of friction-wheels in the play of the bones upon each other, no longer occupy the same relative places; they are protruded from the depth of the cavity to the surface. How well Michael Angelo knew this these statues of Day and Night evince.

"In these statues, great feeling of art and genius of the highest order have been exhibited; anatomical science, ideal beauty, or rather grandeur, combined. It is often said that Michael Angelo studied the Belvidere Torso, and that he kept it continually in his eye. That fine specimen of ancient art may have been the authority for his grand developement of the human muscles; but it did not convey to him the effect which he produced by the throwing out of those magnificent and giant limbs. Here we see the vigour of this sculptor's stroke and the firmness of his touch, as well as his sublime conception of the human figure. We can imagine that he wrought by no measure or mechanical contrivance; that he hewed out the marble as another would cast together his mass of

clay in a first sketch. Many of his finest works are left unfinished; it appears that he found the block of marble in some instances too small, and left the design incomplete.* For my own part I feel that the finish and smoothness of the marble is hardly consistent with the vigour of Michael Angelo's conceptions; and I should regret to think that such a genius should have wasted an hour in giving softness or polish to the surface.

"Who is there, modern or ancient, that would thus voluntarily encounter all the difficulties of the art and throw the human body into this position, or who could throw the shoulder into this violent distortion, and yet preserve the relations of the parts, of bone and muscle, with such scientific exactness? We have in this great master a proof of the manner in which genius submits to labour, in order to attain perfection. He must have undergone the severe toil of the anatomist, to acquire such a power of design, which it was hardly to be supposed could be sufficiently appreciated then or now.

"Without denying the beauty or correctness of the

* There is one unfinished production of Michael Angelo which discloses his manner of working; a statue of St. Matthew, begun on a block of marble, so small, that it appears to have restrained him. The figure is distorted, and he seems to have given up the work before it was more than blocked out of the marble. A contemporary gives an interesting account of the energy which possessed him while at work. "I have seen Michael Angelo, when above sixty, and not very robust, make more fragments of the marble fly off in a quarter of an hour than three vigorous young sculptors would have done in an hour; and he worked with so much impetuosity, and put such strength into his blows, that I feared he would have broken the whole in pieces, for portions, the size of three or four fingers, were struck off so near to the contour or outline, that, if he erred by a hair's-breadth, he would have spoiled all and lost his labour, since the defect could not have been remedied as in working in clay."—*Blaise de Vigenere.*

true Grecian productions of the chisel, they ought not to be contrasted with the works of Michael Angelo to his disadvantage. He had a noble conception of the august form of man: to my thinking, superior to any thing exhibited in ancient sculpture. Visconti * imputes inferiority to Buonarotti; and to confirm his views, compares the antique statues restored by him, with the limbs and heads which he added. But I can conceive nothing less suited to the genius of the artist than this task of modelling and adjusting a limb in a different position from that which is entire, and yet so as to preserve the proportions and character of the whole. The manner of his working and the urgency of his genius for an unrestrained field of exertion, unfitted him for that kind of labour, while it is a matter of necessity, that a copy shall be inferior to an original.

"What the figures of Night and Morning had to do before the degenerate son of the Medici is another matter. They seem to have been placed there as mere ornaments, and in the luxury of talent, to give the form and posture of the human figure, '*per ornamento e per solo spoggio di giacitura e de' forme.*'

"When in Rome I was impatient until I stood before the statue of Moses, so much had been said of its extraordinary merit,† and also so much of its defects.‡ It is a noble figure, with all the energy of Buonarotti displayed in it. It is not the anatomy alone which constitutes its perfection; but there is the same mind displayed in the attitude,

* Museo Pio. Clem.

† "Questo e Mosè quando scenda del monte
E gran parte del Nume avea nil volto."

‡ "E una testa da satiro con capelli di porco."

the habiliment, the beard, and all the accompaniments, as in the vigour of the naked shoulders and arms. It is the realisation of his high conception of the human figure.

"My brother, in his 'Observations on Italy,' finds fault with the arm, and, perhaps, looking in one direction, it may be imperfect; but this was one of many figures which were intended by the artist to ornament the great monument to Julius II.; and, consequently, designed to be seen only in a certain aspect.* Besides, we ought rather to teach ourselves to admire what is esteemed excellent than to seek for defects. As to other criticisms on this statue, it should be remembered that it is an ideal figure as much as the Apollo or the Jupiter. From whatever notion derived, Moses is represented with horns rising from his temples; an adjunct which, placed either on the face of the antique or of common nature, would have been truly ridiculous."

To resume the subject of anatomy, we may take the opinion of Vasari:† in addition to the study of the antique he recommends the frequent examination of the naked figure, of the action of the muscles of the back and limbs, and the form and play of the joints; and takes occasion to advise the study of the dissected body, in order to see the true position of the muscles, their classification and insertions; so that by perfect knowledge of the structure the artist may with more security represent the figure in every varying attitude, bestowing, through a knowledge of their action, the proper swelling and contour of the muscles, according to their position and the force exerted; and from this, he truly observes, comes the

* See the account of this great work in the "Storia della Scultura," by Cicognara.

† In his Preface, "Da che habbia origine il buon disegno."

power of invention, giving natural variety to the figures, as in the representation of a battle or great historical work.

And here I cannot help expressing a belief that, as it is necessary that the young artist should have an accurate eye to form, the drawing of the bones should be substituted for what is called the "round," that is, the fine indefinite and undulating surface of the antique. By drawing the curious shapes of the thigh-bone or tibia, he will sooner acquire a notion of external form than if set to draw a foot and ankle, or knee, without an idea of what produces the convexities which he is tracing. Drawing from the bones and from the skeleton will give him a desire for learning more, and afford an introduction to the classification and insertions of the muscles, with perfect ease in representing, either from nature or the antique, the slightly defined forms of the joints.

But, as we have seen in the works of the masters, let him avoid exhibiting the anatomy or displaying his knowledge, else he will fall into the caricature of Fuseli, instead of attaining the vigour of Buonarotti. Anatomy is not to be displayed, but its true use is to beget an accurate observation of nature in those slighter characteristics which escape a less learned eye.



ESSAY X.

USES OF ANATOMY TO THE PAINTER—FAULTS INTO WHICH ARTISTS MAY BE BETRAYED IN STUDYING THE ANTIQUE OR IN DRAWING FROM THE ACADEMY FIGURE—ANATOMY AS CONDUCTING TO TRUTH OF EXPRESSION AND OF CHARACTER.

It is interesting in a very high degree to mark the traits of emotion, and to compare them with the anatomical structure; and amidst the severer studies of anatomy, as connected with health and disease, I have been able, without departing too far from professional pursuits and duties, to pass many pleasant hours in observing and investigating the anatomy of expression. In the prosecution of anatomy we never know to what results it may lead. The observations I have made on the nervous system might be traced to investigations on the present subject. I saw that the whole frame is affected sympathetically with expression in the countenance; and it was in trying to explain that sympathy, that I was led to ascertain, that there exists in the body a distinct system of nerves, the office of which is to influence the muscles in Respiration, in Speech, and in Expression.

The study of the animal frame, as it is affected by

emotion and passion, is nearly related to philosophy, and is a subject of great difficulty and delicacy. The question is often discussed, of what use is anatomy to the painter? The study of anatomy has been objected to by some persons of pure taste, from the belief that it leads to the representation of the lineaments of death more than of life, or to monstrous exaggerations of the forms. So far this is the case, when an artist without natural talent, or right feeling, will rather exhibit the bones or muscles than the fine forms of health and vigour. But we return to the question, what are the advantages to be gained from this study by the artist? As we may define anatomy to be the examination of that structure by which the mind expresses emotion, and through which the emotions are controlled and modified, it introduces us to the knowledge of the relations and mutual influences which exist between the mind and the body. To the painter, therefore, the study is necessarily one of great importance; it does not teach him to use his pencil, but it teaches him to observe nature, to see forms in their minute varieties, which but for the principles here elucidated would pass unnoticed,—to catch expressions so evanescent that they must escape him, did he not know their sources. It is this reducing of things to their principles which elevates his art into a connexion with philosophy, and which gives it the character of a liberal art.

By anatomy in its relation to the arts of design, I understand not merely the study of the individual and dissected muscles of the face, or body, or limbs,—but the observation of all the characteristic varieties which distinguish the frame of the body or countenance. A knowledge of the peculiarities of infancy, youth, or age; of sickness or robust health; or of the contrasts between manly and muscular strength and feminine delicacy; or of the ap-

pearances which pain or death present, belongs to its province as much as the study of the muscles of the face when affected in emotion. Viewed in this comprehensive light, anatomy forms a science, not only of great interest, but one which will be sure to give the artist a true spirit of observation, teach him to distinguish what is essential to just expression, and direct his attention to appearances on which the effect and force, as well as the delicacy of his delineations, will be found to depend.

Among the errors into which a young artist is most likely to be seduced, there are two against which the study of anatomy seems well calculated to guard him. The one is a blind and indiscriminate imitation of the antique; the other, an idea that he will find in the academy figure a sure guide for delineating the natural and true anatomy of the living body. He who makes imitation of the antique the beginning and end of his studies, instead of adopting it as a corrective of his taste, will be apt to fall into a tame and lifeless style; and, in pursuing ideal beauty, will be in danger of renouncing truth of expression and of character. Nay, I suspect that many painters have copied casts of the antique for years, without perfectly understanding what they should imitate, or even perceiving the necessity of previously studying the design of the artist, or the peculiarities of his mode of composition. Into this fault, one who is learned in the science and anatomy of painting can never fall. But he who has not compared the natural with the antique head, nor understood the characteristic differences, nor studied the principle on which the ancient artists composed, may be betrayed into the grossest misconceptions, by too implicitly following their models. In painting a hero, for example, on whom the Greek artist would have bestowed a character of strength and grandeur, by bold

anatomy and expression, he may be following the ideal form of a deity in which the sculptor had studiously divested his model of all that might seem to pertain to humanity. As I have before remarked, the ancient sculptor, in accordance with the mythology of his country and the spirit of her poetry, studied to shew the attributes of divinity in the repose of the figure, without any indication of muscles or veins, and by a face stamped with the mild serenity of a being superior to human passion; thus shadowing out a state of existence, in which the will possessed freedom and activity, without the accompanying exertion of the bodily frame. But those ideal forms are scarcely ever to be transferred to the representation of the human body; and a modern artist who follows indiscriminately such models, misapplies the noblest lessons of his art.

Independently of the ideal form of divinity, there are also some peculiarities in the nature of the ancient sculpture which ought to be well considered by the student in modern painting.

In the infancy of their art, sculptors did not venture to give to their figures either animation or character; they did not even open their eyelids, or raise the arm from the side. A stillness and simplicity of composition were thus the characteristics of ancient sculpture; and we are told that Pericles, even in the best period of Grecian art, was anxious that his pupils should preserve this feature of the early ages in all their works, as essential to grandeur. The pleasure of being carried back to old times seems to be a part of our nature, or, at least, of the cultivated mind. So Pliny speaks of retaining in every thing about a villa its ancient simplicity. It is observed accordingly, that among the excellencies which distinguish the Greek artists, the

first and most admirable is that gravity of style,—that sedate grandeur of expression, and prevailing tranquillity of soul which still appear under the most terrible agitation and passion. Upon this chaste model the taste in sculpture was formed in the better ages of Greece and Rome, and its influence has extended to modern times.

Unfortunately this style of composition has been taken as an additional authority for rejecting powerful expression and character even from the canvass. But, we must never forget the distinction between sculpture and painting. The statuary, indeed, as well as the painter, has often to represent what is not consistent with beauty; while both must sometimes preserve an indefiniteness, and soften all the harsher, though strictly natural lines of expression. If the statues of Michael Angelo and John of Bologna were as familiar to us as the casts of the antique, they would probably modify the prevailing opinions on this subject. Still there is an essential difference between the principle of composition in painting and in sculpture.

In the works of ancient artists we see a perpetual effort to exalt their productions above the commonness of nature. They studied a grand and general effect, avoiding the representation of minuteness or sharpness of feature, and of convulsions or distortions, however strictly natural; and, indeed, it is scarcely consistent with the character of a statue to represent the transitory effects of violent passion. The sculptor must exercise his genius on the more sublime and permanent feelings, as characterised in the countenance and figure; and much of the difficulty of his art consists in preventing the repose which ought to be preserved in the attitude and expression, from extinguishing all character, and degenerating into tameness and indifference.

It is repose, and not absence of expression, that is to be aimed at. The flashes of passion do not assort with the material, while the languor and the gloom of the features in grief are quite consistent with it. The slaves and mutes on the pedestal of a monumental statue may contribute to the effect: they are mere accessories,—as the frame to the picture. But this principle does not apply to the painter; to transfer to his art the rules of composition which flow from the study of ancient sculpture would endanger all in which it is most excellent. As his materials do not permit a close imitation of the actual forms of nature, a stronger, and more natural character is to be adopted on the canvass, than is proper to a statue. It is true, that he may often maintain much of the same gravity of style as the statuary, and that in such compositions there may be a certain august majesty; some subjects require this, and others only admit of it, provided the tone and principle of composition be preserved, and the colouring be low and sombre. In general, however, this is neither necessary, nor perhaps suitable to a picture; and it may be at least laid down, that where there is bold light and vivid colouring, there should also be strong expression, and bold characteristic drawing. A painting, with high finishing and bright colouring, demands minute expression, because the same circumstances which display the natural colour, bring out a clear disclosure of the parts, and a sharpness of expression in the features.

Thus the painter must study the traits of human expression. The noblest aim of painting is unquestionably to affect the mind, which can only be done by the representation of sentiment and passion,—of emotion as indicated by the figure and the countenance. But, if it be contended that an imposing stillness and tranquillity must pervade

the higher subjects of painting, I venture to affirm that it is a tranquillity which he can never attain who is not capable of representing all the violence and agitation of passion. It is not such repose as the artist who has despised or neglected natural character may be able to represent, but such as he alone can conceive and execute, who has studied all the variety of expression, and learned the anatomy of the face and limbs in their most violent action. Nay, tranquillity or repose, in the strict sense of the words, can only be truly represented by one who can with equal facility give energy to the features and figure; for in rest there must be character, and that character will best be expressed by him who has studied the effect of the action of the muscles. It ought also to be remembered that repose and agitation must ever greatly depend on contrast and opposition. There are few grand subjects in history or mythology, in which the tranquillity and higher beauty of expression in the main figure does not borrow some aid from the contrast of the harsher features, more marked characters, and more passionate gestures of the surrounding groups.

Perhaps I have sufficiently pointed out how dangerous it is for one who aims at excelling as a painter to imitate too closely and indiscriminately the productions of ancient sculpture. But it is natural for the student to believe that the study of the academy figure may serve as a guard against all such danger; and afford him a sure criterion for judging of the anatomy of his figures.

Where is the artist to find the principles of his art when he desires to express mental suffering under all those influences which form the subjects of design in the higher departments of art, and especially in historical painting; is he to grimace at himself in a mirror?—then he falls into

caricature: is he to study the expression of the actor?—then he represents what is fantastic and theatrical. For what may be correct representation on the stage is not correct in painting, any more than it would be correct for the tragedian to display on the stage those traits of expression with which the physician is alone supposed to be familiar. Powers of observation, cultivated by good taste, lead us to distinguish what is appropriate. The physician in studying symptoms, the actor in personifying suffering, the painter in representing it, or the statuary in embodying it in marble, are observers of nature; but each sees her differently, and with a feeling influenced by his pursuit.

The study of the academy figure is, undoubtedly, essential; but unless followed with some regard to science, it necessarily leads to error. In the first place, it can give no aid in reference to the countenance. Here the lessons of anatomy, associated with the descriptions of the great poets, and the study of the works of eminent painters and sculptors, afford the only resource. But even for attaining a correct knowledge of the body and limbs, the academy figure is far from being an infallible guide. The display of muscular action in the human figure is but momentary, and cannot be retained and fixed for the imitation of the artist. The effect produced upon the surface of the body and limbs by the action of the muscles—the swelling and receding of the fleshy parts, and that starting out of the sinews or tendons, which accompany exertion or change of posture, cannot be observed with sufficient accuracy, unless the artist is able to class the muscles engaged in the action; and he requires some other guide to enable him to recollect these varying forms, than that which is afforded by a transitory view of them.

When the academy figure first strips himself, there is

a symmetry and accordance in all the limbs; but when screwed up into a posture, they indicate constraint and want of balance. It cannot be supposed that when a man has the support of ropes to preserve him in a position of exertion, the same action of muscles can be displayed as if the limbs were supported by their own efforts; hence in all academy drawings, we may perceive something wrong, from the ropes not being represented along with the figure. In natural action there is a consent and symmetry in every part. When a man clenches his fist in passion, the other arm does not lie in elegant relaxation; when the face is stern and vindictive, there is energy in the whole frame; when a man rises from his seat in impassioned gesture, a certain tension and straining pervades every limb and feature. This universal state of the body it is difficult to excite in those who are accustomed to sit to painters; they watch his eye, and where they see him intent, they exert the muscles. The painter, therefore, cannot trust to the man throwing himself into a natural posture; he must direct him, and be himself able to catch, as it were intuitively, what is natural and reject what is constrained. Besides, those soldiers and mechanics who are employed as academy figures are often awkward and unwieldy; hard labour, or the stiff habits of military training, have impaired the natural and easy motion of their joints.

Until the artist has gained a perfect knowledge of the muscles, and is able to represent them in action without losing the general balance of the figure, he is apt to produce an appearance like spasm or cramp in the limbs, from one part being in action, while the other is in repose. For it is always to be remembered, that whether the body be alive or dead, whether the limbs be in action or relaxed in sleep, an uniform character must pervade the composi-

tion. Whether the gently undulating line of relaxed muscle be the prevailing outline, or the parts be large and strong, and the muscles prominent, bold, and turgid, there must be perfect accordance, or there will be no truth of expression.

I think, that in the sketches, and even in the finished paintings of some artists, I have observed the effect of continuing to draw from the model or from the naked figure, without due attention to the regulated action of the muscles. I have seen paintings, where the grouping was excellent and the proportions exact, yet the figures stood in attitudes when they were meant to be in action; they were fixed as statues, and communicated to the spectator no idea of exertion or of motion. This sometimes proceeds, I have no doubt, from a long-continued contemplation of the antique, but more frequently from drawing after the still and spiritless academy figure. The knowledge of anatomy is necessary to correct this; but chiefly, a familiar acquaintance with the classification of the muscles, and the peculiarities and effect of their action.

The true use of the living figure is this;—after the artist has studied the structure of the bones and the groupings of the muscles, he should observe attentively the play of the muscles and tendons when the body is thrown into action and attitudes of violent exertion;—he should especially mark their changes during the striking out of the limbs. By such a course of observation he will soon be able to distinguish between posture and action, and to avoid that tameness which results from neglecting the effects of the alternate contraction and relaxation of the muscles. And with this view, after having learned to draw the figure, the painter would do well to make the model go through the exercise of pitching the bar, or throwing, or

striking. He will then find that it is chiefly when straining in a fixed posture that there is a general tension and equal prominence of the muscles; and that in the free actions of the limbs, a few muscles only swell out, while their opponents are relaxed and flattened. He will not, perhaps, be able at once to catch the character of muscular expression, and commit it to paper; but having an accurate knowledge of the muscles, according to their uses, and the effect of each action in calling particular sets of them into activity, knowing to what points his observation should be applied, and how his preconceived notions are to be corrected by the actual appearance of the limb, each succeeding exhibition of muscular exertion will advance his progress in the delineation of the figure. Hence it may well be said, that anatomy is the true basis of the arts of design; and it will infallibly lead those to perfection who, favoured with genius, can combine truth and simplicity with the higher graces and charms of the art. It bestows on the painter a minuteness and readiness of observation, which he cannot otherwise attain; and I am persuaded, that while it enables him to give vigour to the whole form, it teaches him to represent niceties of expression, which would otherwise pass unnoticed.

Even in drawing from a particular model, the artist versed in anatomy has a great superiority. When I have seen one unacquainted with the internal structure, drawing from the naked figure or from a statue, I have remarked the difficulty which he experienced in shewing the course of a swelling muscle or the slight depressions and convexities about a joint; and this difficulty might be traced to his ignorance of the relations and actions of the muscles. The same perplexity he often feels in drawing the knobbed ends of the bones or the insertions of the tendons, at the

articulations; for these parts being covered over by the integuments, and cushions of fat of variable thickness, and sheathed in membranes, are but faintly marked on the surface. The delicate and less definite indications of the anatomy, though easily traced by one acquainted with the structure of the limb, appear to the uninformed only unmeaning variations in the outline; he has no means of judging of their importance, and he is subject to continual mistakes in attempting to imitate them.

Suppose that a young artist, not previously grounded in anatomy, is about to sketch a figure or a limb, his execution will be feeble, and he will commit many errors if he endeavours merely to copy what is placed before him — to transcribe, as it were, a language which he does not understand. He sees an undulating surface, with the bones and processes of the joints faintly marked; he neglects the peculiar swelling of the muscles, to which he should give force, as implying motion; he makes roundings merely; he is incapable of representing the elegant curved outline of beauty, with decision and accuracy, and of preserving at the same time the characters of living action. Drawing what he does not understand, he falls into tameness or deviates into caricature.

But with a knowledge of anatomy, if he attempt the same task, his acquaintance with the skeleton will enable him to make his first outline of the figure with truth and ease, and preserve its various proportions; and the study of the muscles will enable him to give force to the muscular parts, and to represent the joints accurately without exaggeration.

It is, however, in composing, much more than in copying, that this knowledge is truly useful. Without it,

all the original efforts of genius must be checked and repressed. Every change of posture is accompanied with muscular action, and in proportion to the painter's ignorance of the cause of those changes, all his designs will be cramped and restrained. Leonardo da Vinci gives formally, as a precept, what is self-evident to an anatomist: "In naked figures, those members must shew their muscles most distinctly and boldly, upon which the greatest stress is laid; in comparison with which the rest must appear enervate." "Remember, further, to make the muscles most visible on that side of any member which it puts forward to action." Such rules and precepts are rather the result of anatomical study than useful to one ignorant of the subject, in pointing out how effect is to be produced. It is not by following such recommendations that the end is to be accomplished, but by enriching the mind with frequent observation of the changes which are displayed by action, and forming rules for their representation. For example, in vigorous action there is a general tension of the whole frame; but in order to produce a particular motion, a certain class of muscles is brought into stronger action than the rest; and the nature of the motion is expressed by marking the arrangement of the muscles. If a man be merely pointing upwards, a graceful simplicity may be all that the painter can attain, or should attempt; but if he is bringing down a heavy sword to make a blow, the muscles will start into strong exertion, and the idea of mighty action will be conveyed by representing those swelling muscles of the chest which pull down the arm and give the sweep to the whole body. Thus, to compose with truth and force, it is necessary that the painter should not only know the place and form of the bones and muscles, but that he should also have an

accurate conception of the classing of the muscles in action.*

Perhaps I may best convey my idea of the advantage to be derived from this study, by contrasting two young artists drawing from a figure; the one trusting to his untutored genius, the other assisted by a knowledge of anatomy. The first is seen copying bit by bit, and measuring from point to point; and the effect, after much labour, is an accurate outline. The other seizes the chief characters of the attitude with facility; because his knowledge of the skeleton has enabled him to balance the trunk upon the limbs, and give the contours boldly. The turn of

* "Socrates one day paid a visit to Clito, the statuary, and in the course of conversation said to him, 'We all know, Clito, that you execute a variety of figures; some in the attitude of the race, and others in the several exercises of wrestling, of pugilism, and of the pancratium; but with regard to the quality which particularly captivates the soul of the spectator,—I mean their correct resemblance to the life,—how is this property wrought into your productions?' As Clito hesitated for a reply, Socrates quickly rejoins, 'Is it not by endeavouring to imitate the configuration of the bodies of those who are actually engaged in those exertions of skill and activity that you succeed?' 'Without doubt,' said the artist. 'Well, then,' resumed the philosopher, 'you study, under the various gestures and attitudes of the living body, what parts are drawn up out of their natural situation, or carried in a contrary direction below it. Some which undergo compression, others an unnatural elevation; some which are thrown into a state of extension, others which become relaxed; all this you imitate, and hence you produce that fidelity, that accuracy, which we admire.' The artist acquiesced in the remark. 'And the expression of the passions, again,—how great a pleasure does this produce to the spectator?' 'Surely,' replied Clito. 'Thus those who are in the actual conflict of the battle, are they not to be represented as bearing menaces in their eyes, while satisfaction and joy should sit upon the countenances of the victorious?' 'Unquestionably.' 'It is then equally the business of the statuary to transfuse into his productions the workings and emotions of the mind.'—XENOPHON: *Memorabilia*, Lib. iii. cap. x. p. 6.

the limbs, the masses of muscle, and the general forms of the joints, are touched with a slight but accurate hand, and the spirit and life of the original are recognised at once. Even in the early stage of his drawing, while his rival is copying parts, he will present the foundation of a correct and spirited sketch; and as he can convey the general idea by a few lines, he also excels in finishing the minute parts.

But this superiority is still better shewn if the model be removed from these two young painters, and they draw the figure from recollection; or if, keeping the model before them in its original posture, they are required to alter the attitude. Let us take for example the fighting gladiator. Instead of a young warrior pushing on with great energy, let their task be to represent him receiving the blow of his antagonist, which forces down his shield upon his breast, or brings him with his knee to the ground, as it is beautifully represented on some medals. Can we doubt for a moment which will excel? The one will copy from memory his original drawing, or with great difficulty twist the erect limbs of the statue into a couching posture, while the other will gain by his greater freedom. Retaining the general air, like one who had understood what he copied, he is aware that a new class of muscles comes into action, while those formerly in exertion are relaxed; he knows that the bending of the limbs increases their measurements; he knows how to represent the joints in their new postures; in short, he gives to his figure energy and effect.

It is a mistake to suppose that, because in many of the finest pictures the anatomy is but faintly indicated, the study may not be necessary to a painter. Even that which in the finished picture is intended merely to give the idea of

muscular exertion, should have its foundation laid in the sketch, by a correct and strong drawing of the full action. It is true, that the sketch is too often a mere indication of the painter's design, intended to be worked up to the truth of representation as he transfers it to the canvass,—that the outlines of the figures are rather shadowy forms, undefined in their minute parts, than studies of anatomical expression, or as guides in the subsequent labour. And, perhaps, it is for this reason that there have been many painters, whose sketches all admire, but whose finished paintings fall short of public expectation. But a sketch which is without vigour, and in which the anatomy has not been defined, is a bad foundation for a good picture; and even a little exaggeration in this respect is not only agreeable, but highly useful. The anatomy should be strongly marked in the original design; and from the dead colouring to the finishing, its harshness and ruggedness should be gradually softened into the modesty of nature. The character of a sketch is spirit and life; the finished painting must combine smoothness and accuracy. That which was a harsh outline in the sketch, or the strong marking of a swelling muscle, or the crossing of a vein, will be indicated in the finished composition, perhaps only by a tinge of colour. The anatomy of the finished picture will always be most successful, and even most delicate, where the painter has a clear conception of the course and swelling of each muscle and vein which enters into the delineation of the action.

While artists neglect the study of anatomy, as connected with character and expression in painting, they never can attain the "vantage ground" of their profession. Perhaps it is also to be feared, that while only a few artists are versed in this science, they will be apt to

caricature nature; they are learned above their rivals; it is their forte, and they are solicitous to display it. But were the study of anatomy more general, the same spirit and love of originality, which tempt them to a style bordering on deformity, would make those very men seek distinction by combining grace, and the other qualities of fine painting, with truth and expression.

It is not enough, however, that the painter should improve himself in the knowledge of anatomy: public attention must also be directed to its importance. For as necessity precedes invention in the origin of the arts, so must general good taste precede or accompany their improvement. The mere conviction in the mind of the painter, that anatomy is essential to the perfection of his art, will seldom be sufficient to insure his application to a very difficult and somewhat repulsive study. The knowledge and opinion of the public must force him to the task, and encourage his labour by the assurance of its merited reward.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX.

ON THE

NERVOUS SYSTEM.

BY

ALEXANDER SHAW.

In many parts of this volume references are made to an Essay upon the Nervous System; and such an essay was contained in the last edition: but it was found that the author had drawn his pen through that essay, and had left nothing new to supply its place. It cannot be doubted that he intended to recompose that part of his work; and as some account of his observations on the nervous system bearing upon the questions here discussed may be interesting, I have been requested to give a short review of his opinions. I enter upon the task with feelings of great diffidence.

It is stated, in various parts of the essays, that a distinct class of nerves is provided in the human body for controlling the organ of respiration; and that it is this class which is principally affected by passion and emotion, so as to give rise to the phenomena of expression.

The organ of breathing is so constructed in man, that besides ministering to the oxygenation of the blood, its primary office in

the economy, it is the instrument of voice and of expression,—two properties which bear relation to his intellectual nature. The apparatus required for adapting the organ of breathing to those superadded endowments, is altogether different from that which is found in the lower animals, where the organ is subservient only to the purification of the blood: and as a correspondence must exist between the structure of the different moving parts of the frame, and the nervous system, which regulates the actions of the body, the change in the construction of the organ is accompanied with a change in the arrangement of the nerves. Accordingly the author of this volume found, by comparing the nervous system as it exists in inferior animals, with its order and distribution in man, that a distinct class of nerves is appropriated in the human frame to the organ of respiration: and to that class he gave the name *respiratory nerves.*

But this conclusion was not arrived at till many other important observations had been previously made by the author on the functions of the nervous system. Medical science has been indebted to him for improvements in this branch of physiology, only to be compared, for their extent and value, with those introduced by Harvey by his discovery of the circulation of the blood. Although no parts of the living body have excited greater interest, since anatomy was first studied, than the brain and the nerves; yet when Sir Charles Bell entered upon his researches into this subject, he found it involved in so much confusion, and surrounded by so many difficulties apparently insurmountable, that physiologists had almost ceased to prosecute it. Errors on points which bore on the first elements of the inquiry had taken deep root. He succeeded in removing these errors, and in establishing an entirely new principle of investigation; by adopting which, as his guide, he was rewarded not only by making discoveries of the utmost value to medicine himself, but by communicating a fresh impulse to the labours of other physiologists in the same field.

The error which formerly prevailed, and had the greatest effect in retarding improvement, was this:— It was taken for granted that all parts of the nervous system had certain general

properties belonging to them in common; so that all were considered alike in function. The brain, including the spinal marrow, was looked upon as a common store, from which certain powers, such as that of motion, were issued to the body, and into which others, such as sensation, were received, the nerves being regarded as the conductors; and, in conformity with that view, it was further supposed that any part of the brain, or any single nerve, had an equal power with all the rest, of bestowing the numerous properties commonly assigned to the nervous system. For the sake of illustration, let us take the nerves of the lower extremities. These come off from the spinal marrow. Now it was conceived that they were all simple in structure; and that each had the power of conferring motion on the limbs, and at the same time of giving sensation; and that the spinal marrow from which they arise, being a prolongation of the brain, had these two functions combined promiscuously in all its parts.

It is not difficult to explain how these mistaken views originated. In the first place, when we look to a nerve, there is nothing visible in the structure of the fibrils of which it consists to lead us to suppose that one set possesses different functions from the others: they are all exactly alike in size, colour, and consistence, and are held together in the same manner, in one common investing membrane or sheath. In the second place, although the brain is subdivided into numerous masses of different forms and dimensions, so as to give the appearance at first sight of its consisting of many separate organs, yet an uniformity prevails in its general structure, and the distinct substances of which it is formed are so diffused and intermingled with each other, that it seems as if its functions must be common and possessed equally by all its parts. Again, the phenomena of certain diseases and accidents, occurring almost daily, must have strengthened these erroneous opinions. When the trunk of a nerve, in its course along one of the extremities, is cut across in a wound, the lower part of the limb thus isolated from the brain is deprived at once both of motion and sensation: if the spinal marrow be crushed or wasted by disease, total paralysis ensues;

that is, the limbs lose motion and sensation conjointly. When a man is struck down by apoplexy from a sudden effusion of blood into the brain, numbness or insensibility accompanies the loss of motion. These circumstances taken together were all likely to mislead, and may account for the error mentioned above having held its place so long.

After Sir Charles Bell began to investigate the subject, it soon occurred to him that great inconsistencies were involved in the opinions generally maintained. One of the difficulties which struck him most was the following: — When we suppose a nerve in the act of exciting a muscle to contract, it necessarily implies that the stimulus by which it produces that effect travels *outwardly*, — that it originates in the brain, and is conveyed externally along the fibrils of the nerve to the muscle. But when we suppose a nerve in the act of giving rise to a sensation, it implies that the impression which occasions the sense is first communicated to the extremity of the nerve expanded upon the skin, and is then conveyed *inwardly* till it arrives at the sensorium. Hence the peculiar influence which causes muscular action travels in one direction; and that which causes sensation, in exactly the opposite direction: and it seemed impossible to the author that they could both be conducted by the same nerve.

The fundamental principle, which may be looked upon as the origin of all the author's important discoveries, was thus announced. "The nerves of the body possess distinct and appropriate functions, corresponding with the parts of the brain and spinal marrow with which they are connected at their roots; and when a nerve, which appears simple, is found to bestow more than one endowment, it is a sign that that nerve has more than one origin from the brain, and consists in reality of several nerves joined together."

The mode in which this principle was demonstrated and established as a law in physiology, was the following: —

The author first directed his attention to the nerves of the organs of the senses. These nerves were formerly conceived to be allied so closely to each other, that the functions belonging to them were regarded rather as modifications of one common

property, than distinct and specific; hence it was supposed that the nerve of one organ could be the substitute for the nerve of another organ, if transposed to that organ: for example, it was believed that the optic nerve, on which vision depends, could bestow sensation or pain, like a nerve of the skin. But the author proved this to be incorrect, and that each nerve is limited to receiving a distinct impression, appropriated to it exclusively. Thus the nerve of vision can only give ideas of light and colour;* the nerve of hearing, impressions of sound; the nerve of smelling, the perception of odours; and so on. He further shewed that these special properties depended on each of the nerves arising from a distinct portion of the brain provided for receiving its own peculiar impression. This fact could not be easily demonstrated by referring to the human brain alone, where the structure is complicated to the greatest degree, owing to the organs of sense and the intellectual capacities related to them, having reached their highest point of developement; but it could be satisfactorily made out with the assistance of comparative anatomy. When we examine the lowest classes of animals, it is not found that they have the same number of organs of sense which belong to the higher. On the contrary, the organs are bestowed gradually, one after another, in correspondence with the progressive advancement of the creatures in the scale of animal existence; and it is further observed, that the part of their nervous system, recognised as similar to the brain, becomes more complex in proportion as the organs multiply. Each nerve can be traced at first into a little ganglion or accumulation of nervous substance, which is concluded to be the source of its particular

* He illustrated this fact in the following manner:—Pressure applied to the surface of the eye, between the eyelids, gives rise to pain more acute than that felt in the skin generally, but still of a similar kind; while the same degree of pressure applied to the ball of the eye, so as to affect the retina within, produces the appearance of a halo of differently coloured light before the eye, or a totally different kind of sensation from the former. In couching for cataract, the needle, when piercing the outer part of the eye, gives rise to pain,—to a sensation like that of pricking the skin; when it transfixes the retina, an appearance, as if a spark of fire had entered the eye, is produced.

power: they increase in number as the organs increase; and they become larger and more complicated in organisation in proportion as the organs are more perfectly developed, and the animal more elevated in its position. These ganglions are called after the organs of sense, over which they are supposed to preside; and hence they get the names of optic ganglions or lobes, olfactory lobes, auditory lobes, &c. This was the first step taken by the author to shew that the nerves possess distinct functions, and that they obtain these from being connected with subdivisions of the brain, which have also distinct endowments.

The next stage in his progress was marked by more striking results. His object was now to explain the cause of the nerves, which are distributed to the body generally, having the double property of giving motor power to the muscles and sensation to the skin.

The way in which he proceeded was this. He took the different nerves known to possess these two functions, and traced them to their roots in the brain. It has been already noticed, that when we examine a nerve situated in a limb, it is found to consist of numerous fibrils, all similar in structure, and held together by a common membraneous sheath; no indication appearing of one set having different functions from the others. But if we follow the nerve in its course towards the spinal marrow or brain, we shall find that, as it approaches either of these organs, and before it terminates, *it subdivides into two distinct roots*—one of which can be traced into a division of nervous substance distinct from the other, and one of which is further distinguished by having a swelling upon it, called a ganglion, which the other has not.

Now Sir Charles Bell was led by this observation of the anatomy to suppose that each of these roots had a distinct function; that one bestowed the power of motion and the other sensation; and that the reason why the whole nerve possessed both of these properties was, that it consisted of two distinct nerves joined together. He proceeded, therefore, to verify this opinion by experiment; and he confirmed it by the most satisfactory evidence. He shewed that the root which passes to the

posterior part of the spinal marrow, and has a ganglion upon it, gives sensation alone, while that which arises from the anterior part gives motion alone. He thus established for the first time the important fact, that the nerves of sensation are distinct from those of motion.

It may here be observed, that by far the greatest number of the nerves which provide the body with motion and sensation arise from the spinal marrow; only a few come directly from the brain. Sir Charles Bell was led, in the next stage of his progress, to compare these nerves with each other; and by doing so, he obtained several interesting confirmations of the truth of his views.

He was particularly struck, in the first place, by noticing that the divisions of the spinal marrow, with which the roots of motion and sensation are respectively connected, are prolonged, as distinct tracts of nervous substance, into the brain; and that they continue to give off nerves, in regular succession, after they have reached that organ. Again he observed an important difference between the mode in which the nerves of the brain go to their destinations, and that in which the spinal nerves proceed. With the exception of one nerve, the *fifth* (to which I shall presently refer as being similar both in origin and functions to the nerves of the spine), all the cerebral nerves escape from the skull by distinct holes, so as to be simple in structure, instead of consisting of two roots joined together. Accordingly, greater facility was afforded for investigating the functions of these nerves, than was the case with the spinal nerves.

The first nerve of the brain selected for experiment was that which passes to the tongue, and is called the *ninth*. It is to be remarked that this nerve arises in all respects like the anterior root of a spinal nerve, which, it has been seen, is the one that confers motion; that is, the ninth has its origin from the division of the spinal marrow, which gives off the anterior roots, just as that division begins to expand and mix with the brain: it then passes immediately, without being joined by the fibrils of any other nerve, through an appropriate hole in the base of the skull, to the tongue, in the muscular substance of which it is lost.

When this nerve was cut across in experiment, it was found that the tongue was deprived of the power of motion; but its sensibility remained unimpaired; thereby proving conclusively that, like the anterior roots of the spinal nerves, the ninth confers motion alone.

The author next selected for experiment another nerve, which springs from a different part of the brain from the ninth,—the facial nerve, or *portio dura*. This nerve arises by a simple root, and, without mixing its fibrils with those of any other, appears externally before the ear, as represented by A in Plate IV., and is distributed to the face. It had been hitherto believed that the portio dura was capable of bestowing both motion and sensation. But the author proved that this nerve was limited to giving motor power. By making a small incision through the skin, not larger than that for bleeding, he exposed the nerve in a monkey,—an animal which he considered better adapted than any other for the experiment, owing to the well-known mobility and activity of its features: when the nerve was laid bare and cut, the motions of the corresponding side of the countenance were at once and entirely extinguished; but the sensibility was unimpaired. It was even observed, as an additional proof that sensation does not depend upon the portio dura, that the animal manifested no signs of pain during the act of cutting it through.

Having obtained these conclusive proofs, with others which I need not detail, that each nerve of the brain which arises by a single root has a distinct and appropriate function, instead of possessing various combined functions, as was formerly supposed, it was next important to examine the *fifth* nerve, which, it has been stated, is distinguished from the others by resembling the spinal nerves. It may be briefly mentioned that this nerve arises from the brain by two roots, having a similar structure in every respect to those of the nerves of the spine, except that in the fifth, one root is much larger compared with the other, than in the spinal nerves; the root which has a ganglion upon it being about five times greater than that which has no ganglion. It may also be stated that, shortly after its origin, the whole nerve

subdivides into three great trunks, which ramify over the entire head; and that the smaller root accompanies only one of the trunks, called the third or inferior maxillary, which supplies the lower part of the face and the muscles of the jaws. Hence the first and second great branches are simple in structure, being formed entirely of fibrils from the larger, or ganglionic, root; while the third is in part compound, from containing fibrils of the lesser root.

Referring to Plate IV., it may be observed that two large branches, one above and the other below the orbit, marked respectively I. and II., issue from the bones of the face to go to parts already abundantly supplied by the portio dura: these are branches of the first two trunks, derived from the ganglionic root alone. Now it was found that when these branches, called the supra-orbitary and infra-orbitary nerves, were exposed in a living animal, it gave the most acute pain to prick or squeeze them; and when they were cut across, the whole surface of the face to which they are sent, was deprived instantaneously of sensation; so entirely was the sensation destroyed in these parts by this experiment, that the skin could be cut or pinched without the animal being conscious of the injury; and yet the motion of the parts was perfectly retained, because the portio dura was untouched. Again, when the third or inferior maxillary trunk, composed of the two roots conjoined, was similarly exposed and cut across, pain was experienced in the operation, and the parts to which it is sent were deprived of sensation, but an additional effect was produced — the muscles of the jaws, to which the smaller root goes, were paralysed. Hence the conclusion was obvious, that the larger root of the fifth nerve is endowed with sensation and the smaller with motion; and it is only where the two are combined that the nerve can give both properties at once.

It will be acknowledged that the facts now stated were sufficient to prove the truth of the general proposition, that nerves of sensation are distinct from nerves of motion; and that the distinction depends on the portions of the brain with which they are connected at their roots, having corresponding appropriate

endowments. Soon after the first experiments were performed, additional confirmation of his views was obtained by Sir Charles Bell, in studying the phenomena of disease: numerous cases came under his observation* where morbid action in the brain or spinal marrow, or at the roots of the nerves, gave rise to effects in the human body, exactly similar to those produced by the experiments on the lower animals. For example, tumours sometimes grow within the canal of the vertebral column, where the spinal marrow is lodged, and develope themselves in such a manner as to destroy one set of the roots of the nerves without involving the other: in these cases, only one function of the spinal nerves is lost. If the anterior roots be affected, there is loss of motion; and if it be the posterior roots, there is loss of sensation; and the patient is in the singular condition of having feeling in the limb, although he cannot move it, or he may be able to move it, and have no feeling. Cases of a similar kind were met with more frequently in the head; owing to the nerves of the brain being more apart from each other at their origins than the roots of the spinal nerves. Thus many cases were observed where the two nerves which supply the face, the one with motion, and the other with sensation, were affected separately by morbid action, so as to be deprived of their functions.

While the branches of the fifth nerve go straight outwards to reach the face by the shortest route, the portio dura takes a circuitous course to arrive at the same part. It passes through the bone which contains the ear and behind the lower jaw, and is, consequently, liable to be involved in disorders, from which the branches of the fifth are free. When the portio dura was thus affected by itself, the patient could no longer knit his brows, close his eyelids, inflate his nostril, or hold any thing between his lips; expression was entirely lost in the side of the face, and there was actual distortion, owing to the muscles of the sound side dragging the paralysed cheek and lips towards them; but there was no diminution of sensibility in the

* They were recorded chiefly by his zealous assistant in these pursuits, Mr. John Shaw.

half of the face, which was so completely deprived of motion.* Again, when the fifth nerve,—or, more properly, those branches of it which arise from the larger root alone,—were implicated in disease, so as to have their structure destroyed, sensation was lost, while motion was unimpaired. Taking even the most delicate and acutely sensible part of the face, the membrane which lines the eye, it might be scarified, in cases where the fifth had lost its functions, without the patient winking, or, in fact, being conscious of the operation.† In painful affections of the face, as tic-douloureux, the fifth nerve was found to be the source of the suffering. When disease affected a part where the smaller or motor root was included with the larger, besides the pain and loss of sensation, the muscles which move the lower jaw were paralysed, these being controlled by that root.

From such examples some idea may be formed of the advantages conferred upon medicine by the discoveries which have been described, and especially of the new light they threw upon diseases of the brain and spinal marrow. While the belief prevailed, that every nerve, from whatever part it came, had the same kind of functions, and that the different divisions of the brain and spinal marrow were also alike, it followed that, when a case was met with of partial loss of sensation or of motion in any part of the body, the physician was led to conclude that disease had

* And it might be added, that no pain, arising directly from the disease in the nerve, attended the loss of function. Patients are seldom aware of their face having become paralysed, until told by a friend, or it has been observed by themselves in the mirror. This is accounted for by the portio dura being simply a nerve of motion, and having no power of bestowing sensation or giving pain.

† The loss of sensation in the eye, from lesion of the ophthalmic branches of the fifth, is often followed by inflammation, which terminates in the destruction of the organ. This is caused by the eye having been deprived of its most important guardian,—namely, the sensibility which induces not only winking, but other efforts to protect the tender surface from irritation. Cases are sometimes met with where the surface of the eye has lost its sensation; but where the upper eyelid has become permanently dropped (owing to another nerve, the third, being also affected), so as to cover it, and defend it from injury: in these cases, inflammation does not occur, and the eye preserves its transparency.

commenced in the brain; and his treatment was conducted on that supposition. But when it was learned that the nerves had distinct endowments, it was only required, in such cases, to be acquainted with the particular uses and the anatomical course of the individual nerves distributed to the affected part, to be able to determine at once, whether the disorder was in one of the nerves, after it had been given off from the brain, and therefore a comparatively harmless complaint, or if it were situated in that important organ itself, and of a serious nature. In short, the knowledge now acquired of the nervous system lends, every day, the most valuable aid to the practitioner; it gives him means, not formerly in his power, of exploring disease, and of tracing it along the nerves to the precise spot where it is situated.

But when Sir Charles Bell reached this stage of his progress, and had thus settled the distinction between the nerves of sensation and of motion throughout the body, he became aware that other important questions remained to be examined before we could have a satisfactory knowledge of the functions of all the nerves. The subject which principally attracted his attention was a contrast between the distribution of the large class of nerves which arises from the whole length of the spinal marrow, together with certain nerves of the brain, and a comparatively small class, which arises from the medulla oblongata, a division of the nervous system placed at the point where the spinal marrow and brain join each other.

When he looked to the nerves of the spine, he perceived their chief character to be, that they supply the body generally: they go to the neck, and even to a part of the head; they go to the arms; they supply the trunk; and they are sent to the lower extremities. To all these parts, he had also ascertained that they give motion by one of their roots, and sensation by the other. He had demonstrated, at the same time, that the fifth nerve of the brain is exactly of the same nature as the spinal nerves: it is composed of two roots, by one of which motor power is given to the muscles of the jaws, and by the other sensation to all the surfaces of the head. Now, placing the spinal nerves and fifth pair together as one class, and associating the nerves of the organs of

the senses with them, he considered that there was here a system of nerves competent to fulfil all the essential services of a nervous system.

He turned his attention, in the next place, to the nerves which come off at the medulla oblongata; being four in number, and varying in size and extent of distribution. The chief circumstance which gave interest to these nerves was, that they arise from a small circumscribed part of the nervous system, distinct from those divisions which give origin to the nerves of the former class; and they pass to a limited extent of the body, already plentifully supplied by that class.

From this observation Sir Charles Bell inferred that the nerves of the medulla oblongata could not thus arise from a distinct portion of the brain, and course to parts of the frame already sufficiently supplied by nerves from a different quarter, for the mere purpose of giving the same powers, or even an addition of the same powers, conferred by the other nerves. He thought, on the contrary, that they must be sent to bestow properties which the spinal nerves and fifth are incapable of giving. He saw, at least, that our knowledge of the uses of the first-mentioned class could not be considered perfect, until the question was properly solved, Why is the other class superadded? He accordingly endeavoured to remove that difficulty.

He began this part of the inquiry by seeking to discover whether any common character could be proved to belong to the nerves arising from the medulla oblongata; and, with that object, he studied carefully the functions of the organ to which they are severally sent. He observed that they all agree in one thing, namely, that they are distributed to parts of the frame which together form the organ of Respiration. The portio dura is sent to the nostrils and mouth, the exterior orifices of the tube which leads to the lungs; the glosso-pharyngeal goes to the posterior openings of the nostrils, and upper part of the wind-pipe and fauces; the superior and inferior laryngeal nerves, branches of the par vagum, supply the larynx, which is the organ of voice; the par vagum then descends into the chest, and is

distributed chiefly to the windpipe and lungs; but branches, also, go to the heart; the spinal accessory nerve is sent to the muscles of the shoulders and neck, which combine with those of the chest in dilating the lungs. Being satisfied, therefore, that the nerves of this class belong to the organ of breathing, he proceeded next to ask, Why this part should have a distinct set of nerves provided for it? Why the spinal nerves and fifth nerve should not have been sufficient to control the various movements of breathing.

But that question, he soon perceived, could not be answered by confining his attention to the organ of respiration merely as it is found in man. It was necessary to follow the developement of the apparatus in the animal kingdom generally, and to study the uses to which it is applied in the lower, as well as the higher, animals. By such a course of investigation alone, did he think that this problem could be solved.

It deserves to be particularly remarked that the mechanism of the organ of respiration, as it exists in the lower animals, is very different from what it is in the higher. And the reason is obvious. In the lower classes of animals the organ is limited to one function—that, which is its primary office in the economy, of oxygenating and purifying the blood; while, in the higher classes, it becomes also the organ of Voice; and, in man, where it is in the perfection of organisation, it is the instrument of Articulate Language, as well as of Expression.

Here, then, may be perceived the groundwork of the explanation given by Sir Charles Bell why, in man and the higher classes of animals, the organ of respiration is provided with a series of nerves distinct from those which confer motion and sensation on the body generally. He considered that, in the course of its developement, from the simplest to the most complex animals, this part undergoes such great and remarkable changes in its construction to become the organ of voice in addition to that of mere respiration, that a new class of nerves was required to regulate the actions of the superadded mechanism.

Let us reflect on what is necessary in the structure of the organ of respiration to form it as the instrument of voice. The

first essential thing is, that the air for oxygenating the blood shall be received into a closed cavity, communicating with the external atmosphere by a single tube; the second is, that this cavity shall be capable of contracting on the volume of air within, so as to expel it along the tube, with a stream strong enough to vibrate and produce sound. This is the simplest view of a chest, containing lungs, and of a trachea opening at the nostrils and mouth.

But it were a mistake to suppose that the form of respiratory organ here described is one met with generally in the animal kingdom. So far from this being the case, it is not till we ascend to the class vertebrata, that we find the earliest and faintest indications of a true chest and windpipe.

In the lowest classes of animals, those a single grade in the scale above vegetables, there is neither circulating system nor distinct respiratory organ. But as soon as a circulating system appears, traces are also perceived of an apparatus for oxygenating the blood. The organ, however, is of the most simple structure, being merely a few prolongations of the integument of the animal, disposed in the shape of tufts or fringes, which float freely in the water, and expose the blood to the oxygen contained in that element. As the circulating system becomes more distinct, the fringes are exchanged for small sacs within the animal, formed by the integument folded inwards upon itself. The apparatus for respiration in insects, is a modification of the latter kind of structure: ranged along the sides of their bodies, at regular intervals, there is a succession of holes, which are the openings of a series of infinitely small tubes, that extend in all directions through their interior; these openings and tubes conduct the air into their bodies, where the oxygen purifies the blood. A higher form of respiratory organ is presented in branchiæ or gills. These are possessed by such animals only as have the circulating system so far developed, that the elements of a heart, and a distinct set of vessels for conveying the blood to be oxygenated, appear for the first time; and they therefore indicate a greater concentration both of the respiratory organ and of the circulating system. But even gills pass through many gradations

before they acquire that high degree of developement with which we are most familiar in fishes.

What we have chiefly to remark in all these modes of respiration is, first, that until we arrive at the order fishes, the lowest of the vertebrata, the mouth has no connexion with the organ of breathing;—it is limited, in all the invertebrata, to the purposes of nutrition: and, secondly, that not only is the earliest example of the mouth being an orifice common for the food and breathing, seen in fishes, but they have an internal skeleton in which is blocked out, as it were, the first rude form of a chest for containing lungs, and for inhaling the breath through a single tube, the trachea.

It may be new to many of the readers of this work to be told that the air-bladder, which serves in most kinds of fishes to accommodate their specific gravity to the degrees of density of the water in which they swim, is, in reality, an elementary lung. Yet this is proved to be the case by many facts in comparative anatomy. It is sufficient to state, that a set of fishes exist called Sauroid (from their resemblance to the inferior kinds of reptiles), in which the air-bladder communicates with the mouth by a tube (termed ductus pneumaticus), which resembles, in all respects, a windpipe; and these fishes, when left on dry land, can respire by this apparatus independently of their gills. The same structure passes through various gradations in other animals intermediate between fishes and reptiles, till the gills at length disappear, and the air-bladder becomes a more perfectly organised lung.

If we continue to trace the mechanism by which the sac, thus introduced for the first time in connexion with the mouth for the purposes of respiration, is alternately expanded and compressed by an apparatus of ribs and muscles, to receive and expel the air, it will be found that it makes considerable advance in its developement in the order reptilia. In the lowest of this order, as the frogs and tortoises, the form of the chest is so imperfectly matured that, instead of the sac or sacculated bag, which constitutes their lungs, being filled by an expansive motion of that cavity, it is distended by successive actions

of the mouth, like swallowing; and nostrils are now first perceived in communication with the throat. In the higher orders, as the crocodile, distinct ribs, and muscles for moving them, are provided; and the process of respiration, although carried on sluggishly, in accordance with their being cold-blooded animals, nearly resembles that with which we are best acquainted in mammalia and in man.

But there is an important distinction between the structure of the chest, as seen in reptiles or in birds (which are next above them in the chain of animal existence), and that in mammalia. In reptiles and in birds, no partition exists between the abdominal and thoracic cavities, so that the ribs form a common covering for the viscera of nutrition, and the lungs, which lie in contact with them. It is not till we ascend to mammalia that a *diaphragm* is introduced, a septum composed of muscular fibres, which stretches across from the lower border of the ribs on one side to that of the other, and forms a complete boundary between the abdomen and chest. Now the diaphragm has not only the effect of circumscribing the space for containing the lungs, and thereby giving greater force to the expansion and contraction of these organs, but it acts itself as a powerful muscle of respiration in dilating the area of the chest. In short, when a diaphragm is added, the organ of breathing attains its highest state of concentration in the animal kingdom; and it is not only adapted in the most admirable manner for oxygenating the blood, but the mechanism surrounding the lungs is so adjusted, that the air can be expelled through the larynx, the organ of voice, and through the mouth, the organ of speech, with so strong an impulse as to produce vocal sounds and articulate language.

When the chest acquires the compact form and the new properties just described, there follow several modifications in the structure of different parts of the frame, which do not at first appear to have any direct relation to the organ of breathing. Peculiar sensibilities are also introduced, with various combined actions of muscles far apart from each other, obviously designed to guard the organ from injury, and to perfect it as the instrument of speech.

The first example, I shall take from the circulating system. It is found by comparative anatomy that, according as the apparatus of respiration becomes more perfectly organised, the heart and blood-vessels begin to be subdivided into two distinct systems, the one for purifying the blood, and the other for distributing it over the body. This is the origin of that distinction which comparative anatomists draw between the *pulmonic* circle, including the part of the heart and blood-vessels belonging to the lungs, and the *systemic* circle, which sends the blood over the body, and returns it to the heart. This separation takes place in a slow and gradual manner in the animal kingdom, and it is only seen to be complete in the warm-blooded animals. Thus, in man, the division of the circulating system appropriated to the lungs or the oxygenation of the blood, consists of cavities of the heart and of blood-vessels, which are quite distinct from those provided for propelling the blood over the body. Yet these two divisions act in perfect concert with each other, a concert mechanically secured by the peculiar structure of the heart; for the two sets of cavities are joined together to form a single organ, and they contract in unison. Thus, so close a sympathy is established between the heart and the organ of respiration, that any interruption to the entrance of air into the chest will not only affect the action of that division of the heart which belongs to the lungs, but it will disturb that part joined to it in structure by which the purified blood is conveyed through the body. Hence, the agitation and palpitation of the heart, so much dwelt upon in this volume, caused by disturbances in the action of respiration, whether from bodily exertion or mental emotion.

Another point, still connected with the circulating system, deserves to be noticed, as throwing light on some of the questions treated in the work. The blood which returns to the heart by the veins, flows towards the chest in a slow and easily interrupted stream; the force which propelled it when issuing from the heart by the arteries, being exhausted before it enters the veins. From this weakness of the current it follows, that the blood collected in the great veins close to the entrance of the chest—as the jugular veins, for example—may be stopped by a slight cause; when

congestion of the minute branches will be the consequence, and serious injury may be occasioned to the more delicate organs from which the blood returns. Now there are certain conditions of the chest in breathing, during which the blood is thus interrupted. As we draw in the breath, the blood flows into the chest along the veins with perfect facility, because the superior opening of the cavity is then enlarged, and the suction, which draws the air into the windpipe, has also the effect of increasing the force of the current of blood. But when we expel the air, and thereby diminish the area of the chest, an obstruction takes place in the flow of blood in the veins, and if the act of expiration be strong, regurgitation may be produced. This interruption, and retrograde motion of the blood in the large veins of the neck, will gorge the smaller vessels; and the effect may be seen in a person seized with a fit of coughing or of sneezing: for his face then becomes suffused and red, and the superficial veins turgid with blood. It is therefore obvious, that if the veins of the surface of the head become congested, in such violent conditions of breathing, the deeper veins, returning the blood from the brain and the eye, will also be over distended from the same cause. Consequently, these important organs will be in danger of suffering serious injury to their textures from the loaded and turgid condition of the veins. But both organs are defended from such dangers by a beautiful arrangement of the muscles of the neck, which cover and protect the large veins. These muscles combine in sympathy with the movements of the chest, so as to compress the veins when there is a tendency to regurgitation, and to take pressure off them when the chest is expanded. It is further to be noticed, that the flat web of muscular fibres which covers the eye—the orbicularis muscle—is a part of the same provision. It acts in compressing the eye-ball whenever the chest is violently contracted; by this means it closes the veins at the back of the orbit, and prevents engorgement of the fine branches which ramify on the delicate coats within.*

* The orbicularis muscle is wanting in animals which have not the same concentrated apparatus for breathing as man. I have shewn elsewhere that in man and mammalia another provision exists besides that mentioned in the text,

I shall offer one other illustration of the new sympathies and arrangements in the actions of the muscles which are introduced into parts of the frame originally unconnected with the organ of breathing, to accommodate them for its becoming the instrument of voice in man.

We are so familiar with respiration through a mouth and nostrils, that we are led to look upon these parts as necessary to every apparatus for breathing. But it has been already stated that it is only in the class vertebrata, commencing with fishes, that the mouth has any relation to the function of breathing. In all animals lower in the scale, the openings in their bodies for the reception of air or water in respiration, are quite distinct from the oral aperture, as it is called, and placed at a distance from it. In short, the mouth is exclusively an inlet for the food in the invertebrata.

When so important an office is added to the mouth in the higher animals as that of receiving air in its passage to the lungs, it is obvious that many changes must accompany its newly acquired character. To adjust this opening for two functions so dissimilar as breathing and taking in food, and to ensure regularity in the performance of a process so vital as that of breathing, we find that a new mechanism, with appropriate muscles, and new sensibilities to animate these muscles, are introduced into the animal frame.

This is not the place to enter minutely into the subject; but I request the reader's attention to one or two examples of the mode in which the mouth and throat are protected in their

for guarding the eye against the irregularities of the venous circulation. The veins which ramify in the interior of the organ between the delicate membranes that support the retina, join the larger trunks, before these pass out from the eye-ball, in a peculiar manner; each minute branch makes a circular sweep so as to describe nearly a complete circle, previous to entering its principal vein. These small vessels are so numerous that they quite cover the surface, and being arranged in concentric circles, they produce an appearance from which the name *vasa vorticosa* has been applied to them. Nothing could be more admirable than this structure for breaking the force of a retrograde current of blood, and gradually diffusing it over the membranes. A similar appearance, though less distinct, may be observed in the superficial veins of the brain.

complex operations, by the sensibilities with which they are endowed.

Let us suppose that a morsel of food is chewed and ready to be swallowed. I only allude, in passing, to the peculiar arrangement by which it is provided that, while the mouth is obstructed by containing the food, the breathing proceeds uninterruptedly by the air passing along the nostrils, which open behind, and directly over the windpipe. When the morsel is propelled backwards, it comes in contact with a part of the cavity of the throat, which is endowed with a remarkable sensibility; it is of such a nature that, when excited, there is an irresistible desire to swallow; and the consequence is, that whenever the part is touched, a large class of muscles, consisting not only of those immediately adjoining it, but of others situated at a distance, are brought into combined action, to grasp and propel the morsel along the gullet. Here a great variety of movements take place consentaneously. The windpipe is closed by its valve, the epiglottis, falling over it; the posterior nostrils are shut by the folding upwards of the curtain, called the soft palate; certain strong muscles surrounding the upper part of the gullet compress the morsel, and urge it into that canal; but, before the food can reach the stomach, it must pass through muscular fibres of the diaphragm encircling the gullet; these fibres consequently relax, and there is a momentary interruption of the breathing. Now all these actions, which shew so remarkable a consent between the muscles of deglutition and of respiration, are excited and regulated by the peculiar sensibility seated at the back part of the throat. If, however, there should be any disturbance in the act of swallowing, and a small portion of the food should pass the wrong way, a different set of actions will occur, under the influence of another kind of sensibility. That is, if a crumb of bread should lodge in the throat, near the opening of the windpipe, a sensibility distinct from that which gives rise to swallowing will be excited, and will rouse the muscles into action, to produce a set of movements altogether different from the former. The same muscles will be combined in such a way

as to cause a succession of violent expirations, which will continue till the irritating particle is expelled from the top of the windpipe, and the danger of choking removed. It may be further noticed, that there are various other sensibilities seated in distinct parts of the passages, which differ in kind as well as degree from those just mentioned; and, when these are excited, similar concatenated actions of the muscles are produced, modified, however, according to the structure which requires to be cleared or defended.

The important circumstance that we have to attend to in all these examples of combined actions of the muscles, and fine sensibilities, provided in the face, neck, throat, and chest, is, that they have each a decided relation to the peculiar form of the organ of breathing in the highest animals; and that they are only required, when the mouth combines the two offices of being an inlet for the air and for the food.

It was from studying the human body with these views, that Sir Charles Bell was led to conclude that the nerves which arise from a part of the brain distinct from that which gives off the nerves generally, and which are distributed to the structures I have described, are bestowed in correspondence with the changes of mechanism, and the new relations established in the organ of breathing, during its course of developement in the animal kingdom. He concluded, that the main design of the progressive changes which the apparatus of breathing undergoes, from the lowest to the highest creatures, is to afford to Man an instrument corresponding with his superior endowments;—to supply him with an organ adapted to the great purpose of communicating thought, and evolving the powers of his Mind—the attribute by which he holds his exalted position in creation. He also thought that the same organization which serves for articulate language, or the production of those arbitrary sounds by which nations converse in speech, is appropriated to the universal language, understood by all, through which emotion and passion address us in the countenance, neck, and breast: hence, Sir Charles Bell believed that in man, the organ of

breathing is the organ of Expression, and that it is superintended in all its varied actions, by the class of nerves to which he gave the name Respiratory nerves.

The correctness of these opinions, so founded on a comprehensive survey of the organ of respiration in the animal kingdom, was more fully confirmed, when the author examined, in the next place, by a similar reference to comparative anatomy, the function of the class of nerves to which those of respiration were looked upon as superadded; namely, the spinal nerves and fifth nerve of the brain.

The extensive distribution of this system has been already noticed; it has been seen that it consists of double nerves, each composed of a root that bestows motion, and another that bestows sensation, and that they are sent to all parts of the body. But, although they are thus extensively distributed, Sir Charles Bell was much struck by observing, that they do not confer both of these properties equally upon the whole frame: he saw that sensation is bestowed indiscriminately or promiscuously, but that with regard to motion, the case is different: that there is a defined limit to the power which this class possesses of regulating the muscles. As this observation was of great importance in guiding him to the right view of the functions of these nerves, I may enter into a little more explanation concerning it.

If we direct attention to the spinal nerves, it will not appear that they are restricted in their distribution; they pass out directly from the spinal canal, to supply both motion and sensation equally, to the whole body, not excepting a part of the head. But, if we attend to the fifth nerve, it will be seen that, however freely it gives its sensitive branches to all the surfaces of the head, its motor branches are bestowed on the muscles of one organ alone: they are distributed (as I have already had occasion to notice) exclusively to the muscles of the jaws, and those muscles of the cheeks and lips which are associated with the jaws in mastication. Hence, viewing the functions of this great class, comprising the spinal nerves and fifth, we see that they give sensation to all parts of the frame, from the crown of the head to the toe; but they give motion only to the trunk, the

extremities, and the parts in the head by which *mastication* is performed.

It was from reflecting on this remarkable restriction of the fifth to the office of controlling the motions of the jaws, and inquiring into the peculiar relation which exists between the act of mastication and the functions bestowed by the spinal nerves generally, that the author was led to explain why these nerves should be associated to form a distinct class in the animal kingdom.

The course of his observation was this: — He asked, in the first place, What is the primary use of the mechanism, consisting, in the higher animals, of head, trunk, upper and lower extremities, and, in the inferior creatures, of parts corresponding more or less closely with these, which is supplied by this class of nerves? The answer was, to minister to the great function of nutrition. These different members, he thought, might be regarded, taking this comprehensive view of the animal kingdom, as combining to form an organ by which the animal shall obtain nourishment.

This will be more readily understood, if we inquire what is the chief distinction between an animal and a vegetable. An animal possesses a system of organs in the interior of its body for elaborating and assimilating the nourishment received into it: now a vegetable has analogous organs, by which similar processes are performed; no distinction, therefore, can be drawn between them on these grounds. But there is an essential difference in the modes by which they procure their nourishment. The tree or plant is fixed in the soil, and obtains the nutritious juices which preserve it in life, by the roots that fasten it to the earth: the animal, on the contrary, is locomotive, and must transport itself from place to place, in quest of its food. Accordingly, the animal must be provided with certain endowments bearing reference to that peculiarity of its nature; and if we consider what these endowments are, it will be found that they are such as the system of nerves under consideration confers.

Let me enumerate the powers which an animal must possess to enable it to go from place to place, and select its food. First,

it must have organs of locomotion: fins, or paddles, or some analogous structure, if the creature be aquatic; wings, if it move in the air; or extremities and feet, if it inhabit dry land. Secondly, it must have certain prehensile instruments, constructed for grasping and securing its food; and these may be either tentacles, paws, or organs corresponding with the hand in man. Thirdly, it must have a special mechanism for receiving the food, and, if required, for triturating the material of which it consists, so as to prepare it for being passed into the stomach; that is, a mouth and jaws. Fourthly, the mechanism here described would be useless, if unaccompanied by the means of putting it in motion and regulating it; whence a nervous system becomes necessary, consisting of nerves of motion and organs of sense, and a central part corresponding with the brain. To these various structures physiologists have applied the name "animal" organs, to distinguish them from parts common to vegetables and animals, termed the "organic" structures.

Here, then, may be perceived the foundation of Sir Charles Bell's explanation of the functions of the class consisting of the spinal nerves and fifth nerve of the brain. He looked upon this system, in the highest animals, as the representative of a set of nerves which exists generally throughout the animal kingdom; and which bestows powers common to all creatures raised above the vegetable kingdom, whatever may be their mode of respiration. In man they supply the lower extremities, his organs of progression—corresponding with the structures in other animals by which they move from place to place in search of food: they are given to the arm and hand, his instruments of prehension—analogous to organs in the inferior creatures which are necessary for obtaining their nourishment; and they give power to the muscles of mastication, by which the food is prepared for the stomach—corresponding with the oral aperture and mandibles in all animals. Finally, these nerves bestow touch and taste,* senses which are possessed most generally by animals, and which may be justly considered the most essential to an organiza-

* The gustatory nerve, the special nerve of the organ of taste, is a branch of the fifth nerve.

tion adapted, in its comprehensive view, to procure nourishment. The senses of seeing, hearing, and smelling, which belong to a large proportion of the animal kingdom, in common with man, are to be regarded, in this comparative survey, as superadded senses; they are introduced into the animal frame, one after the other, subsequently to touch and taste, according as the creatures ascend gradually in the scale of existence.

Before quitting this subject, it is interesting to remark how the mechanism thus shewn to be superintended by the spinal nerves and fifth, becomes accommodated to the organ of speech, upon that structure being added to the frame; and in such a manner, that it still performs its original office in the most perfect way. This adjustment is accomplished by a series of changes being gradually wrought in the different organs subservient to the mouth, so that this opening, in ministering to the animal wants, shall have simpler duties to fulfil, and depend more on its subsidiary parts, the higher animals ascend in the animal scale. Thus in the inferior animals, the offices of progression, of prehension, and of preparing the food for deglutition, are shared by the different members, in such a manner that each performs, to a greater or less extent, the duties proper to the others. For example, the structures designed principally for progression are instrumental also in seizing and grasping: those intended chiefly for prehension assist in progression; and the mouth, so far from being adapted exclusively, in all animals, for mastication, is in some an organ of progression, as it is in a great many, the principal apparatus for prehension. My limits prevent me from entering fully into this subject, or illustrating by example, how each of the offices here enumerated comes at length to have its own appropriate instrument, distinct from the rest. It may be sufficient, however, to say, that when the animal frame reaches the high condition presented in man, the *hand* becomes so perfect a minister to his animal wants, that the mouth is absolved from performing more offices than that of mastication simply. Hence this cavity is diminished in size; the teeth and jaws are reduced to moderate dimensions; and its form is consequently suited in the most admirable manner, for being the organ of articulate language.

Thus, in whatever view we study the developement of the animal frame, new proofs present themselves of its being the final aim of all the modifications which we successively trace, to confer upon man an instrument adapted to his intellectual nature—an organ of Speech. It is the fine adjustment of the various members of his body for that object, that renders his organization the most perfect in the animal kingdom. Additional strength is, therefore, given to the author's opinions, that our conceptions of human beauty, both as regards the form of the head, and the moveable features, have a direct relation to the fitness of the structures, for Speech, Voice, and Expression.

Such is a brief account of the leading parts of the discoveries made by the author of the volume, in this important part of the anatomy of the body—the nervous system. He first established, on undoubted evidence, the fact, that the nerves of motion are distinct from those of sensation; and that the nerves generally possess different endowments, according to the divisions of the brain from which they arise. He then arranged the nerves of the whole body into three distinct systems, corresponding with the organs which they respectively control. The first class, was that composed of the spinal nerves and fifth nerve of the brain; this class, he proved, bestows both motion and sensation on all the parts to which it is distributed; and these parts, he further shewed, are organs which belong to man in common with the lowest creatures, their united function being to supply food, the first necessary want of all animals: he termed this set of nerves the "original" class, and included in it the various organs of the senses. The second class comprised in it a series of nerves, distinct from the former, both in their origin and mode of distribution: they pass off from a circumscribed central portion of the nervous system, the medulla oblongata, and diverge to different parts of the head, neck, throat, and chest already supplied by the original class: he shewed that these structures form together the organ of respiration—a mechanism which does not belong to the lowest animals, but is gradually introduced by a slow process of developement into the animal kingdom, in order that, besides oxygenating the blood, it may become, in man, the organ of

Voice and Expression: to this set of nerves he applied the name, respiratory class. In these two classes were combined all the nerves together which arise either from the brain or spinal marrow. The third class consisted of a series of nerves which have their centre in large ganglions scattered principally among the viscera of the abdomen. This forms the system called ganglionic or sympathetic: and their use has been generally supposed to be, to unite in sympathy those organs by which the various organic functions are performed: such as secretion, absorption, assimilation of the food, the growth and decay of the body, &c. When the nerves belonging to these different classes are viewed in their combined condition, as seen by the anatomist, nothing can exceed their apparent confusion; but when examined by the aid of the principle, and the arrangement, introduced by Sir Charles Bell, order and design are found to pervade every part.



London. Published by John Murray. Jan.y 1839.

EXPLANATION OF THE PLATES.

PLATE I.

Fig. 1. The Skull of a Man fully grown, presented in a front view.

A. The Frontal Bone (os frontis).

B. The Protuberances formed by the Frontal Sinuses.

C. The Temporal Ridge of the frontal bone; on which the form of the temple depends.

D. The Cheek Bone (os malæ).

E E. The Upper Maxillary Bones.

F. The Nasal Bones.

G G. The Orbits or Sockets for the Eye-balls. The circle of their margin is seen to be formed by the frontal bones, the cheek-bones, and the superior maxillary bones.

H H. The Temporal Bones. These hollows are filled with a strong muscle, which arising upon the side of the skull, passes down, through the arch, to be inserted into the lower jawbone.

I I. The Mastoid or Mamillary Processes of the Temporal Bone. These are the points into which the strong mastoid muscles, which give form to the neck, are inserted.

K. The Lower Jaw.

L. The Angle of the Lower Jaw.

M. The Processes of the jaws which form the sockets for receiving the roots of the teeth.

Fig. 2 is the Skull of an Adult seen in profile, in which we have to remark these parts:—

A. The Frontal Bone.

B. The Temporal Bone.

C. The Zygomatic Process of the temporal bone, which, with the process of the cheek-bone, forms an arch, under which the

tendon of the temporal muscle passes, to be inserted into the lower jaw.

D. The Hole or Foramen of the Ear; a little below this is the mastoid process of the temporal bone.

E. The Parietal Bone; so called, because it forms the greater part, as it were, of the wall of the skull.

F. The Occipital Bone.

These bones are united by sutures, in which the processes of the bones seem to indent themselves, as they grow, into the opposite bone, without there being an absolute union between them. That which unites the frontal and parietal bones is called the coronal suture; that which unites the parietal and temporal bones is called the squamous or temporal suture; the line between the occipital and parietal bones is the lambdoidal suture; and the line between the parietal bones is called the sagittal suture, because it is laid between the lambdoid and coronal sutures, like the arrow between the bow and the string.

There are many lesser sutures which unite the smaller bones of the face; but they need not be mentioned here.

G. The Cheek Bone (os malæ).

H. The Upper Jawbone (os maxillare).

I. The Bones of the Nose (ossa nasi).

K. The Lower Jaw (inferior maxilla).

L. The Angle of the Jaw.

M. The Process of the Jaw which moves in the socket in the temporal bone.

N. The Coronoid Process of the Jaw, into which the temporal muscle is fixed, to move the jaw in conjunction with other muscles.

Fig. 3 represents the Skull of an Infant, in which the sutures are not yet formed, the bones of the cranium being loose, and attached by their membranes only; while spaces may be observed, left unprotected, from the imperfect ossification of the bones. The individual parts require no references; they will be understood from their correspondence with fig. 2.

Fig. 4 is the Section of a Cranium, in which the only thing meant to be particularly remarked, is the cavity which is seen in the frontal bone, viz. the frontal sinuses.



London Published by John Murray, Jan.y 1844.

PLATE II.

OF THE MUSCLES OF THE FACE.

This plate represents the Muscles of the Face as they appear in a front view.

There are muscles attached to the eyebrow which produce its various motions.

A A. The Frontal Muscle. A thin muscle, expanded over the forehead, and inserted into the skin under the eyebrow. We do not see here the whole of the muscle, but only a part of what is properly called Occipito-frontalis.

It arises in a web of fibres, from the back of the skull (from a ridge of the temporal and occipital bones): becoming tendinous, it covers all the upper part of the skull with a membrane or sheet of tendon, and terminates in the anterior muscle, which is seen in this view.

B B. The Corrugator Supercilii arises from the lower part of the frontal bone near the nose, and is inserted into the integument under the eyebrow. It lies nearly transversely, and its office is to knit and draw the eyebrows together.

C C. The Circular Muscle of the Eyelids (the orbicularis palpebrarum). There is a little tendon at the inner angle of the eye, which is a fixed point for this muscle, attaching it to the maxillary bone, and being both origin and insertion.

The descending slip of the Occipito-Frontalis. As this fasciculus of fibres descends from the frontal muscle to be attached to the side of the nose, it has a distinct operation, and may be considered as a separate muscle. It draws the inner extremity of the eyebrow downwards.

These four muscles move the eyebrow, and give it all its various inflexions. If the orbicularis palpebrarum and the descending slip of the frontalis act, there is a heavy and lowering expression. If they yield to the influence of the frontal muscle, the eyebrow is arched, and there is a cheerful or an alert and inquiring expression. If the corrugator supercilii acts, there is more or less of mental anguish, or of painful exercise of thought.

Muscles moving the nostrils :—

D. Levator Labii Superioris Alæque Nasi. It arises from the upper jaw, and is inserted into the upper lip and nostril, which it raises.

E. Compressor Nasi. A set of fibres which compress the nostril.

L. The Depressor Alæ Nasi lies under the orbicularis oris. It arises near the incisor teeth, and is inserted into the moveable cartilage, which forms the wing of the nostril.

These three muscles serve to expand and contract the nostril. They move in consent with the muscles of respiration.

Muscles of the lips :—

F. Levator Labii Proprius. It arises from the upper jaw-bone, near the orbit, and is inserted into the upper lip, which it raises.

G. Levator Anguli Oris. This muscle, lying under the last, is, of course, shorter: it raises the angle of the mouth.

H. Zygomatic Muscle. So called, because its origin is from the zygomatic process of the cheek-bone. It is inserted into the angle of the mouth.

K. Orbicularis Muscle of the Lips.

M. Nasalis Labii Superioris. Draws down the septum of the nose.

N. Triangularis Oris, or Depressor Labiorum. A strong muscle arising from the base of the lower jaw, and inserted into the angle of the mouth.

O. Quadratus Menti, or Depressor of the Lower Lip.

P. Levatores Menti. Small, but strong, muscles. They arise from the lower jaw near the alveolar processes of the incisor teeth, descend, and are inserted into the integument of the chin. By their action they throw up the chin and project the lower lip.

Q. The Buccinator forms the fleshy part of the cheeks. It acts principally in turning the morsel in the mouth. Its fibres are inserted into the angles of the mouth.

R. Fibres of the Platysma Myoides, which ascend from the neck upon the side of the cheek.

London, Published by John Murray, Jan.ª 1844.

PLATE III.

MUSCLES OF A DOG'S FACE.

A A. Circular Fibres, which surround the eyes, and which are common to all animals.

B F D. Accessory Muscles, which I name Scintillantes. They draw back the eyelids from the eye-ball.

G H. Muscles of the Ear.

I K: A Mass of Muscular Fibres, always the strongest in this class of animals, and which, with those concealed under them, I call Ringentes. They raise the upper lip and expose the teeth.

L. Muscles which move the nostril in smelling.

M. Circular Fibres of the Mouth, which yet do not make a perfect orbicularis muscle.

N. A Muscle which answers to the Zygomaticus in man, and which has great power in this animal: it reaches from the ear to the angle of the mouth. It opens the mouth, retracts the lips, and disengages them from the teeth, as in seizing their prey.

O. The Cutaneous Muscle. It sends up a web of fibres from the neck on the side of the face: they are stronger here than in man.

Plate IV.

BEING A VIEW OF THE NERVES OF THE HEAD.

In this Plate the two distinct classes of nerves which go to the face are represented; the one to bestow sensation and mastication, and the other for the motions of speaking and expression, that is, the motions connected with the respiratory organs.

The spinal nerves on the side of the neck are also represented. These I have discovered to be compound nerves, arising by double roots, and possessing two functions; they control the muscular frame, and bestow sensation upon the skin. Besides these regular spinal nerves, which are for endowments common to all animals, the nerves of the throat are represented. The latter nerves are the cords of sympathy which, in the higher animals, connect the motions of the neck and throat with the motions of the nostrils and lips; not merely during excited respiration, but in the expression of passion.

A. The Respiratory Nerve of the Face; or, according to authors, the Portio Dura of the seventh nerve. The nerve of motion of the features:—

- *a.* Branches ascending to the occipito-frontalis.
- *b.* Branches which supply the eyelids.
- *c.* Branches going to the muscles which move the nostrils and lips.
- *d.* Branches going down upon the side of the neck and throat.
- *e.* Superficial Cervical Plexus.
- *ff.* Connexions formed with the Cervical Nerves.
- *g.* A nerve to the muscles on the back of the ear.

B. The Eighth Nerve, Par Vagum, or Grand Respiratory Nerve.

C. The Superior Respiratory Nerve, or Spinal Accessory Nerve.

D. Ninth Nerve, or Lingualis, the nerve of motion of the tongue.

E. Diaphragmatic Nerve.

F. Sympathetic Nerve.

G. Laryngeal Nerve.



Drawn by C. Bell

Engraved by J. Bevan

H. Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve.

I. Glosso-Pharyngeal Nerve.

I. Frontal Nerve. A sensitive branch of the fifth nerve, which I have proved to be the nerve of sensation and of mastication, and to resemble the spinal nerves.

II. Superior Maxillary Nerve. A sensitive branch of the fifth.

III. Inferior Maxillary Nerve. A sensitive branch of the fifth.

IV. Temporal Branches of the second division of the fifth, which also give sensation.

V. Branch of the third division of the fifth, prolonged from the motor root; it supplies the buccinator muscle and angle of the mouth, associating these parts with the muscles of the jaws in mastication.

VI. The Sub-occipital Nerve. The first of the spinal nerves which bestow both motion and sensation.

VII. The Second Spinal Nerve.

VIII. IX. Spinal Nerves.

THE END.

LONDON:—PRINTED BY MOYES AND BARCLAY, CASTLE STREET, LEICESTER SQUARE.

00070031

Digitized with financial assistance from the
Government of Maharashtra
on 18 July, 2018